



0000155681

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP - Chairman
GARY PIERCE
BRENDA BURNS
BOB BURNS
SUSAN BITTER SMITH

DOCKETED

AUG 29 2014

2014 AUG 29 P 4: 08

DOCKETED BY

ARIZONA CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SOUTH CENTRAL UTAH TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION, INC., A UTAH NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, AND TO INCREASE RESIDENTIAL RATES AS NECESSARY TO COMPENSATE FOR THE RATE IMPACTS OF THE FCC'S USF/ICC TRANSFORMATION ORDER.

DOCKET NO. T-01923A-13-0428

STAFF'S RESPONSE TO AUGUST 7, 2014 PROCEDURAL ORDER

ORIGINAL

On July 1, 2014, the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") issued a procedural order which asked Staff and South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. ("South Central Utah Telephone") to file updated recommendations based upon the FCC's June 10, 2014, Order which established a phase-in of the residential rate floor and a delay in implementing the phased-in rates. Staff filed its procedural recommendations on July 31, 2014.

Staff recommended, in part, that the Company file updated schedules reflecting the changes resulting from the FCC's June 10, 2014 Order. On August 7, 2014, the ALJ issued another procedural order requiring South Central Utah Telephone to file revised schedules showing "the pro forma effects on revenues if residential access rates are increased to \$16, \$18 and \$20, and to also show the effect on operations if residential rates remain at \$14/month and the federal benchmark is \$16, \$18, and \$20/month; and...[to] update the exhibit that shows a typical residential rate at the requested rates."¹ South Central Utah Telephone filed its updated schedules as required by the Procedural Order on August 22, 2014.

¹ Procedural Order at p. 2.

1 The August 7, 2014, Procedural Order also required Staff to state why it believes that the
2 revised phased-in rates are just, fair and reasonable. Specifically the Order stated:

3 Staff...provided its reasons for believing that its recommended rates (i.e. up to \$19.00)
4 are just, fair and reasonable, and necessary. In its July 31, 2014 update, Staff
5 recommends adopting the lower of \$16, \$18 and \$20 or the FCC's benchmark floor to
6 be phased-in over time. Given these revised recommendations, Staff is requested to
clarify its reasons for concluding that the revised rates and phase-in schedule are just,
fair and reasonable.²

7 Staff has revised its recommendations consistent with the FCC's most recent Order which
8 came out subsequent to the hearing in this matter. Additionally, Staff originally supported a rate
9 increase up to \$19.00 because that is the amount that had been noticed to customers, unless the ALJ
10 or Commission believed the higher rate of \$20.46 was appropriate.³ Staff continues to take the
11 position that this matter has been adequately noticed for a rate up to \$19.00 by the Company. For this
12 reason, Staff is recommending that within 30 days of the effective date of any Order addressing these
13 issues, the Company should be required to re-notice customers informing them of the new rate floor
14 of \$20.00 to be implemented in 2017 and the preceding step increases and their effective dates.⁴

15 Staff has reviewed the revised schedules filed by South Central Utah Telephone. The revised
16 schedules show the following impact on the rate of return at the current local service rate and at the
17 phased-in rates provided in the FCC's Order.

	<u>Current Rate</u>	<u>Phased-In Rate as of December 1, 2014</u>	<u>Phased-In Rate as of June 1, 2016</u>	<u>Phased-In Rate as of June 1, 2017</u>
	\$ 14.00	\$16.00	\$18.00 ⁵	\$20.00 ⁶
ROR	-5.47%	-3.88%	-2.28%	-.68%
Change in ROR		1.59	3.19	4.79
Change in Revenues		\$15,682	\$31,364	\$47,046 ⁷

25 _____
26 ² Procedural Order at p. 2.

27 ³ See, T-01923A-13-0428 (South Central Utah Telephone Assoc., Inc.) Tr. at p. 49.

28 ⁴ See, Staff's July 31, 2014 Response to July 1, 2014 Procedural Order.

⁵ \$18, or the 2016 rate floor set by the FCC, whichever is lower.

⁶ \$20, or the 2016 rate floor set by the FCC, whichever is lower.

⁷ The increase in revenues is a cumulative number.

1 If the Company does not adjust its local service rates to the FCC rate floors on the dates indicated, it
2 will lose federal universal service support on a dollar for dollar basis. No increase in the local service
3 rate would reduce the federal universal service support provided to the Company and would therefore
4 lower the Company's rate of return. With no local service increase to the level of the FCC's phased-
5 in rates, the Company schedules indicate that it would experience the following decreases to its
6 federal universal service support levels: December 2014 - \$15,682; June 2016 - \$31,364; and June
7 2017 - \$47,046. This equates to the following reduced rates of return on December 1, 2014, June 1,
8 2016 and June 1, 2017 respectively: -7.07%; -8.67% and -10.27%.

9 Based upon the above information, Staff believes that the phased-in rate increases are fair,
10 just and reasonable. Staff respectfully requests that the ALJ find that South Central Utah
11 Telephone's revised schedules demonstrate that the new rates are fair, just and reasonable, and that
12 the ALJ adopt Staff's additional recommendations contained in its July 31, 2014 Response to the July
13 1, 2014 Procedural Order.

14 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of August 2014.

15 

16 Maureen A. Scott, Senior Staff Counsel
17 Charles H. Hains, Attorney
18 Legal Division
19 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 542-3402

20
21 Original and thirteen (13) copies
22 of the foregoing filed this
29th day of August 2014 with:

23 Docket Control
24 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1 Copy of the foregoing emailed/mailed
2 this 29th day of August 2014 to:

3 Craig A. Marks
4 Craig A. Marks, PLC
5 10645 North Tatum Boulevard
6 Suite 200-676
7 Phoenix, Arizona 85028
8 Craig.Marks@azbar.org
9 Attorney for South Central Utah
10 Telephone Association, Inc.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8 
9