



0000155574

P.A.

Ellen K. Aiken
James W. Armstrong
Adrian L. Barton
Steven R. Beeghley
Stephen Aron Benson
Brian E. Ditsch
Judith M. Dworkin
Patty A. Ferguson
Roxann S. Gallagher
Steven M. Goldstein

Bryan J. Gottfredson
Gaye L. Gould
John K. Hanson
Helen R. Holden
Robert G. Kimball
Michael C. Lamb
Stephen A. Lenn
Jeffrey S. Leonard
Shannon M. Mason
Matthew B. Meaker

André H. Merrett
Phoebe Moffatt
Sharon S. Moyer
Michael R. Rooney
David L. Rose
James S. Samuelson
Sharon B. Shively
Allyson J. Teply
David C. Tierney
Matthew F. Winter

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

AUG 26 2014

August 26, 2014

DOCKETED BY



VIA HAND DELIVERY

Seymour Sacks (1932 – 2011)
Marvin S. Cohen (1931 – 2009)
Gary E. Pace, CLM, Executive Director

Writer's Direct Line: 480.425.2673
Writer's Direct Facsimile: 480.425.4973
Writer's E-mail: Roxann.Gallagher@SacksTierney.com

Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

ORIGINAL

Re: EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc.
Docket No. SW-01303A-09-0343
W-01303A-09-0343

To Whom It May Concern:

Attached for consideration by the Commissioners and for inclusion in the above-reference docket are approximately 1,138 signatures of Anthem residents urging the Commission to reject reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District for the reasons set forth in the attached. Original signatures are available for examination at the Commission's request.

Sincerely,

Roxann S. Gallagher
Sacks Tierney P.A.

Copy of the foregoing mailed
this 26th day of August, 2014 to:

Service List for Docket No.
SW-013-03A-09-0343

RECEIVED
2014 AUG 26 P 3:06
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

anthem




community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
R.L. EVANS		40014 N. Conia Ct Anthem AZ	8/20/14
J. Buglianello		3009 W Steinbeck Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/20/14
Robert G. Henry, Jr.		3117 W. Feather Sound Dr Anthem, AZ 85086	8/20/2014
Leslie French		1862 W MOISE DR Anthem AZ 85086	8/20/14
TRISH LUTTSAY		2547 W PRINCEVILLE ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/20/14
Irene Martone	IRENE MARTONE	41806 N. MILLCREEK ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/21/14
Linda Rehwalt		42501 N. Beck Crwy Anthem AZ 85086	8/21/14
Alice Martin		2917 W. EASTMAN DR. ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/21/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
GARY L SELGE	<i>Gary L. Selge</i>	40234 N. Citrus Canyon	08-15-14
JEANETTE R. Selge	<i>Jeanette R. Selge</i>	40234 N. Citrus Canyon	8-15-14
STACI J. VAN SCHWICK	<i>Staci J. Van Schwick</i>	40234 N. Citrus Canyon	8-15-14
Elizabeth Wilks	<i>Elizabeth Wilks</i>	40208 N. Patriot	8-15-14
Angela Raw	<i>Angela Raw</i>	40208 N. Patriot Way	8-15-14
Chris Diachuk	<i>Chris Diachuk</i>	40908 N. Meadowstone Way	8-15-14
CATHIE WARMAN	<i>Cathie Warman</i>	39615 N Mill Creek Anthem	8-15-14
WILL WAGNER	<i>Will Wagner</i>	2914 W Plum Hollow Ct	8/15/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
GARY L. SELGE	<i>Gary L. Selge</i>	42117 N. Long Cove ANTHEM, AZ	08-15-14
JEANETTE R. SELGE	<i>Jeanette R. Selge</i>	42117 N. Long Cove Anthem AZ	8-15-14
Shirley H. Giles	<i>Shirley H. Giles</i>	42126 N. Mantleway Anthem AZ 85086	8-15-14
Maureen Lilly	<i>Maureen Lilly</i>	3364 W. Owens Way Anthem AZ 85086	8-15-14
Gerard P. Lilly	<i>Gerard P. Lilly</i>	3364 W. Owens Way Anthem AZ 85086	8-15-14
Brenda Brancato	<i>Brenda Brancato</i>	3324 W. Ravina Lane Anthem AZ 85086	8-15-14
VINCENT BRANCATO	<i>Vincent Brancato</i>	3324 W. RAVINA LANE ANTHEM AZ 85086	
JEFF VANNUCCI	<i>Jeff Vannucci</i>	4222 N. WILLOW WEST	8/15/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Eleni Tucker	<i>Eleni Tucker</i>	43029 N. Vista Hills Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Christina McGraw	<i>C McGraw</i>		8/13/14
Commelita Ruiz	<i>Commelita Ruiz</i>	39617 White Tail Ln Anthem 85086	8/13/14
Jennifer Huddleston	<i>Jen Hudd</i>	3806 W Bingham New River AZ 85087	8/13/14
Danielle Cracchido	<i>Danielle Cracchido</i>	42413 N Crosswater Anthem, AZ 85086	8/13/14
Bradly Bartlett	<i>Bradly Bartlett</i>	4022 N. Explorer Trail Anthem, AZ 85086	8/13/14
J. Rothman	<i>J. Rothman</i>		8/13/14
CAIT SAZEW	<i>Cait Sazew</i>	2938 W. Eastman Dr. Anthem AZ	8/14/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
SHAW CONGTSAN		2978 W. Goodman Dr	8/14/14
Ledye Shin		43228 Vista Hills Dr	8-14-14
JAMES SEPHENS		2502 W. Steinbeck Ct	8/14/14
Michael Kurat		2354 W RIVER ROCK CT	8/14/14
Jamie Kessler		3	
Tom Duns			
Spencer Duns		49008 N. Hudson Ct	8/14/14
Sue Bailey		43121 N VISTA HILLS DR	8/15/14





anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
MARK TESSA		39943 N. Park Dr Anthem, AZ 85086	8/15/14
Douglas Kelch		39631 N. Messenger Anthem	8/15/14
Tessa Ford		34601 N. Agua Greenway Anthem AZ 85086	8/15/14
Antoine Ford		34601 N. Greenway Anthem AZ 85086	8/15/14
John WACASEY		39120 N PARKER LN ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/15/14
Danielle Wacasey		39120 N. Parker Ln. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/15/14
MARICEL FUENTES		Anthem, AZ 85086	8/15/14
WAVE MARR		3627 West Weldon Anthem AZ 85086	8/15/14

anthem







community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
PETER H. SERA		39225 N. Acadia Way Anthem, AZ 85086	8/16/14
SANDRA L. SERA		39225 N. Acadia Way Anthem, AZ 85086	8/16/14
LISA BRELAND		40208 N FAIRGREEN WAY ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/16/14
Susan Ryndak		2749 W Adventure Dr Anthem 85086	8/16/14
ELIZABETH VALDEZ		38034 N. HUANAN TR Anthem 85086	8/14/14
MOSES VALDEZ		38034 N. HUANAN TR. Anthem 85086	8/14/14
Ryan Gray		29218 N. LINDSEY A.	8/16/14
Jodi Claver		40837 N. Citrus Canyon tr Anthem AZ 85086	8/16/14

anthem






community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Matt Warren		1830 MARSE DR.	8/16/14
Jeff Benn		4111 N. Hudson Trail	8/16/14
Lee Ann Stevens		3526 W. Tween	8/16/14
JOE MCKAMEY		38575 N DONOVAN CT ANthem	8/16/14
Janae Hatch		39911 N. WISDOM WAY ANthem	8/16/14
Christie Krstner		2306 W RIVER ROAD ST. ANthem AZ	8-16-14
Cindy Dimeat		2413 W Clearview Tr ANthem 85086	8/17/14
Mark J Dimeat		2413 W Clearview Trail ANthem, AZ 85086	8/17/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Yvonne Dolby	<i>Yvonne Dolby</i>	40431 N. Candlewick Anthem, AZ 85086	8/11/14
VIRGINIA BROWN	<i>Virginia Brown</i>	41711 N. PARKER CT Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Stefania Basorek	<i>Stefania Basorek</i>	12201 W. Kellum Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
JONATHAN BRADY	<i>Jonathan Brady</i>	2201 W. Keller Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
THOMAS E DEMOTT	<i>Thomas E Demott</i>	3552 W Summitwalk Dr Anthem AZ 85086	8-13-14
Carol Crona	<i>Carol Crona</i>	42211 N. Longbark Way Anthem, AZ 85086	8-13-14
Trudy Schmidlap	<i>Trudy Schmidlap</i>	41623 N. Congressional Dr Anthem, AZ 85086	8-18-14
Brandee Jones	<i>Brandee Jones</i>	42011 N. Altamir Anthem, AZ 85086	8/18/14

anthem



community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to **reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.**

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
KEN COHEN		40610 W CAROLINA WAY ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/11/14
Karen Cohen		SAME	8/11/14
Diane Taylor		41054 N Bridlewood	8/13/14
Irene Beall		3161 W Steinbeck Anthem	8/13/14
JAMES BEALL		3161 W Steinbeck Anthem	8/13/14
Vonda Brooks		39513 N Hillerman Way Anthem AZ 85086	8/13/14
Philip Hurw		42142 N Celebration Way Anthem	8/13/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Wanda Hill	<i>Wanda Hill</i>	42956 N. Challenge Anthem 85086	8-9-14
MARLENE PIERCE	<i>Marlene Pierce</i>	40606 N Apollo Anthem AZ 85086	8-9-14
HAROLD HALMAN	<i>Harold Halman</i>	2640 W Adventure Ct Anthem	8/9/14
Lorraine Walleth	<i>Lorraine Walleth</i>	43622 N 44th Ln New River 85087	8-9-14
Corey Batorski	<i>Corey Batorski</i>	41302 N. Ericson Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8/14/14
Jerry Weinberg	<i>Jerry Weinberg</i>	2509 W. Pumpkin Ridge ANTHEM 85086	8/14/14
Shannon Troth	<i>Shannon Troth</i>	40130 N. Noble Hawk Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8/16/14
PHIL BARRIER	<i>Phil Barrier</i>	3028 W. SUMMIT WALK CT ANTHEM 85086	8-18-14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
MARKEY CARMEN	<i>Carmen Markey</i>	2922 W. SOLSA DR. ANTHEM, AZ	8/9/2014
LISA M. HALMAN	<i>Lisa Halman</i>	2640 W ADVENTURE CT ANTHEM AZ	8/9/14
Mike Garde	<i>Mike Garde</i>	3733 W. Jacksonville Anthem	8/9/14
Joan Allan	<i>Joan Allan</i>	39774 N. High Noon Way Anthem AZ	8/9/14
ARLINA LEAST	<i>Arlina Least</i>	40721 N - Apollo Anthem AZ	8/9/14
Janet Mork	<i>Janet Mork</i>	3037 W Walden Dr Anthem AZ	8/14/14
Ebru Phelps	<i>Ebru Phelps</i>	3385 W. Links dr	8/14/14
Bettye Ruff	<i>Bettye Ruff</i>	3317 W. Inspiration Anthem	8/14/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Michelle Newstrom		2331 W Shinnecock Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8-12-14
See Jones		1742 W Hemingway Ln Anthem AZ 85086	8-12-14
Lisa Jones		3660 W Thaler Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Dennis Jones		3660 W Thaler Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Dennis Jones		41934 N Crooked Stick Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
		2334 W 147th Ave	8/13/14
Mel Saxton		2717 Plum Hollow Dr Anthem AZ 85086	8/13/14
Joan Saxton		2717 Plum Hollow Dr Anthem	8/13/14

anthem





community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Deborah Harper		2730 W. Wayne Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Dianne Prickett		3860 W Wayne Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
John Rosander		2204 W. Hidden Treasure Way Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
SARAI REYES-MARTENS		40822 N. Harbour Town Way Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
SARAI REYES-MARTENS		42422 N 46TH LANE Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Russell WUFF		42952 N. VOYAGE TR Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Dan Bachelor		2578 W. Shackleton Dr Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
CHRIS PRICCOAT		3860 W RANCHO DR Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14

anthem




community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Terry Titus		48820 N. Courage Trl Anthem, AZ 85086	8-12-14
Bloch Family		39610 N. Rolling Green Way Anthem AZ 85086	8-12-14
Konya Zettle		41007 N Republic Way Anthem AZ 85086	8-12-14
Mickey Prosky		41807 N. IRON HORSE ANTHEM AZ 85086	8-12-14
D. Zappala		40106 N Noble Hawk Ct Anthem	8/12/14
JOSIAH MCDANIEL		41306 N. Prestancia Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Lise Jones		42513 N Cross Timbers Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Lise Jones		41939 N Crooked Stick Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14

