



0000158948

RECEIVED

Docket E-01933A-13-0183

2014 DEC 18 A 8:13

SWEEP Proposed Amendment Language

ORIGINAL

The proposed amendment enables TEP to implement new cost-effective energy efficiency programs and measures found cost-effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other Arizona utility customers. The proposed amendment would not increase the 2014/2015 EE Plan budget or the DSM surcharge recommended by Staff. It would simply ensure that TEP customers have the same access to cost-effective energy efficiency options that other utility customers (such as the customers of Arizona Public Service and UNS Electric) have.

At Page 2, Line 20 INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE/DSM Programs and measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

At Page 6, Line 26 after "removals." INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE/DSM Programs and measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

At Page 13, Line 3 after "measures." INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of the EE measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

At Page 14, Line 15 after "measures." INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE programs found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of this program, which Staff found cost effective and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

At Page 21, Line 22 after "measures." INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE programs found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of this program, which Staff found cost effective and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

DOCKETED

DEC 18 2014

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	--

At Page 23, Line 16 after “measures.” INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of the EE measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

At page 25, Line 19 after “measures.” INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE programs found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of this program, which Staff found cost effective and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

At Page 26, Line 21 after “measures.” INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE programs found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of this program, which Staff found cost effective and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

Page 28, Line 19 after “measures.” INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of the EE measures found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

Page 31, Line 19 after “measures.” INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE programs found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of this program, which Staff found cost effective and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

Page 35, Line 6 after “approved.” INSERT:

However, we believe TEP customers should have access to EE programs found cost effective by Staff and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers. We recommend approval of this program, which Staff found cost effective and that the Commission has previously approved for other utility customers.

Page 44, Line 12 DELETE “but” and REPLACE WITH:

and

Page 44, Line 12 after “measures” INSERT:

found cost effective by Staff and approved previously by the Commission for other utility customers

Page 44, Line 12 DELETE:

not

Page 44, Line 13 DELETE:

not

Page 44, Line 27 DELETE:

not

Page 45, Line 4 DELETE:

not

Page 45, Line 17 DELETE “No new” and REPLACE WITH:

New measures found cost effective by Staff and approved previously by the Commission for other utility customers

Page 45, Line 18 DELETE:

not

Page 45, Line 19 DELETE:

not

MAKE CONFORMING CHANGES