anthem







community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Katherine Williams		2129 W. Clearview Trl Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Mark Stevens		1914 W Wayd Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Michelle Roy		1617 W. Silver Pine Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
DAVE Good		2811 W. Plum Hollow Ln Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Chasity Good		2811 West Plum Hollow Ln Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Lisa Jones		42127 N Celebration Way Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Dennis Jones		42513 W Cross Timber Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14
Dennis Jones		42127 N Celebration Way Anthem AZ 85086	8/12/14

anthem





community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Dr. James V. Cherry		816 W. Hazelhurst Drive Anthem AZ 85086	8-11-14
Ngai Nguyen		39520 N. Hillermeadow Ave Anthem, AZ 85086	8/11/14
Kora Rejnuc		4340 Vista Hills Anthem, AZ	8/12/14
Sean Zarinegar		42132 N Mountain Ave Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Theresa [unclear]		41028 N. Hudson Rd Anthem, AZ	8/12/14
YOLANDA URIBE		41028 N. Hudson Rd Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14
Tim Tjhe		3051 W. Soren Ct, Anthem	8/12/14
Taylor McElley		3134 W. Kovalt Ct. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14

anthem






community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Anitra Moon		2348 W. Shadown Glen Anthem, AZ	8/8/14
MARY BETH ZAHN		40938 N. MAIDSTONEWAY ANthem AZ 85084	8/8/14
Colleen Power		2002 W. Shadown Glen Anthem AZ	8/8/14
Jenna Shepard		3634 W. Links Dr. Anthem 85016 AZ	8/8/14
Michael Scott		39812 N. Messner Way Anthem AZ 85086	8/8/14
Herbert A. Jacobs		40258 N. Currie Court Anthem, AZ	8-8-14
Janet Russett		1105 W. Sousa Ct Anthem AZ 85086	8/11/14
Maria Jackson maria Jackson		37619 N. Maidstone Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8/11/14

anthem






community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Kristi Northcutt		4435 W. Moss Springs Rd ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/7/14
D CHIARELLO		42809 N. HUDSON TRL ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/7/14
JERRY OLGA		40006 N. PARKER CRE	8/7/14
Robert Friedrich		2587 W. Shackleton Dr Anthem, 85086	8/7/14
Vanessa Campos		2445 W. MYOPIA DR Anthem, AZ 85086	8/7/14
Deborah Doody		3402 W. WILSON DR Anthem, 85086	8/7/14
Meghann Hill		2345 W. Shadow Glen Anthem, AZ 85086	8/7/14
PHILIP PRESTON		39521 N. NOBLE TRAIL "	8/8/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Constance Henry	<i>Constance Henry</i>	3117 W. Feather Sound Dr Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/2014
Catie Naranjo	<i>Catie Naranjo</i>	42817 N Ericson Ln. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/2014
Jan Sandoz	<i>Jan Sandoz</i>	2913 W. Plum Hollow Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/2014
Peter Dworsky	<i>Peter Dworsky</i>	3512 W PLYMOUTH DR Anthem AZ 85086	8-8-2014
JACKIE SECODA	<i>J. Secoda</i>	2366 W MURFIELD DR ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/8/2014
WILLIAM A. ENGLER	<i>William A. Engler</i>	41902 N. BACK CREEK CT ANTHEM, 85086	8/8/14
CAROL ENGLER	<i>Carol Engler</i>	41902 N. BACK CREEK CT ANTHEM, 85086	8/8/2014
CHARLES MATZEN	<i>Charles Matzen</i>	42204 N. Long Coveley ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/8/14

anthem






community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
GARY WILBUR		43017 N. VISTA HILLS DR. ANTHEM, 85086	8/8/14
Debra Paxton		42522 N. Parker Ln Anthem 85086	8/8/14
Judith Whetter		2465 W Memorial Dr Anthem AZ 85086	8/8/14
DONALD WHETTER		2465 W. Memorial Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/14
CHRIS THEOTOCAS		41024 N. NOBLE HAWK ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/8/14
PAULA THEOTOCAS		41024 N. NOBLE HAWK ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/8/14
Bob Golembel		2816 W. Plum Hollow Anthem AZ 85086	8/8/14
Judith Golembel		2816 W Plum Hollow Anthem AZ 85086	8/8/14

anthem







community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
SUZANNE MATZE		42204 N. Long Love Way ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-8-14
LARRY FLINDERS		41719 N. CROSS TIMBERS ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-8-14
LYNETTE FLINDERS		41719 N. CROSS TIMBERS ANTHEM 85086	8-8-14
Lauren Schwitters		39729 N. Integrity Tr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
SUE HAUB		40762 N. ROBINSON DR Anthem, AZ 85086	8/9/14
JEFFREY C. HAUB		40762 N. ROBINSON DR ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/9/14
Anthony Eckman		42449 N. Ericson Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8/9/14
RITA ECKMAN		42449 N. Ericson Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Mary Casad	Mary Casad	4082877 Courage Anthem Way	8-9-14
Linda Samrud	Linda J. Samrud	3670 W. Aidan Pt Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Pat Brina	Pat Brina	4170 2 nd Grand Stone Court	8-9-14
BARBARA HUGH	Barbara Hugh	42917 N. ERICSON CT ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Rose Watkins	Rose Watkins	42902 N. Challenger Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Marilyn Franklin	Marilyn Franklin	3570 W. Franklin Wall Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Shirley Sykes	Shirley Sykes	39801 N. Cross Timbers City	8-9-14
MARYLOU ROE	Marylou Roe	3853 W. RUSHMORE ANTHEM 85086	8-9-14
ROBERT ANDREJEV	Rob	40420 N CHASE OAKS ANTHEM AZ 85086	8-9-14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
KAREN HAND	Karen Hand	39705 MAJESTY CT	8/9/2014
ED ROGERS	Ed Rogers	40795 MAJESTY CT ANTHEM AZ	8/9/2014
LISA FLOOD	Lisa Flood	3546 W DENALI CT ANTHEM AZ	8/9/2014
CHRIS FLOOD	Chris Flood	3546 W DENALI CT ANTHEM	8/9/2014
RICHARD MARKEY	Richard Markey	2328 W WHITMAN CT ANTHEM, AZ	8/9/2014
SONIA MARKEY	Sonia E. Markey	2328 W WHITMAN ANTHEM, AZ	8/9/2014
JASON GORDE	Jason Gorde	40901 N Hearst Dr Anthem, AZ	8/9/14
Alex Peart	Alex Peart	40721 N-Apollo ANTHEM AZ	8/9/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
NANCY MOTZER	Nancy Motzer	3707 W Ghost Flower Anthem 85086	8/9/14
Judy Greco	Judy Greco	4709 W BARKO LN NEW RIVER, AZ	8/9/14
William Evans	William Evans	40809 N. COURAGE TR Anthem, AZ 85086	8/9/14
Evelyn Woodward	Evelyn Woodward	40809 N COURAGE TR ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/9/14
Bart Kimball	Barbara Kimball	12424 N GAVILAN ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/9/14
Dwendolyn Roberts	Dwendolyn Roberts	40411 N. PAPER WAY Anthem AZ 85086	8-9-14
Norma Wiberg	Norma Wiberg	3359 N. STARBUCK Anthem AZ	8-9-14
BARBARA KUNZLER	Barbara Kunzler	41502 N CHASE OAKSWAY	8-9-14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Jacqueline Wren	<i>Jacqueline Wren</i>	40617 N Apollon Way Anthem	8/9/14
CAROLINE MELENDEZ	<i>Caroline Melendez</i>	40103 N. HIGH NOON WAY ANTHEM	8-9-14
Louise Ludwig	<i>Louise Ludwig</i>	40564 N. Territory Trail Anthem	8-9-14
Stephen Cushner	<i>Stephen Cushner</i>	40128 N Integrity Trl Anthem	8/9/14
Robert Kichline	<i>Robert Kichline</i>	2515 W Bisbee Way ANTHEM	8/9/14
M. Pactwa	MARY E PACTWA	40429 N ACADIA CT ANTHEM AZ	8/9/14
Sharon Gards	Sharon Gards	40912 N. Hearst Dr Anthem AZ	8/9/14
John Gards	John Gards	40917 N. Hearst Anthem, AZ	8/9/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
John Soud		40931 MAIDSTONE ANthem, AZ	8-11-14
Larry L Martin		40319 N Acadia Ct Anthem, AZ	8-11-14
Robert Perdinez		42410 N Olympic Fiddist Anthem AZ	8/11/14
Teresa Orrin		39706 N Lost Legend Anthem AZ 85086	8.11.14
Laura Finley		1803 W. Morse Dr. Anthem AZ 85086	8.11.14
Jean Johnson		42922 N. Ericson Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8-11-14
SHERMAN JOHNSON		42922 → 42922 N. ERICSON CT ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-11-14
Curtis C. Miller		41605 N. LAUREL VALLEY ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/15/14

anthem








community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Rebecca Blair		41426 N. Hawks on Trail Anthem, AZ 85086	8-7-14
THOMAS VAN KUIKEN		40037 N Highwood Way ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/8/14
Kyndra Cernillo		43166 N. Order Banks Dr Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/14
Shirley Stockwell		38824 N Red Tail Lane Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/14
Jim Pearson		3726 W Whitehawk Anthem AZ 85086	8-8-14
Paula Pearson		3726 W Whitehawk Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8-8-14
Nancy Reynolds		2328 W Morse Dr Anthem AZ 85086	8-8-14
Edward Olsen		2458 W Coyote Creek GLTON, AZ 85086	8-8-14

anthem







community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
PAUL R. MONK		2570 W. LEWIS E CLARK TRL ANTHEM, AZ 85086	AUGUST 8, 2014
Heather Gritton		42806 N. Hudson TRL Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/14
Gloria Movelli		3173 W. Steinbeck Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/8/14
TERI TORRES		2211 W. MOOSE CT ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/8/14
CHARLES DURRANT		41706 N. RIVER BEND ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/8/14
MATTHEW HREHA		1848 WEST KURALT DR ANTHEM AZ 85086	8/8/14
LINDA CHRISTIE		3653 W AIDAN CT ANTHEM AZ 85086	8-9-14
Erin Hreha		1848 W. Kuralt Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/9/14

anthem






community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Jenny Webb		2432 W. Clearview Trail Anthem, AZ 85086	8/9/2014
Traug Gultend		3719 W. Cartier Ct Anthem 85086	8/9/14
DON TREKLY		2352 W. SAK Canyon ANTHEM 85086	8/9/14
Jo Flick		43221 N. Heavenly Anthem AZ 85086	8-9-14
Rigo Trizary		3825 Anthem Way	8-9-14
Hailey Lawry		40124 N. Landewyck In, anthem AZ	8-9-14
Brenda Lozano		3024 W. Ardan Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Shelly Acude		3325 W. Sarsaparilla Anthem AZ	8-9-14

anthem






community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Wade Smith		4207 N Emerald Ln Anthem AZ 85026	8-9-14
Derrick Sherry		41922 North Allstar Anthem AZ 85086	8/9/14
Pam Sherry		41922 N Allstar Way	8/9/14
JACOB MEUNIER		39736 N Integrity Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Jill Scherer		39807 UNRESTY Anthem AZ	8-10-14
Chris Milk		35507 W Maple St Anthem AZ 85086	8-10-14
Sherry Reynolds		2219 W. VALHALLA ST Anthem, AZ 85086	8/10/14
Josiah W Reynolds		2219 W. VALHALLA ST ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/10/14

anthem







community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Aris Acude		3325 W. Soyseed Anthem, AZ	8-9-14
Tammy Lawry		40124 N Candlewick In. Anthem AZ	8-9-14
Matt Lawry		40124 N Candlewick IN	8-9-14
Nicole Eiden		3210 W. Walden Ct	8-9-14
Albert Eiden		3210 W. Walden Ct	8-9-14
LARRY Budzowski		41125 W. Prestancia Anthem	8-9-14
Fran Budzowski		41125 W. Prestancia Anthem	8-9-14
Sherrie Smith		42017 N Emerald Lake Anthem AZ 85006	8-9-14

anthem







community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Stacy D'Angeles		40208 N Faith Ln Anthem AZ 85086	8-10-14
Jason Groendyk		3714 W. Whitenhawk Ln. Phoenix AZ 85086	8-10-14
Brian James		40932 N. Maidstone Ln Anthem, AZ 85086	8-10-14
Brent Buntyn		3421 W Warren Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/10-14
PAUL McROBERTS		3526 W. Sova Ct Anthem, AZ. 85086	8-10-14
Victor M Washburn		41836 N Mill Creek Ln Anthem AZ 85086	8-10-14
Lynette Washburn		41836 N. Mill Creek Ln Anthem AZ 85086	8-11-14
Daniel Sullivan		3016 W Keller Dr Anthem AZ 85086	8/11/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
FRANCIS DE SESUB	<i>[Signature]</i>	2214 W. Madeline Anthem, AZ 85086	8/10/14
AMY W. APOBARWA	<i>[Signature]</i>	2214 W. Madeline Anthem, AZ 85086	8/10/14
Julia Swinkostin	<i>[Signature]</i>	40207 N. Antiochway Anthem 85086	8/10/14
Sally Harland	<i>[Signature]</i>	40205 N. Highwoodley Anthem, AZ 85086	8/10/14
Sandy Struss	<i>[Signature]</i>	2920 W. Eastmond Anthem, AZ 85086	8/10/14
Katherine Gray	<i>[Signature]</i>	5978 N. Lost Legend Dr Anthem, AZ 85080	8/11/14
John Faries	<i>[Signature]</i>	40314 N. La Cartera Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8/11/14
Shirley M. Miller	<i>[Signature]</i>	3335 N. INSPIRATION Anthem, AZ 85086	8/12/14



anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Dennis Aider		2723W ALUM HOLLOW ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-9-14
NICK HOGENSON		40313 N Justice Way Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Tom Derryberry		3367 W OWENS WAY ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Betty J Teague		41327 N Choc Crossing Ct Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
GERALD L. TEAGUE		41327 N. CORTA CROSSING ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Jennifer Thorsen		41509 N. Anthem Ridge Dr. Anthem, AZ 85086	8/9/14
Gloria Loggins		42910 N. Voyager Trl Anthem, AZ 85086	8-9-14
Jennifer Hogenson		40313 N Justice Way Anthem, AZ 85086	8/9/14

anthem

community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
Lauri Breedlove	<i>Lauri Breedlove</i>	1743 W. Owens Anthem, AZ	8/11/14
HENRY BARRIE	<i>Henry Barrie</i>	41820 N CONGRESS BLVD DRIVE, ANTHEM	8/12/14
Jill Tetsell	<i>Jill Tetsell</i>	41228 N. Prestancia Anthem	8/12/14
LYNN LARSON	<i>Lynn Larson</i>	1709 W. AINSWORTH ANTHEM	
Elizabeth Larson	<i>E. Larson</i>		8-12-14
William Huser	<i>William Huser</i>	4319 N. COLLIER GREEN WAY	8-12-14
BARBARA BIRDSEYE	<i>Barbara Birdseye</i>	41642 N. EMERALD HOLLOW ANTHEM, AZ	8-12-14
JOHN BIRDSEYE	<i>John Birdseye</i>	"	8-12-14

anthem community council

We, the undersigned residents of the Anthem community, implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to **reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.**

In 2012, the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ADDRESS	DATE
ROY LEE MOLLET	Roy Lee Mollet	40611 N. KEY LN. ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/19/2014
Antoinette Mollet	Antoinette Mollet	40611 N. Key Ln. Anthem, AZ 85086	8-19-2014
ROY LEE MOLLET	Roy Lee Mollet	40710 N. COLUMBIA TRL ANTHEM, AZ 85086	8/19/2014
Antoinette Mollet	Antoinette Mollet	40710 N. Columbia Trl. Anthem, AZ 85086	8-19-2014

Oppose Reconsolidation with the Agua Fria Wastewater District

About this petition

The undersigned residents of the Anthem community implore the Arizona Corporation Commission to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District. In 2012 the Commission determined that consolidation of these two geographically remote and separate districts that do not share treatment facilities was "completely arbitrary" and did not result in wastewater rates for Anthem customers that were just and reasonable as required by Arizona law. The Commission also stated that it was in the public interest to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District, "in order to preserve the integrity of settlement negotiations that occur in Commission proceedings." These thoughtful and fair conclusions, which considered all effects of deconsolidation including elevated rates for Agua Fria wastewater users, remain true today. Not one fact has changed. Not one new fact has come to light.

No Agua Fria petitioner has proposed reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District. Sun City West is adjacent to Corte Bella, shares treatment facilities, and has approximately 15,000 customers to share wastewater costs. Sun City West residents, who enjoy among the lowest water and wastewater rates in the State, unfairly pay significantly less than Corte Bella residents for the same service from the same facility. A consolidation with Sun City West would seem a fair and reasonable solution for elevated Agua Fria wastewater rates. On the contrary, reconsolidating Anthem back into the Agua Fria Wastewater District would cause the roughly 8,600 Anthem residents, who already pay among the highest combined water and wastewater rates in the State, to pay \$2.4 million annual subsidy for costs undisputedly related to Agua Fria's geographically remote wastewater treatment facilities that we do not now and will not ever use. Reconsolidation of the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District is clearly not the right solution for Anthem or Agua Fria.

For the reasons stated above, the undersigned will vigorously and publicly oppose any effort by the Commission to reconsolidate the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

Signatures

1. Name: Adam Northcutt on 2014-08-14 23:14:06
Comments:

2. Name: Nadine Shaalan on 2014-08-14 23:55:37
Comments: I support consolidation. All for one! ;)

3. Name: Kristin Wyatt on 2014-08-15 00:02:52
Comments:

4. Name: Josh Wyatt on 2014-08-15 00:04:19
Comments:

5. Name: Anne Campaigne on 2014-08-15 00:31:33
Comments:

6. Name: Mary Jo Maughan on 2014-08-15 00:32:15
Comments:

7. Name: David Geithman on 2014-08-15 00:32:20
Comments:

8. Name: Frederic Shipley on 2014-08-15 00:33:02
Comments: I support the 2012 Corporation Commission deconsolidating the Anthem Waste Water District from the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

9. Name: Stephanie Sinagra on 2014-08-15 00:33:56
Comments:

10. Name: Delbert Gwin on 2014-08-15 00:34:19
Comments:

11. Name: Atiim Augustus on 2014-08-15 00:34:27
Comments:

12. Name: Kim Child on 2014-08-15 00:35:08
Comments:

13. Name: Lauren Beames on 2014-08-15 00:36:00
Comments:

14. Name: Dana Child on 2014-08-15 00:36:00
Comments:
-
15. Name: Kenneth Miller on 2014-08-15 00:36:41
Comments: Tired of paying 14 years and receiving nothing except higher water rates.
-
16. Name: Mark Duvall on 2014-08-15 00:36:47
Comments: As residents of Anthem we oppose the reconsolidation.
-
17. Name: Fran Kesselman on 2014-08-15 00:36:58
Comments:
-
18. Name: Rick Kesselman on 2014-08-15 00:40:11
Comments:
-
19. Name: Ronald a DaLessio on 2014-08-15 00:40:37
Comments:
-
20. Name: Eric Day on 2014-08-15 00:40:44
Comments: Why should we subsidize costs for any other district. If someone has a problem with their rates, that's between them and Epcor.
-
21. Name: Arminda Day on 2014-08-15 00:43:33
Comments: Nobody stepped up to help us when our rates skyrocketed, why are we the bank that is supposed to pay for someone else's wastewater.
-
22. Name: pat dalessio on 2014-08-15 00:43:58
Comments:
-
23. Name: Carole Alverson on 2014-08-15 00:46:20
Comments:
-
24. Name: Christy Woehler on 2014-08-15 00:46:26
Comments:
-
25. Name: Wendy Smith on 2014-08-15 00:47:55
Comments:
-
26. Name: Rhonda and Angelo Licata on 2014-08-15 00:48:29
Comments: Absolutely disagree with re-visting deconsolidation - we pay more than most as it is!
-

27. Name: David Bartosky on 2014-08-15 00:49:45
Comments:
-
28. Name: Bob Tingley on 2014-08-15 00:50:32
Comments: For the reasons stated in the petition, I oppose the consolidation now under consideration.
-
29. Name: Lynn Vick on 2014-08-15 00:50:33
Comments: The Anthem Wastewater District and the Aqua Fria Wastewater District should never have been consolidated. The Arizona corporation Commission finally agreed to deconsolidate those districts and they should stay with that agreement to deconsolidate. Anthem should not be required to pay for costs of other water and/or wastewater districts.
-
30. Name: glenn phillips on 2014-08-15 00:50:53
Comments:
-
31. Name: Kimberly Hale on 2014-08-15 00:51:15
Comments:
-
32. Name: jerry roach on 2014-08-15 00:51:32
Comments:
-
33. Name: Gary J. Levy, MD on 2014-08-15 00:53:09
Comments:
-
34. Name: Ann Tingley on 2014-08-15 00:54:31
Comments: Our water rates are extremely high. Please
-
35. Name: Joan Hosmer on 2014-08-15 00:55:52
Comments: Please reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.
-
36. Name: Almira Baker on 2014-08-15 00:59:55
Comments:
-
37. Name: Holly Jeppesen on 2014-08-15 01:02:16
Comments: We cannot afford to sit back anymore and do nothing. This community is wonderful, but the water rates are out of control!
-
38. Name: Susan Milchman on 2014-08-15 01:05:13
Comments:
-

39. Name: Robert Harbison on 2014-08-15 01:05:59
Comments: Why are we supposed to help pay for other district's water bills? This issue has already been ruled on. Our water bills, even without being consolidated with Agua Fria are considerably higher than most water districts in the valley. If the ACC wants to be fair consolidate with water in Cave Creek, North Scottsdale and Phoenix. It appears that they think they can pick off a smaller community like Anthem and still maintain their electorate base. Fair that we should have to go through this again after having it settled.
-
40. Name: Patricia McNamara on 2014-08-15 01:06:43
Comments:
-
41. Name: William on 2014-08-15 01:07:14
Comments:
-
42. Name: Bob and Sheryl McKenzie on 2014-08-15 01:09:10
Comments: A deal should be a deal - Agua Fria residents are not asking for re-consolidation, so why is it under consideration?
-
43. Name: Bristow on 2014-08-15 01:09:53
Comments:
-
44. Name: Bruce Ellis on 2014-08-15 01:10:50
Comments: Deconsolidation should not be reversed. Anthem residents should not have to subsidize the residents in other districts. That was the point of the deconsolidation that was thoroughly discussed and approved.
-
45. Name: Sharon L Strickland on 2014-08-15 01:11:31
Comments: Do NOT allow this change to overturn the deconsolidation. I am an Arizona native and the waste water rates in this area are outrageous! I never paid high rates like this until moving to Anthem 10 years ago!
-
46. Name: Julie Olson on 2014-08-15 01:12:00
Comments:
-
47. Name: James E Strickland Sr on 2014-08-15 01:13:11
Comments:
-
48. Name: David Carman on 2014-08-15 01:13:15
Comments:
-
49. Name: James Olson on 2014-08-15 01:14:45
Comments:
-
50. Name: Edward & Jod McCabe on 2014-08-15 01:21:51

Comments:

51. Name: howard schwalbach on 2014-08-15 01:21:56
Comments:

52. Name: Howard K. Roose on 2014-08-15 01:22:22
Comments: The ACC needs to stick by its decision made in 2012.

53. Name: Georgeann Pavolka on 2014-08-15 01:22:25
Comments:

54. Name: DOUGLAS DUDLEY on 2014-08-15 01:24:33
Comments:

55. Name: Robin Presley on 2014-08-15 01:25:00
Comments:

56. Name: MAY DUDLEY on 2014-08-15 01:25:34
Comments:

57. Name: Jackie De Angelis on 2014-08-15 01:31:52
Comments:

58. Name: Mary Ballejos on 2014-08-15 01:32:46
Comments: Continuye to deconsolidate Anthem from the Anthem/Agua Fria Wastewater District.

59. Name: James Speichinger on 2014-08-15 01:33:44
Comments:

60. Name: Leah Lass on 2014-08-15 01:34:55
Comments:

61. Name: Margaret Guerrero on 2014-08-15 01:38:20
Comments:

62. Name: Lori Vitali on 2014-08-15 01:41:24
Comments:

63. Name: Darin Norman on 2014-08-15 01:43:21
Comments:

64. Name: Tisha on 2014-08-15 01:44:09
Comments:
-
65. Name: anno goshgarian on 2014-08-15 01:50:43
Comments:
-
66. Name: Sandra Hutto on 2014-08-15 01:50:53
Comments:
-
67. Name: bruce perry on 2014-08-15 01:51:07
Comments:
-
68. Name: William Stallaed on 2014-08-15 01:52:27
Comments:
-
69. Name: Gary Baxter on 2014-08-15 01:54:59
Comments:
-
70. Name: Mary Ann Fricker on 2014-08-15 02:00:46
Comments: I reject the proposed reconsolidation
-
71. Name: Fred Peri on 2014-08-15 02:05:30
Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation proposal
-
72. Name: Lindsey Green on 2014-08-15 02:05:47
Comments: Dear ACC, a deal is a deal. Keep Anthem and Agua Fria wastewater separate.
-
73. Name: donna dandria on 2014-08-15 02:10:14
Comments:
-
74. Name: Doreen Drew on 2014-08-15 02:11:28
Comments: doreendrewcb@gmail.com
-
75. Name: Fran Hayne on 2014-08-15 02:13:45
Comments:
-
76. Name: corydon johnson on 2014-08-15 02:15:35
Comments:
-
77. Name: Glenn Crouch on 2014-08-15 02:21:29
Comments: I completely support this petition, the constant back and forth is not only

unfair but a waste of everyone's time.

-
78. Name: Elizabeth Burdick on 2014-08-15 02:22:56
Comments:
-
79. Name: Dennis and Nancy Rood on 2014-08-15 02:38:08
Comments: Our home is at 3326 W. Hemingway Lane and we oppose the reconsolidation of the Anthem EWastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.
-
80. Name: D.R. Brown on 2014-08-15 02:38:14
Comments:
-
81. Name: David D. Vaselaar on 2014-08-15 02:39:51
Comments:
-
82. Name: John Lauher on 2014-08-15 02:40:11
Comments: Reversing this decision would be a travesty!
-
83. Name: Marlena Van Zwol on 2014-08-15 02:40:41
Comments:
-
84. Name: Linda Kafenbaum on 2014-08-15 02:43:22
Comments:
-
85. Name: Lynn Glick on 2014-08-15 02:48:30
Comments: A deal is a deal.
-
86. Name: Linda Schwartz on 2014-08-15 02:54:30
Comments: Why, when something passes, does it not mean something?
-
87. Name: Seth McKenzie on 2014-08-15 02:54:38
Comments:
-
88. Name: Tammi Linville on 2014-08-15 02:58:54
Comments:
-
89. Name: Pamela Charnota on 2014-08-15 03:07:52
Comments: I am against the deconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater district and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.
-
90. Name: Katherine Warren on 2014-08-15 03:07:55
Comments:

-
91. Name: Michael Newton on 2014-08-15 03:10:31
Comments:
-
92. Name: Carolyn Ellis on 2014-08-15 03:14:34
Comments:
-
93. Name: Carmalyn Newton on 2014-08-15 03:15:48
Comments:
-
94. Name: Ursula VanKuiken on 2014-08-15 03:39:22
Comments:
-
95. Name: Carla Kregle on 2014-08-15 03:40:53
Comments: A deal is a deal...
-
96. Name: Kim Basile on 2014-08-15 03:48:12
Comments:
-
97. Name: Peter Sera on 2014-08-15 03:49:08
Comments:
-
98. Name: craig otter on 2014-08-15 03:56:08
Comments:
-
99. Name: John Turner on 2014-08-15 04:03:24
Comments:
-
100. Name: Murray Matthews on 2014-08-15 04:05:51
Comments: Our rates in Anthem are already outlandish. The last thing we need is an increase beyond what we already pay!
-
101. Name: Renee Davis on 2014-08-15 04:22:33
Comments: We pay enough already
-
102. Name: Heidi Furman on 2014-08-15 04:25:32
Comments:
-
103. Name: Dan Mahedy Jr on 2014-08-15 04:26:19
Comments: I oppose reconsolidation!!
-
104. Name: David on 2014-08-15 04:27:31

Comments:

-
105. Name: john mayahara on 2014-08-15 04:28:53
Comments:
-
106. Name: Diane Moore on 2014-08-15 04:39:20
Comments: It's already so unfair that we have the most expensive water in the state!
-
107. Name: Ann Schlensig on 2014-08-15 04:41:24
Comments:
-
108. Name: Danielle Radcliffe on 2014-08-15 04:44:42
Comments: My water bill is already way too high, I can't afford an increase.
-
109. Name: Michelle Gonzalez on 2014-08-15 04:45:29
Comments:
-
110. Name: Kendra Ruiz on 2014-08-15 04:45:58
Comments: Rates are already too high
-
111. Name: Karin Ikesaki on 2014-08-15 04:46:52
Comments:
-
112. Name: Sandee Skversky on 2014-08-15 04:48:14
Comments: our water bill is already ridiculously high. I have lived in several cities in 3 different states and my bill here is over twice as high as any of them
-
113. Name: Travis Hill on 2014-08-15 04:48:48
Comments: Epcor is bad, m-Kay.
-
114. Name: Curtis & Julie Evert on 2014-08-15 04:49:39
Comments: We oppose the deconsolidation plan being cosidered.
-
115. Name: Jeana Farinacci on 2014-08-15 04:51:07
Comments:
-
116. Name: Mary Chase on 2014-08-15 04:51:26
Comments:
-
117. Name: Shauna Stueve on 2014-08-15 04:51:44
Comments:
-

118. Name: Kelsey Ashworth on 2014-08-15 04:52:51
Comments:
-
119. Name: Stephanie McLean on 2014-08-15 04:53:03
Comments: Enough already!! Stop overcharging us and raising rates.
-
120. Name: Michele on 2014-08-15 04:54:32
Comments:
-
121. Name: Grant Scott on 2014-08-15 04:54:49
Comments:
-
122. Name: Courtney Muessig on 2014-08-15 04:55:40
Comments:
-
123. Name: Cameron Starkey on 2014-08-15 04:56:05
Comments:
-
124. Name: abrar alsafi on 2014-08-15 04:57:01
Comments:
-
125. Name: Douglas James Weiss on 2014-08-15 04:57:03
Comments:
-
126. Name: Lisa Eng on 2014-08-15 04:57:11
Comments:
-
127. Name: Regina wright on 2014-08-15 04:58:46
Comments:
-
128. Name: Jen Jaros on 2014-08-15 04:58:48
Comments:
-
129. Name: Marty Tufte on 2014-08-15 04:59:22
Comments:
-
130. Name: Larry Jackson on 2014-08-15 05:00:33
Comments:
-
131. Name: Kara Baynes on 2014-08-15 05:01:12
Comments:
-

132. Name: Tarra Kabler on 2014-08-15 05:01:46
Comments:
-
133. Name: Robert McFall on 2014-08-15 05:02:29
Comments:
-
134. Name: Nirmal Manerikar on 2014-08-15 05:03:12
Comments:
-
135. Name: Jaime Forbes on 2014-08-15 05:08:01
Comments:
-
136. Name: Hallie Walters on 2014-08-15 05:09:13
Comments:
-
137. Name: Linda Zuppa on 2014-08-15 05:09:17
Comments:
-
138. Name: Amelia Lohr on 2014-08-15 05:09:54
Comments: Oppose reconsolidation!
-
139. Name: Megan Christie on 2014-08-15 05:09:57
Comments:
-
140. Name: Rita Hawley on 2014-08-15 05:11:31
Comments:
-
141. Name: Rachel Richard on 2014-08-15 05:12:46
Comments:
-
142. Name: Kevin Stull on 2014-08-15 05:13:29
Comments: I an 100% opposed to reconsolidating the Anthem and Agua Fria astewater districts.
-
143. Name: Amy L. Bair on 2014-08-15 05:13:30
Comments:
-
144. Name: Kevin Kozacek on 2014-08-15 05:14:59
Comments:
-
145. Name: ingrid bradford on 2014-08-15 05:17:02
Comments:

-
146. Name: Alison Altrui on 2014-08-15 05:18:36
Comments: Our water is high enough! No need to raise it more!!!!
-
147. Name: Mark Paulat on 2014-08-15 05:22:34
Comments: reject reconsolidation!
-
148. Name: Jeremy Naranjo on 2014-08-15 05:23:59
Comments:
-
149. Name: Robin Mizerek on 2014-08-15 05:35:42
Comments:
-
150. Name: Harriet Brigulio on 2014-08-15 05:36:00
Comments:
-
151. Name: Jen Anderson on 2014-08-15 05:36:08
Comments: My water bill is higher than my APS bill!
-
152. Name: James Faultner on 2014-08-15 05:38:37
Comments:
-
153. Name: Francesca Molina on 2014-08-15 05:41:23
Comments:
-
154. Name: Priscilla Cruz on 2014-08-15 05:44:47
Comments: REJECT RECONSOLIDATION!!
-
155. Name: Chelle Rettler on 2014-08-15 05:45:13
Comments:
-
156. Name: Christine Garcia on 2014-08-15 05:53:46
Comments:
-
157. Name: Douglas Kellock on 2014-08-15 05:54:02
Comments: Rates are already some of the highest in the entire Phoenix area.
-
158. Name: rl evans on 2014-08-15 05:58:52
Comments:
-
159. Name: john oliveri on 2014-08-15 06:03:55
Comments: why does this question keep coming up. will we have to live with the spectre

of this over our heads forever? you made a deal,now keep it.

160. Name: Denise Alvarez on 2014-08-15 06:10:17
Comments:

161. Name: Kanchan Maneriakr on 2014-08-15 06:22:36
Comments:

162. Name: William Thomas on 2014-08-15 06:36:27
Comments:

163. Name: Renee Petrey on 2014-08-15 06:45:11
Comments: Hate Epcore!

164. Name: Diane Cavallo on 2014-08-15 06:50:45
Comments:

165. Name: nadia long on 2014-08-15 06:59:08
Comments:

166. Name: Jesse Eng on 2014-08-15 07:01:29
Comments: Anthem resident

167. Name: Harmony Brown on 2014-08-15 07:23:01
Comments:

168. Name: Mike Bowman on 2014-08-15 07:42:04
Comments:

169. Name: Chad Eisinger on 2014-08-15 08:08:39
Comments: You made a deal. Honor it!

170. Name: Rebecca Mazziotti on 2014-08-15 08:10:07
Comments: Please do not raise the water rates!

171. Name: Kim shinko on 2014-08-15 08:13:35
Comments:

172. Name: William Saulnier on 2014-08-15 08:19:09
Comments:

173. Name: Mark wilson on 2014-08-15 08:36:32

Comments:

-
174. Name: Misty Brown on 2014-08-15 08:42:07
Comments:
-
175. Name: Sheldon Lopate on 2014-08-15 09:20:14
Comments:
-
176. Name: Carlton G. Young on 2014-08-15 09:50:52
Comments:
-
177. Name: Jack King on 2014-08-15 11:03:23
Comments:
-
178. Name: Jennifer Pounds on 2014-08-15 11:04:32
Comments:
-
179. Name: Tammy dababneh on 2014-08-15 11:30:10
Comments:
-
180. Name: Al Dababneh on 2014-08-15 11:31:16
Comments:
-
181. Name: Robert Dodson on 2014-08-15 11:41:02
Comments:
-
182. Name: Stephen Taylot on 2014-08-15 11:42:46
Comments:
-
183. Name: Catherine chilcote on 2014-08-15 12:09:24
Comments:
-
184. Name: Jean Quine on 2014-08-15 12:11:56
Comments: We already have had our water rates doubled or more! Honor our agreement!
-
185. Name: Scott Richardson on 2014-08-15 12:13:46
Comments:
-
186. Name: Chris Hart on 2014-08-15 12:24:57
Comments: It is in our best interest to leave the two districts separate. No reconsolidation.
-
187. Name: Ryan Wayne on 2014-08-15 12:27:23

Comments: honor the agreement

188. Name: Alan Bramley on 2014-08-15 12:28:38
Comments:

189. Name: Karen Dorian on 2014-08-15 12:34:22
Comments:

190. Name: Julia Vanluvane on 2014-08-15 12:43:46
Comments:

191. Name: Audrey Long on 2014-08-15 12:51:59
Comments:

192. Name: Robyn Arnone on 2014-08-15 12:59:17
Comments: Lower rates way too high.

193. Name: Marella Ruedinger on 2014-08-15 13:00:00
Comments:

194. Name: Sherry Singer on 2014-08-15 13:01:07
Comments: dont raise our water rates in Anthem

195. Name: Carolyn young on 2014-08-15 13:01:46
Comments:

196. Name: Cheryl Mielnicki on 2014-08-15 13:02:53
Comments:

197. Name: Robert Alverson on 2014-08-15 13:07:09
Comments:

198. Name: Ernie Garcia on 2014-08-15 13:07:44
Comments: pls dont raise our rates. they are already over 3x higher than phx. if anything lower them. 10+ year resident of anthem

199. Name: Chris Moreno on 2014-08-15 13:10:11
Comments:

200. Name: Mary Montgomery on 2014-08-15 13:11:25
Comments:

201. Name: Teresa Pierson on 2014-08-15 13:16:43
Comments: Anthem is strong and will stand against already higher rates
-
202. Name: Tracey ingalls on 2014-08-15 13:19:47
Comments:
-
203. Name: Michael Montgomery on 2014-08-15 13:21:55
Comments: The rates in Anthem are already high. An increase will just make Anthem a less desirable area for people to live, possibly resulting in a decrease in home values.
-
204. Name: Roberta Siegel on 2014-08-15 13:25:59
Comments:
-
205. Name: Michael Capeloto on 2014-08-15 13:28:47
Comments: I strongly oppose reconsolidation with the Agua Fria Wastewater District
-
206. Name: Brett Willden on 2014-08-15 13:32:17
Comments:
-
207. Name: Blanche Munnely on 2014-08-15 13:34:54
Comments:
-
208. Name: Charisse Soldinski on 2014-08-15 13:39:05
Comments:
-
209. Name: Stacey kuffner on 2014-08-15 13:41:41
Comments:
-
210. Name: Shauna Garner on 2014-08-15 13:44:20
Comments:
-
211. Name: zulma estes on 2014-08-15 13:44:57
Comments:
-
212. Name: Candace Molumby on 2014-08-15 13:55:48
Comments:
-
213. Name: Kristin Padilla on 2014-08-15 13:57:26
Comments:
-
214. Name: Jenni O'Connor on 2014-08-15 13:58:04
Comments:

-
215. Name: Mary Ferris on 2014-08-15 14:00:28
Comments:
-
216. Name: Ann Perez on 2014-08-15 14:06:00
Comments: The Commission made the right decision in 2012, and it's still the right decision today.
-
217. Name: Gregory Hurd on 2014-08-15 14:10:21
Comments: Honor the agreement that the commission in 2012 agreed to. Do not place unfair burden on Anthem residents. Honor your ru
-
218. Name: karen shepard on 2014-08-15 14:28:06
Comments:
-
219. Name: Mike Botta on 2014-08-15 14:34:13
Comments:
-
220. Name: Rebecca Slucher on 2014-08-15 14:35:11
Comments:
-
221. Name: Caryn Wechsler on 2014-08-15 14:39:30
Comments:
-
222. Name: Blaire Hawes on 2014-08-15 14:41:30
Comments:
-
223. Name: Nea cohen on 2014-08-15 14:42:51
Comments: Our water and waste rates are high enough.
-
224. Name: Robert O Del Principe on 2014-08-15 14:42:51
Comments: Reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.
-
225. Name: Darryl Cohen on 2014-08-15 14:44:37
Comments: Honor the 2012 decision.
-
226. Name: Jill Vannucci on 2014-08-15 14:45:02
Comments: Please don't raise our water rates!
-
227. Name: John Christoff on 2014-08-15 14:49:02
Comments: If reconsolidation of wastewater is approved should consolidation of all water

programs be considered? Need to drive water rates lower

-
228. Name: Barbara Mullins on 2014-08-15 14:49:47
Comments:
-
229. Name: Brenda smith on 2014-08-15 14:49:49
Comments: No raise of fees
-
230. Name: Leo Commandeur on 2014-08-15 14:57:31
Comments: Anthem has new infrastructure and sun city west has old infrastructure we will end up paying for the sun city repairs down the road if they are allowed to consolidate these two districts.
-
231. Name: Steven Ragan on 2014-08-15 14:58:19
Comments: Water rates are way too high already
-
232. Name: Keith J. Haverly on 2014-08-15 14:59:56
Comments:
-
233. Name: Ariail Buntyn on 2014-08-15 15:00:36
Comments:
-
234. Name: Theresa Chalfin on 2014-08-15 15:02:27
Comments:
-
235. Name: Lenna Mau on 2014-08-15 15:04:26
Comments:
-
236. Name: Janet Krings-Adamczyk on 2014-08-15 15:04:39
Comments:
-
237. Name: Raymond Rura on 2014-08-15 15:09:59
Comments:
-
238. Name: Brett Wine on 2014-08-15 15:12:03
Comments:
-
239. Name: Victor Kroeger on 2014-08-15 15:20:01
Comments:
-
240. Name: Sherri Murphy on 2014-08-15 15:22:08
Comments:

241. Name: ted chupa on 2014-08-15 15:22:42
Comments: Totally opposed

242. Name: Kenzie Bellomy on 2014-08-15 15:24:29
Comments:

243. Name: Hiroko Perry on 2014-08-15 15:24:32
Comments:

244. Name: Adrian Cepleanu on 2014-08-15 15:30:24
Comments:

245. Name: William H. Young on 2014-08-15 15:32:12
Comments:

246. Name: Beth Bergman on 2014-08-15 15:36:59
Comments:

247. Name: Racquel on 2014-08-15 15:40:18
Comments: keep the deal

248. Name: Kim Valent on 2014-08-15 15:41:31
Comments:

249. Name: Greg Toth on 2014-08-15 15:51:22
Comments:

250. Name: Jennifer Thomas on 2014-08-15 15:53:06
Comments:

251. Name: Doreen Detaranto on 2014-08-15 15:57:14
Comments:

252. Name: Ronald Di Lorenzo on 2014-08-15 15:57:52
Comments:

253. Name: Sami (Beverly) Wyatt on 2014-08-15 16:03:17
Comments: Epcor Water is not on our side. Do not let this consolidation happen. We need to stand alone. I agree with Leo Commandeur's comment.

254. Name: Richard Kruse on 2014-08-15 16:04:09

Comments:

-
255. Name: Brenda Boaz on 2014-08-15 16:04:27
Comments: I oppose the reconsolidating.
-
256. Name: Robert Thompson on 2014-08-15 16:04:36
Comments:
-
257. Name: Margaret Bartlett on 2014-08-15 16:22:55
Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation with the Agua Fria Wastewater District. A court decision was made based and should be adhered to.
-
258. Name: Peggy Nie on 2014-08-15 16:29:31
Comments: I oppose the proposed re-consolidation.
-
259. Name: Bryan Hartlen on 2014-08-15 16:29:33
Comments: I oppose reconsolidation.
-
260. Name: Tim Arthur on 2014-08-15 16:30:37
Comments:
-
261. Name: Mary Dunlop on 2014-08-15 16:34:47
Comments: I oppose reconsolidation! We paid huge water bills for years and now that our rates are due to go down, reconsolidation will make them go up.
-
262. Name: Alicia Sawka on 2014-08-15 16:40:58
Comments: No raise
-
263. Name: Vanessa Gambs on 2014-08-15 16:43:30
Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation!!
-
264. Name: Kim Laird on 2014-08-15 16:44:13
Comments:
-
265. Name: Bob Golembe on 2014-08-15 16:51:53
Comments: "A deal is a deal"!
-
266. Name: Diane Balzer on 2014-08-15 16:55:29
Comments:
-
267. Name: SPC TOM RICE on 2014-08-15 16:58:05
Comments: I oppose reconsolidation

-
268. Name: Jeff DeSilva on 2014-08-15 17:00:23
Comments:
-
269. Name: Pam Wilson on 2014-08-15 17:05:23
Comments:
-
270. Name: Tim Kolacek on 2014-08-15 17:05:27
Comments: "I oppose reconsolidation"
-
271. Name: Vanessa & John McMahon on 2014-08-15 17:06:18
Comments:
-
272. Name: Paul T. Vander Hoek, Jr. on 2014-08-15 17:14:48
Comments: fair is fair, stay with the deal that was negotiated.
-
273. Name: Angie Simon on 2014-08-15 17:18:52
Comments:
-
274. Name: Rachel Hughes on 2014-08-15 17:19:04
Comments:
-
275. Name: Les James on 2014-08-15 17:37:30
Comments:
-
276. Name: Patricia Papineau on 2014-08-15 17:39:44
Comments: I oppose!
-
277. Name: Michael Altieri on 2014-08-15 17:43:08
Comments:
-
278. Name: Shellie Fayen on 2014-08-15 17:47:14
Comments:
-
279. Name: Lani Stava on 2014-08-15 17:48:44
Comments:
-
280. Name: Traci Wolf on 2014-08-15 17:55:57
Comments:
-
281. Name: Daniel Wolf on 2014-08-15 17:57:53
Comments:

-
282. Name: Holly Byerly on 2014-08-15 18:21:26
Comments:
-
283. Name: Bob Barlow on 2014-08-15 18:21:43
Comments:
-
284. Name: Keith Byerly on 2014-08-15 18:22:21
Comments:
-
285. Name: Monica Loscalzo on 2014-08-15 18:26:52
Comments:
-
286. Name: Matt Bradford on 2014-08-15 18:31:04
Comments: I oppose consolidation
-
287. Name: Eeva Marenbach on 2014-08-15 18:37:39
Comments: Many of us living here and owning a home are on limited income...please keep the rates low to keep us here!
-
288. Name: Russ Vossbrink on 2014-08-15 18:39:40
Comments:
-
289. Name: marcy neff on 2014-08-15 18:47:50
Comments:
-
290. Name: KEN WINHAM on 2014-08-15 18:53:34
Comments:
-
291. Name: Ron Hafezi on 2014-08-15 19:02:51
Comments: Reconsolidation is unfair and does not address Arizona's water shortages either.
-
292. Name: Anna Ellis on 2014-08-15 19:13:06
Comments: I oppose!
-
293. Name: Karen Carvelli on 2014-08-15 19:21:53
Comments:
-
294. Name: Robert & Janet Mensik on 2014-08-15 19:30:14
Comments:
-

295. Name: Carmen Ballard on 2014-08-15 19:38:46
Comments:
-
296. Name: Rosemarie Siwek on 2014-08-15 19:42:45
Comments: WE certainly do not need our water rates increased!!!! Please do all you can and let us know what we as residents can do, to alleviate this problem.
-
297. Name: Holly Matson on 2014-08-15 19:54:37
Comments:
-
298. Name: Iris Weiss on 2014-08-15 19:56:42
Comments: I appose the considation.
-
299. Name: Coral Weinberg on 2014-08-15 19:56:48
Comments:
-
300. Name: Bill Gahn on 2014-08-15 19:56:52
Comments: This needs to be chnaged back-- mThe rates are too high now.
-
301. Name: Sharon Metoyer on 2014-08-15 19:56:58
Comments: Our votes count!
-
302. Name: Lacey Ranck on 2014-08-15 19:57:23
Comments: Leave our water bill alone!!!!
-
303. Name: Jas Sandhu on 2014-08-15 19:57:31
Comments: Oppose!
-
304. Name: Peggy christoff on 2014-08-15 19:58:31
Comments:
-
305. Name: Fred Struss on 2014-08-15 20:01:16
Comments:
-
306. Name: Corine Cuvelier on 2014-08-15 20:01:49
Comments:
-
307. Name: Pam Silkey on 2014-08-15 20:02:17
Comments:
-
308. Name: Mary Helmbock on 2014-08-15 20:02:31
Comments:

-
309. Name: Lorraine Bousard on 2014-08-15 20:03:11
Comments:
-
310. Name: Jack Dose on 2014-08-15 20:04:33
Comments: We pay too much as it is now.
-
311. Name: Dennis Chilcote on 2014-08-15 20:07:00
Comments: Our rates are too high already! We just want fairness. Other's should be paying their fair share and not burden us with paying for them.
-
312. Name: Elizabeth Bergin on 2014-08-15 20:07:10
Comments:
-
313. Name: Adam Alberty on 2014-08-15 20:07:46
Comments:
-
314. Name: Justin Creasy on 2014-08-15 20:07:50
Comments:
-
315. Name: Lara Gates on 2014-08-15 20:08:25
Comments:
-
316. Name: Alex Ristanovic on 2014-08-15 20:08:46
Comments:
-
317. Name: Jessica Spera-Molnar on 2014-08-15 20:09:36
Comments:
-
318. Name: Shannon Hill on 2014-08-15 20:10:30
Comments:
-
319. Name: Joseph Fronius on 2014-08-15 20:10:51
Comments:
-
320. Name: Denise Clark on 2014-08-15 20:11:22
Comments:
-
321. Name: Nathan Fields on 2014-08-15 20:14:45
Comments: We have had this battle already. Our rates in comparison to other communities are out of line. We do not need to be punished with higher rates to subsidize another community. We should be finding a way to lower everyones rates especially

waste water rates that are in the insane range.

322. Name: Erin Leigh Abbott on 2014-08-15 20:15:23
Comments:

323. Name: Howard & Margery Marshall on 2014-08-15 20:15:37
Comments: The Corporation Commission has already considered this issue and rendered it's ruling. It should stick with it's original decision and not bend to pressure to change it.

324. Name: Patsy Long on 2014-08-15 20:18:35
Comments: Patsy Long

325. Name: Gayna Savoury on 2014-08-15 20:19:46
Comments:

326. Name: David George on 2014-08-15 20:21:12
Comments:

327. Name: Brandon Hawes on 2014-08-15 20:24:11
Comments: The costs are already among the highest around.

328. Name: James I Haag on 2014-08-15 20:24:54
Comments:

329. Name: Cara Riek on 2014-08-15 20:31:37
Comments: Water is a basic necessity. How is it fair that some pay more than others? This could seriously affect those who may be on a fixed income AND keep people from coming to Anthem.

330. Name: Lamont Monroe on 2014-08-15 20:34:03
Comments: Current water rates are much to high in comparison to other communities.

331. Name: Andria Kovach on 2014-08-15 20:38:59
Comments:

332. Name: Mary Ann Derryberry on 2014-08-15 20:39:57
Comments: We are already paying sky high rates !

333. Name: Rochelle Decker on 2014-08-15 20:40:01
Comments:

334. Name: Tom Derryberry on 2014-08-15 20:40:50
Comments: Enough !!!!!
-
335. Name: Anthony Rocha on 2014-08-15 20:41:01
Comments:
-
336. Name: Edward Varney on 2014-08-15 20:41:05
Comments: There is no logical explanation as to why unconnected communities should not pay what it costs to operate their own water system.
-
337. Name: Fred Creasy on 2014-08-15 20:42:28
Comments:
-
338. Name: Fred Creasy on 2014-08-15 20:42:30
Comments:
-
339. Name: Jackie DeAngelis on 2014-08-15 20:42:47
Comments:
-
340. Name: James Robinson on 2014-08-15 20:43:28
Comments: No, no, and no to the reconsolidation with the Aqua Fria District. This would be totally unfair to Anthem residents!
-
341. Name: David Nichols on 2014-08-15 20:45:17
Comments:
-
342. Name: Todd Huddleston on 2014-08-15 20:45:47
Comments:
-
343. Name: Susan Bolitho on 2014-08-15 20:46:36
Comments:
-
344. Name: Kristy Kindred Snyder on 2014-08-15 20:48:55
Comments:
-
345. Name: Kelly Hartlen on 2014-08-15 20:49:59
Comments:
-
346. Name: Jean Petrie on 2014-08-15 20:53:41
Comments:
-
347. Name: Chad Cole on 2014-08-15 20:55:47

Comments: Rates are already RIDICULOUS.

348. Name: D F on 2014-08-15 20:57:06
Comments:

349. Name: Jim Angel on 2014-08-15 20:57:54
Comments:

350. Name: Lydia Jenkins on 2014-08-15 20:58:24
Comments:

351. Name: YM Chen on 2014-08-15 20:58:37
Comments: Please oppose Reconsolidation!!!!

352. Name: Isaac Lopez on 2014-08-15 20:59:08
Comments: I Oppose Reconsolidation with the Agua Fria Wastewater District

353. Name: Ann Gibboney on 2014-08-15 20:59:38
Comments: The rates are unfair now, I am constantly being told that someone was interested in buying property in Anthem Parkside but decided against it because of the problems with Epcor and their water and sewer rates. What does this say about the future of Anthem Parkside? There is not ehtical reason to reconsider the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Aqua Fria Wastewater District.

354. Name: Thayer Lillie on 2014-08-15 21:01:09
Comments:

355. Name: Lawrence Hilliard on 2014-08-15 21:01:58
Comments:

356. Name: Jon Salmen on 2014-08-15 21:03:00
Comments: No on this change!

357. Name: Tom Glatt on 2014-08-15 21:03:21
Comments:

358. Name: Peggy Foster on 2014-08-15 21:04:12
Comments:

359. Name: Representative Karen Fann LD 1 on 2014-08-15 21:06:07
Comments: I oppose the re consolidation of the wastewater districts

360. Name: Tom Winter on 2014-08-15 21:06:45
Comments:
-
361. Name: Pamela Keane on 2014-08-15 21:08:07
Comments:
-
362. Name: Cendee Nielsen on 2014-08-15 21:08:33
Comments:
-
363. Name: McKenzie Erving on 2014-08-15 21:08:48
Comments:
-
364. Name: J Erwin on 2014-08-15 21:10:22
Comments: please reject the reconsolidation
-
365. Name: Jessica Powers on 2014-08-15 21:12:21
Comments:
-
366. Name: Ann Perrigoue on 2014-08-15 21:13:25
Comments:
-
367. Name: Nancy Buckner on 2014-08-15 21:14:03
Comments:
-
368. Name: George Ballard on 2014-08-15 21:14:57
Comments: Strongly in favor of rejecting any reconsolidation effort. Such action would be grossly unfair to the residents of Anthem.
-
369. Name: Carrie Maxwell-Ellison on 2014-08-15 21:15:38
Comments:
-
370. Name: Scott Zychowski on 2014-08-15 21:17:16
Comments:
-
371. Name: William Coffey on 2014-08-15 21:17:26
Comments:
-
372. Name: Robert Buckner on 2014-08-15 21:18:10
Comments:
-
373. Name: bob williams on 2014-08-15 21:18:16
Comments: I oppose the consolidation efforts. Have no desire to subsidize other

communities water issues outside of Anthem.

374. Name: Ida Scott on 2014-08-15 21:19:20
Comments:

375. Name: Victor Godin on 2014-08-15 21:20:34
Comments: Reject Reconsolidation of Anthem wastewater district.

376. Name: Joseph Huseonica on 2014-08-15 21:21:33
Comments: We didn't ask for this and we don't want it!

377. Name: Alexandra Castellano on 2014-08-15 21:21:42
Comments:

378. Name: Holly charles on 2014-08-15 21:21:56
Comments:

379. Name: Diane Clarke on 2014-08-15 21:22:36
Comments: Reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

380. Name: Ruth Miller on 2014-08-15 21:24:11
Comments:

381. Name: Robert W Foster on 2014-08-15 21:25:39
Comments:

382. Name: Brian Vander Meulen on 2014-08-15 21:25:59
Comments:

383. Name: Becky N MacMillan on 2014-08-15 21:30:21
Comments: Reject reconsolidation.

384. Name: Lee and Vickie Rohlfs on 2014-08-15 21:30:46
Comments:

385. Name: Gina McGurin on 2014-08-15 21:31:33
Comments:

386. Name: Steve Oberaigner on 2014-08-15 21:32:03
Comments:

387. Name: James Murphy on 2014-08-15 21:33:05
Comments: This process must stop!
-
388. Name: B Garcia on 2014-08-15 21:33:54
Comments: Decline the reconsolidation with Agua Fria. This is nuts. We are already paying way to much for water! When we moved here we didn't see anything that our water rates would be consistanly increasing. We didn't have a say in the behind the door politics.
-
389. Name: Sherri Murphy on 2014-08-15 21:33:55
Comments:
-
390. Name: Sherri Murphy on 2014-08-15 21:33:55
Comments:
-
391. Name: Sherri Murphy on 2014-08-15 21:33:56
Comments:
-
392. Name: Sherri Murphy on 2014-08-15 21:33:56
Comments:
-
393. Name: Sherri Murphy on 2014-08-15 21:33:57
Comments:
-
394. Name: Sherri Murphy on 2014-08-15 21:33:57
Comments:
-
395. Name: Karen Hendricks on 2014-08-15 21:34:29
Comments: Please do not raise our cost for services. We already pay far more than our immediate neighborhoods.
-
396. Name: Howard Grodsky on 2014-08-15 21:35:03
Comments:
-
397. Name: Nancy Hutchison on 2014-08-15 21:35:43
Comments:
-
398. Name: Michael Hardin on 2014-08-15 21:36:07
Comments: Reject reconsolidation. Why should we subsidize others.
-
399. Name: Stephenie Gladden on 2014-08-15 21:39:50
Comments:
-

400. Name: Susan Roty on 2014-08-15 21:40:08
Comments:

401. Name: Deborah Rice on 2014-08-15 21:40:42
Comments:

402. Name: Gina Ferguson on 2014-08-15 21:44:00
Comments:

403. Name: Johanna Marais on 2014-08-15 21:44:43
Comments:

404. Name: Nancy Hardin on 2014-08-15 21:45:05
Comments:

405. Name: Daniel Esh on 2014-08-15 21:50:30
Comments: Keep as is. I am against any changes

406. Name: Mary Ann Bowen on 2014-08-15 21:52:25
Comments:

407. Name: Dan Rowley on 2014-08-15 21:54:21
Comments: Reconsolidation makes absolutely no sense for Anthem residents.

408. Name: Scott Nielsen on 2014-08-15 21:56:17
Comments:

409. Name: Marianne Olsen on 2014-08-15 21:56:46
Comments:

410. Name: Vincent Altrui on 2014-08-15 21:57:03
Comments:

411. Name: Beverly Mc Carty on 2014-08-15 21:57:14
Comments:

412. Name: Shelley Houser on 2014-08-15 21:57:37
Comments:

413. Name: pete Gonzalez on 2014-08-15 21:59:02
Comments:

414. Name: Brittany Wagner on 2014-08-15 22:01:59
Comments:
-
415. Name: Robert Wren on 2014-08-15 22:03:16
Comments:
-
416. Name: Heather Weiss on 2014-08-15 22:09:54
Comments:
-
417. Name: Danny Singer on 2014-08-15 22:13:08
Comments: dont raise rates
-
418. Name: Thomas Grasse on 2014-08-15 22:13:50
Comments:
-
419. Name: Jlm Burkard on 2014-08-15 22:14:50
Comments:
-
420. Name: Julie Hamel on 2014-08-15 22:16:36
Comments:
-
421. Name: Kathy wheaton on 2014-08-15 22:24:20
Comments: Dont raise the rates.
-
422. Name: Kristina Burgess on 2014-08-15 22:25:41
Comments:
-
423. Name: Scott kimbel on 2014-08-15 22:29:02
Comments:
-
424. Name: Helena Taboy on 2014-08-15 22:31:21
Comments:
-
425. Name: jocelyn rochman on 2014-08-15 22:36:18
Comments: we cannot afford such pay hikes
-
426. Name: Helenjean Bernhard on 2014-08-15 22:37:25
Comments:
-
427. Name: Marissa Wolfe on 2014-08-15 22:38:12
Comments:
-

428. Name: MAryEllen Grawl on 2014-08-15 22:38:36
Comments:
-
429. Name: Shannon Lewis on 2014-08-15 22:40:12
Comments:
-
430. Name: Mary kemnitz on 2014-08-15 22:44:19
Comments:
-
431. Name: John and Kathleen Scott on 2014-08-15 22:46:42
Comments:
-
432. Name: Christopher Karas on 2014-08-15 22:47:09
Comments:
-
433. Name: Joan Karas on 2014-08-15 22:48:17
Comments:
-
434. Name: Cynthia Lange on 2014-08-15 22:49:00
Comments:
-
435. Name: Mary Moriarity on 2014-08-15 22:51:15
Comments:
-
436. Name: Jennifer Swindig on 2014-08-15 22:54:29
Comments:
-
437. Name: Ena Simanson on 2014-08-15 22:56:44
Comments:
-
438. Name: John Moriarity on 2014-08-15 22:58:14
Comments:
-
439. Name: Andrew Marmo on 2014-08-15 22:58:25
Comments: If this go through It will be time to move out of anthem.
-
440. Name: Diane Smith on 2014-08-15 23:01:14
Comments: I am against restructuring.
-
441. Name: Richard Dickson on 2014-08-15 23:01:50
Comments:
-

442. Name: Ashley on 2014-08-15 23:04:51
Comments: our rates are high as it is already.
-
443. Name: Jenny Priniski on 2014-08-15 23:05:29
Comments:
-
444. Name: Kevin Priniski on 2014-08-15 23:06:16
Comments:
-
445. Name: Janine Caldwell on 2014-08-15 23:06:32
Comments:
-
446. Name: David Kelly on 2014-08-15 23:07:13
Comments:
-
447. Name: LeAnn and David Finney on 2014-08-15 23:07:34
Comments: Keep to our original agreement! Our water and waste water rates are unbelievably high!
-
448. Name: Santo Young on 2014-08-15 23:07:49
Comments:
-
449. Name: Karen Kelly on 2014-08-15 23:08:57
Comments:
-
450. Name: Bob Golembe on 2014-08-15 23:09:08
Comments:
-
451. Name: Pam Munch on 2014-08-15 23:09:18
Comments: I oppose re consolidation with the agua fria waste water district
-
452. Name: Anna Ragan on 2014-08-15 23:13:11
Comments: Our water rates are already highest around
-
453. Name: Ethelee Welliver on 2014-08-15 23:13:19
Comments:
-
454. Name: C. Strong on 2014-08-15 23:13:23
Comments: This is outrages, do they not want us to live?
-
455. Name: Tracy Macon on 2014-08-15 23:13:44
Comments:

-
456. Name: Lisa Commer on 2014-08-15 23:17:09
Comments:
-
457. Name: Kathleen Frankel on 2014-08-15 23:19:35
Comments:
-
458. Name: Michael Egginton on 2014-08-15 23:19:40
Comments:
-
459. Name: Jessica on 2014-08-15 23:20:21
Comments:
-
460. Name: Darwin Bostic on 2014-08-15 23:20:23
Comments: I oppose any vote to reconsolidate the Anthem/Aqua Fria Wastewater District!
-
461. Name: Marilyn Turner on 2014-08-15 23:21:02
Comments: I oppose reconsolidation
-
462. Name: Wendy Patterson on 2014-08-15 23:25:20
Comments:
-
463. Name: Christi Bielstein on 2014-08-15 23:26:20
Comments:
-
464. Name: Gurses R and Phyllis J Hudson on 2014-08-15 23:26:40
Comments: Respectfully request your rejection of the reconsolidation of the Agua Fria Anthem and Agua Fria Wastewater Districts.
-
465. Name: Kristine culver on 2014-08-15 23:27:59
Comments: Making me want to move
-
466. Name: Willine Evans on 2014-08-15 23:31:17
Comments: Anthem has already fought the good fight with the AZ Corp. Commission and the water issued was settled in 2012. Anthem residents walked away knowing the water issue was finally settled. Anthem residents have not changed their mind and expect the commission to honor their 2012 vote on the matter.
-
467. Name: Chad Aipperspach on 2014-08-15 23:33:27
Comments: The water is already more expensive than gasoline!
-

468. Name: Linda Salazar on 2014-08-15 23:35:18
Comments:
-
469. Name: tony kovar on 2014-08-15 23:36:39
Comments:
-
470. Name: Sondra Wendt on 2014-08-15 23:37:24
Comments:
-
471. Name: Jeff Patterson on 2014-08-15 23:37:30
Comments: Keep de-consolidation, no reversal
-
472. Name: Michael Ruck on 2014-08-15 23:38:01
Comments:
-
473. Name: Ryan Gray on 2014-08-15 23:38:01
Comments: Please don't raise our water rates.
-
474. Name: Jayne Ruck on 2014-08-15 23:38:58
Comments:
-
475. Name: Heather Brumwell on 2014-08-15 23:40:53
Comments: Please don't raise our water rates!
-
476. Name: Debbie Dunn on 2014-08-15 23:41:56
Comments:
-
477. Name: Rebecca Hanrath on 2014-08-15 23:44:20
Comments:
-
478. Name: Ginny kennedy on 2014-08-15 23:44:50
Comments:
-
479. Name: John & Patricia Pierce on 2014-08-15 23:45:03
Comments: Consolidation should be for all rates in the state of Arizona. We are already near the top of all rates.
-
480. Name: Joan Farmer on 2014-08-15 23:45:30
Comments:
-
481. Name: Rosalyn and Ed Callahan on 2014-08-15 23:49:09
Comments:

-
482. Name: Mary Lynne Arthun on 2014-08-15 23:50:57
Comments:
-
483. Name: John Sandoz on 2014-08-15 23:53:06
Comments:
-
484. Name: Kristen Rensmeyer on 2014-08-15 23:55:25
Comments:
-
485. Name: Robert Despins on 2014-08-15 23:55:46
Comments:
-
486. Name: Robert Klinefelter on 2014-08-15 23:57:56
Comments: A DEAL IS A DEAL!!!
-
487. Name: Jeanne Cannon on 2014-08-15 23:59:54
Comments: The commission should realize that if they void the deal that was negotiated you make it so going forward the community doesn't trust the process or the commissioners.
-
488. Name: Dede Proffer on 2014-08-16 00:00:21
Comments:
-
489. Name: Joan Miller on 2014-08-16 00:01:39
Comments:
-
490. Name: Jan Rossi on 2014-08-16 00:10:53
Comments:
-
491. Name: Dale Toma on 2014-08-16 00:11:47
Comments:
-
492. Name: Anita Toma on 2014-08-16 00:13:43
Comments:
-
493. Name: Daniel J Digesti on 2014-08-16 00:13:53
Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District. We already have the highest rate in the State and it would prompt me to sell and move back to California.
-
494. Name: Pam Villanueva on 2014-08-16 00:16:40

Comments:

495. Name: Beth Hyatt on 2014-08-16 00:17:40
Comments:

496. Name: Mya P. Spector on 2014-08-16 00:21:42
Comments: I do not understand why we should pay higher fees for being on the "wrong" side of the freeway....aren't our bills high enough already? Should people have to sell their houses because the water rates are so high?

497. Name: Juanita rosenfeld on 2014-08-16 00:26:36
Comments:

498. Name: Talia Perry on 2014-08-16 00:29:04
Comments:

499. Name: Jeanne McWhorter on 2014-08-16 00:30:18
Comments:

500. Name: Eric Robinson on 2014-08-16 00:30:50
Comments: I'm completely fed up with this entire scenario. It began in the early 2000's and seems to be getting worse.

501. Name: Jennifer Thomas on 2014-08-16 00:33:58
Comments:

502. Name: Shirley Weltz on 2014-08-16 00:35:09
Comments: To consolidate these two districts makes no sense and is so unfair to Anthem residents.

503. Name: Michelle goodman on 2014-08-16 00:39:57
Comments:

504. Name: Diane C. Peri on 2014-08-16 00:42:49
Comments: This issue was supposed to have been settled several years ago.

505. Name: Cheri Cook on 2014-08-16 00:44:21
Comments:

506. Name: Justin Bennett on 2014-08-16 00:46:19
Comments:

507. Name: Stan Huff on 2014-08-16 00:53:17
Comments:
-
508. Name: Joan Meyer on 2014-08-16 00:55:44
Comments: Reconsolidation would unjustly raise our wastewater rates. The petition presents a feasible and just alternative.
-
509. Name: Eric Twohey on 2014-08-16 00:56:11
Comments: It's important to spread the word to others in the area to sign this petition, please.
-
510. Name: Jeff Bone on 2014-08-16 00:58:08
Comments:
-
511. Name: Michael SHORT on 2014-08-16 01:01:07
Comments:
-
512. Name: Joanne Fial on 2014-08-16 01:11:10
Comments:
-
513. Name: Patricia L. Livingston on 2014-08-16 01:17:55
Comments: Raising rates will place hardships on the elderly that have lived here for years and encourage others to move out of Anthem for fear that raising rates will go on forever!!! Surrounding communities have much lower rates and Anthem residents are already looking to move. We moved for peace of mind and do not want to worry about higher water rates at this point in our lives.
-
514. Name: matt roden on 2014-08-16 01:18:43
Comments: Anthem residents have more then paid for wastewater over time.. IDEA Epcor should sell out the water rights to city of phoenix .
-
515. Name: Marilyn Noblitt on 2014-08-16 01:19:00
Comments:
-
516. Name: Mary Peters on 2014-08-16 01:29:31
Comments:
-
517. Name: Marlene Bruno on 2014-08-16 01:31:43
Comments: Get the word out to neighbors to sign this petition.
-
518. Name: Tim & Carol George on 2014-08-16 01:32:07
Comments: We are owners of a home in the Anthem Country Club.
-

519. Name: Frank J. Grimmelmann (Former Community Intervenor in ACC Water Actions) on 2014-08-16 01:43:56
Comments: Having been intimately involved as a volunteer leader and legally appointed intervenor in several prior rate cases before the commission, i join my fellow residents in imploring the ACC to reject the reconsolidation of these Wastewater Districts. Since Anthem pays for the entire infrastructure related to distributing its water, it would be patently unfair to now ask us to bear the burden of unfairly subsidizing infrastructure unrelated to our community.
-
520. Name: JUDY AND AL CARLOTTI on 2014-08-16 01:43:56
Comments: WE OPPOSE RECONSOLIDATION---OUR WATER BILLS ARE WAY TO HIGH NOW !!!
-
521. Name: Becca newberry on 2014-08-16 02:01:06
Comments:
-
522. Name: margaret long on 2014-08-16 02:02:26
Comments:
-
523. Name: Betsey Chavez on 2014-08-16 02:09:08
Comments:
-
524. Name: Alli Lucas on 2014-08-16 02:10:09
Comments: Water rates are already too high! I oppose the reconsolidation.
-
525. Name: Spencer & Paula Plotkin on 2014-08-16 02:14:51
Comments: We oppose reconsolidation. Our water bills are rediculously high now! Enough is enough! Check out other states and compare. You will soon see residents moving out or not moving in due to the high costs of Anthem water.
-
526. Name: Ellie Callihan on 2014-08-16 02:16:50
Comments: We reject
-
527. Name: Karen Miranda on 2014-08-16 02:21:30
Comments:
-
528. Name: robert kurcab on 2014-08-16 02:34:35
Comments:
-
529. Name: Carolyn McElroy on 2014-08-16 02:39:04
Comments:
-
530. Name: RICHARD PEREZ on 2014-08-16 02:39:25
Comments: I strongly oppose reconsolidation with Agua Fria Wastewater District.

-
531. Name: Stephanie O'Neal on 2014-08-16 02:42:25
Comments:
-
532. Name: Julia Casey on 2014-08-16 02:44:22
Comments:
-
533. Name: Donald Fiant on 2014-08-16 02:53:07
Comments:
-
534. Name: Joseph Krakoski on 2014-08-16 02:53:55
Comments:
-
535. Name: Sarah Raley on 2014-08-16 02:56:35
Comments:
-
536. Name: Michael Mazzone on 2014-08-16 03:06:07
Comments:
-
537. Name: Robert Bohart on 2014-08-16 03:14:22
Comments:
-
538. Name: Scott Sollars on 2014-08-16 03:20:38
Comments:
-
539. Name: Emily Jensen on 2014-08-16 03:22:36
Comments:
-
540. Name: matt garner on 2014-08-16 03:30:08
Comments:
-
541. Name: Wendy Byers on 2014-08-16 03:36:08
Comments:
-
542. Name: Lucille Graessle on 2014-08-16 03:36:47
Comments:
-
543. Name: Darlene Burns on 2014-08-16 03:39:20
Comments: I oppose reconsolidation with Agua Fria Wastewater District. My current bill is enormous and higher than my APS bill.
-
544. Name: Lori Kurowski on 2014-08-16 03:46:36

Comments:

-
545. Name: Mary Jaster on 2014-08-16 03:48:48
Comments:
-
546. Name: Shannon McWhorter on 2014-08-16 03:49:25
Comments:
-
547. Name: Kwailan Barsotti on 2014-08-16 03:56:19
Comments:
-
548. Name: Robert Vise on 2014-08-16 03:59:21
Comments:
-
549. Name: Leslie Vise on 2014-08-16 04:01:02
Comments:
-
550. Name: Nigel Spence on 2014-08-16 04:01:59
Comments:
-
551. Name: Barbara Albert on 2014-08-16 04:02:41
Comments:
-
552. Name: Shondreka Perry on 2014-08-16 04:03:39
Comments:
-
553. Name: Carole Norris on 2014-08-16 04:03:50
Comments:
-
554. Name: Richard Norris on 2014-08-16 04:06:36
Comments:
-
555. Name: Steve Heax on 2014-08-16 04:26:22
Comments:
-
556. Name: Jacqueline Cummings on 2014-08-16 04:48:19
Comments:
-
557. Name: Richard J Cummings on 2014-08-16 04:50:34
Comments:
-
558. Name: Richard J Cummings on 2014-08-16 04:51:12

Comments:

-
559. Name: Scott Bair on 2014-08-16 04:51:54
Comments: Our water cost is already ridiculous, raising the rates would be ludicrous!
-
560. Name: kimberly a. harmon on 2014-08-16 04:52:42
Comments:
-
561. Name: Jean Reah on 2014-08-16 05:03:31
Comments:
-
562. Name: Matt Fankhauser on 2014-08-16 05:29:54
Comments:
-
563. Name: Marisa Mayes on 2014-08-16 05:49:22
Comments:
-
564. Name: Laurie Mahoney on 2014-08-16 06:03:27
Comments:
-
565. Name: megan king on 2014-08-16 06:07:39
Comments:
-
566. Name: Monica Bandelier on 2014-08-16 06:20:50
Comments:
-
567. Name: Rosalie Logrippio Spinelli on 2014-08-16 06:23:44
Comments: What's fair is fair .. Anthem should NOT be expected to absorb the cost of another community's water bills.
-
568. Name: M. Richard Wertz on 2014-08-16 06:38:52
Comments:
-
569. Name: Francita Meloch Peeper on 2014-08-16 06:42:12
Comments:
-
570. Name: William Lange on 2014-08-16 06:49:35
Comments: Our water bills are already ridiculous. Why should we pay for others
-
571. Name: Jerry Van Cleve on 2014-08-16 06:50:26
Comments:
-

572. Name: Kathy Dahm on 2014-08-16 07:14:08
Comments:
-
573. Name: Carolyn Kraft on 2014-08-16 07:24:06
Comments:
-
574. Name: Mary Jacobsen on 2014-08-16 07:26:26
Comments:
-
575. Name: Nicole geller on 2014-08-16 07:34:44
Comments: Never liked this company
-
576. Name: Cheryl A Young on 2014-08-16 07:50:38
Comments: Our rates are already too high. They have doubled since we first moved here in 2008
-
577. Name: William A Young III on 2014-08-16 07:51:35
Comments:
-
578. Name: Howard Schneider on 2014-08-16 08:53:39
Comments: Howschneid@gmail.com
-
579. Name: Nathan cupp on 2014-08-16 09:16:24
Comments:
-
580. Name: Donna Boetger on 2014-08-16 11:00:12
Comments: opposed to reconsolidation
-
581. Name: Barb and Bill Hassing on 2014-08-16 12:29:16
Comments:
-
582. Name: Nancy Ayars on 2014-08-16 12:49:08
Comments:
-
583. Name: Dana Becraft on 2014-08-16 12:51:33
Comments: With all the cost of living we all need to know we can stay in our home of time to come. Not be run out because of high increases. A lot of people are approaching retirement and will be on fixed incomes. This is just another cost for everyone that is not necessary
-
584. Name: Debbie Bernard on 2014-08-16 13:15:41
Comments:
-

585. Name: Joyce Vitolo on 2014-08-16 13:28:03
Comments:

586. Name: Denise Norwood on 2014-08-16 13:36:25
Comments:

587. Name: Harold on 2014-08-16 13:39:08
Comments: They should have their money back already from the Pulte default and bankruptcy. If not exactly how much longer do we have to pay????

588. Name: James Goddard on 2014-08-16 13:40:26
Comments:

589. Name: Charles M Anson Jr on 2014-08-16 13:43:03
Comments:

590. Name: Beth Kalas on 2014-08-16 13:55:55
Comments:

591. Name: Gordon Brown on 2014-08-16 13:56:30
Comments: as a resident I am opposed to this extra cost burden

592. Name: Janis DeBay on 2014-08-16 14:01:18
Comments:

593. Name: Barb Schweiss on 2014-08-16 14:02:07
Comments:

594. Name: Jan and Bill Putnam on 2014-08-16 14:16:08
Comments:

595. Name: Bernice Volinsky on 2014-08-16 14:35:21
Comments:

596. Name: Mike Deegan on 2014-08-16 14:35:24
Comments:

597. Name: Michelle Roberts on 2014-08-16 14:37:48
Comments: We will have to move if this happens as our bill is already \$300 a month.

598. Name: Denise Lay on 2014-08-16 14:38:42
Comments:

-
599. Name: SteveWyatt Wyatt on 2014-08-16 14:40:43
Comments:
-
600. Name: Destiny Hiland on 2014-08-16 14:44:15
Comments:
-
601. Name: Jim Winkleman on 2014-08-16 14:46:35
Comments:
-
602. Name: Shefali Kubavat on 2014-08-16 14:46:53
Comments:
-
603. Name: Scot Becraft on 2014-08-16 14:54:32
Comments:
-
604. Name: Amy Deitch on 2014-08-16 14:57:41
Comments: As a new resident this is very discouraging. Really hope there is a solution to this.
-
605. Name: Andrea Lander on 2014-08-16 15:07:09
Comments:
-
606. Name: Patricia Kuchar on 2014-08-16 15:09:49
Comments:
-
607. Name: Jennifer Rice Llacuna on 2014-08-16 15:29:04
Comments: We have been Anthem residents for 10 years and were relieved when the court decision was made to finally give some relief and fairness to the amount we pay for the infrastructure that surrounding communities use and don't pay for. Now they are crying that their bills are too high after only a short time? Why exactly is Anthem responsible? The Not fair
-
608. Name: pamela phillips on 2014-08-16 15:39:51
Comments: We've subsidized Agua Fria for over 12 years for no other reason than this was a Del Web agreement. I am willing to pay my fair share and Agua Fria customers should pay theirs. The only other alternative is that there is a statewide water fee so that no matter where one lives, the rate is the same. We all need water.
-
609. Name: Justin Simons on 2014-08-16 15:53:00
Comments:
-
610. Name: Suzette Ashmore on 2014-08-16 15:58:08

Comments:

611. Name: Glenn Klinksiek on 2014-08-16 16:04:32
Comments:

612. Name: Susan Hundt on 2014-08-16 16:15:14
Comments:

613. Name: David Hulit on 2014-08-16 16:16:03
Comments:

614. Name: Douglas Smith on 2014-08-16 16:18:17
Comments:

615. Name: Jane Ullom on 2014-08-16 16:20:08
Comments:

616. Name: Vicki boles on 2014-08-16 16:21:53
Comments:

617. Name: Evelyn L. Jordan on 2014-08-16 16:23:15
Comments:

618. Name: John Kovach on 2014-08-16 16:26:24
Comments:

619. Name: Cheryl MacDonald on 2014-08-16 16:40:07
Comments:

620. Name: Charity Hammons on 2014-08-16 16:45:21
Comments: My H2O bill is already ridiculous!

621. Name: Kenneth Ashmore on 2014-08-16 16:55:05
Comments:

622. Name: Mark Schonhoff on 2014-08-16 16:55:06
Comments:

623. Name: LeRoy McGrue on 2014-08-16 16:58:31
Comments:

624. Name: Liz Black on 2014-08-16 17:16:19

Comments:

625. Name: kim donat on 2014-08-16 17:20:33
Comments:

626. Name: Gary Barna on 2014-08-16 17:31:15
Comments:

627. Name: Stephen A Martens on 2014-08-16 17:35:21
Comments: Oppose reconsolidation. We pay for our own water

628. Name: Stephen A Martens on 2014-08-16 17:35:21
Comments: Oppose reconsolidation. We pay for our own water

629. Name: Frederick Korte on 2014-08-16 17:40:16
Comments: Opposed to reconsolidation. Unfair and unethical business practice.

630. Name: Richard Feola on 2014-08-16 17:42:12
Comments: As a retired couple on a fixed income, increases in utility bills effects our ability to staying in our home.

631. Name: wendy myers on 2014-08-16 17:42:12
Comments:

632. Name: Jim Barrier on 2014-08-16 17:51:24
Comments: Oppose reconsolidation. We pay for our own water

633. Name: Michele Jensen on 2014-08-16 18:12:57
Comments: Oppose reconsolidation

634. Name: Cynthia Stone Wood on 2014-08-16 18:13:57
Comments:

635. Name: James Jensen on 2014-08-16 18:17:36
Comments: Oppose reconsolidation

636. Name: Mariah garcia on 2014-08-16 18:24:14
Comments: We are paying too much.. No rate hike!

637. Name: Charles Boles on 2014-08-16 18:27:08
Comments: I strongly oppose!!!

638. Name: Leonor Claycomb on 2014-08-16 18:30:00
Comments:
-
639. Name: Carol Ward on 2014-08-16 18:34:29
Comments: Strongly oppose. Just because a few complain does not justify changing action of one year ago!
-
640. Name: Greg McClelland on 2014-08-16 18:47:40
Comments: I oppose
-
641. Name: Sally Castner on 2014-08-16 18:49:01
Comments: I strongly oppose!
-
642. Name: HOWARD PEARCH on 2014-08-16 18:53:25
Comments:
-
643. Name: Keith Hundt on 2014-08-16 19:02:10
Comments: Strongly oppose
-
644. Name: Heather Zellers on 2014-08-16 19:03:03
Comments: A water bill is high enough already!!!!
-
645. Name: Zach Swainson on 2014-08-16 19:24:57
Comments:
-
646. Name: janet mackay on 2014-08-16 19:35:33
Comments:
-
647. Name: Steven Moore on 2014-08-16 19:35:37
Comments:
-
648. Name: Sherry blanche on 2014-08-16 19:41:56
Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation
-
649. Name: Ruth Gates on 2014-08-16 19:42:30
Comments:
-
650. Name: Joy Lovell on 2014-08-16 19:47:59
Comments:
-
651. Name: mark kalas on 2014-08-16 19:51:30
Comments:

652. Name: Vickie Foster on 2014-08-16 20:00:22
Comments:

653. Name: Heidi L Surovetz on 2014-08-16 20:01:40
Comments:

654. Name: Jennifer Mount on 2014-08-16 20:18:01
Comments: No more increases!

655. Name: Clarissa Wyatt on 2014-08-16 20:27:31
Comments:

656. Name: Paul Churdar on 2014-08-16 20:30:51
Comments:

657. Name: Peggy Davis on 2014-08-16 20:34:25
Comments:

658. Name: Cecilia Healy on 2014-08-16 20:36:42
Comments: Enough is enough! We've already been through this!

659. Name: Jon Davis on 2014-08-16 20:41:38
Comments:

660. Name: Don McNamara on 2014-08-16 20:58:20
Comments:

661. Name: Susan Timm on 2014-08-16 21:02:19
Comments:

662. Name: Fritz Hoefft on 2014-08-16 21:08:27
Comments:

663. Name: Carol Talaga on 2014-08-16 21:29:48
Comments:

664. Name: Robert Schwartz on 2014-08-16 21:35:32
Comments:

665. Name: Rhae Jean Magnuson on 2014-08-16 21:44:18
Comments:

-
666. Name: Ginny Williams on 2014-08-16 21:45:12
Comments:
-
667. Name: Tim Kylo on 2014-08-16 21:54:42
Comments:
-
668. Name: Sallyann Martinez on 2014-08-16 21:59:44
Comments:
-
669. Name: Timothy Kee on 2014-08-16 22:03:07
Comments:
-
670. Name: Raymond Joy on 2014-08-16 22:08:31
Comments: Water rates are out of corn
-
671. Name: Melony Totten on 2014-08-16 22:15:31
Comments:
-
672. Name: Jaimee Noel - Smith on 2014-08-16 22:18:05
Comments: Anthem water costs are already very high. It is not fair to expect Anthem residents to foot the bill for Agua Fria.
-
673. Name: Christiana Moore on 2014-08-16 22:19:52
Comments: Water bills are way too costly already!
-
674. Name: Colin Smith on 2014-08-16 22:21:21
Comments: Anthem water costs are already very high. It is not fair to expect Anthem residents to foot the bill for Agua Fria.
-
675. Name: Judy Miller on 2014-08-16 22:23:22
Comments: Anthem water costs are already very high. It is not fair to expect Anthem residents to foot the bill for Agua Fria.
-
676. Name: Ragy matta on 2014-08-16 22:30:01
Comments: i hate epcor water
-
677. Name: Paul Cline on 2014-08-16 22:42:11
Comments:
-
678. Name: Karen Tindale on 2014-08-16 22:46:40
Comments:

679. Name: Ora D. Fant on 2014-08-16 23:16:52
Comments:

680. Name: Leona Wood on 2014-08-16 23:21:46
Comments:

681. Name: Charles Bowen on 2014-08-16 23:56:48
Comments:

682. Name: Lisa Davis on 2014-08-16 23:56:48
Comments:

683. Name: Cleve Branson on 2014-08-17 00:02:18
Comments:

684. Name: Marty Minzer on 2014-08-17 00:10:40
Comments: Rates are already high.

685. Name: Mary Mininni on 2014-08-17 00:29:01
Comments: No water rate hike!

686. Name: Kittredge a Bach on 2014-08-17 00:33:01
Comments: Our water bill is too high already

687. Name: Janet Tuomisto on 2014-08-17 00:59:00
Comments:

688. Name: Diane Hopkins on 2014-08-17 01:35:42
Comments:

689. Name: lindsay conley on 2014-08-17 01:38:02
Comments:

690. Name: Jared Conley on 2014-08-17 01:39:25
Comments:

691. Name: Mary Hill on 2014-08-17 01:44:10
Comments:

692. Name: Bob Hill on 2014-08-17 01:45:26
Comments:

-
693. Name: frank giordano on 2014-08-17 02:26:41
Comments:
-
694. Name: Wilmar H Bergdolt on 2014-08-17 02:27:04
Comments:
-
695. Name: Tom Gryniowski on 2014-08-17 02:30:26
Comments:
-
696. Name: Elise McHatton on 2014-08-17 02:32:17
Comments: The significant increase in our water rates as they stand now should never have been allowed by the corporation commission! It is terrible that our home contracts with Del Webb in regards to infrastructure beyond our property lines was not honored.
-
697. Name: Josee Deloretto on 2014-08-17 02:56:16
Comments:
-
698. Name: Teresa Brown on 2014-08-17 03:12:57
Comments: Please show your support and sign if you are an Anthem homeowner!
-
699. Name: robert stamp on 2014-08-17 03:42:06
Comments:
-
700. Name: Marielle Carlisle on 2014-08-17 03:46:31
Comments:
-
701. Name: dennis tuomisto on 2014-08-17 04:00:46
Comments:
-
702. Name: Nancy Chadwick on 2014-08-17 04:03:34
Comments:
-
703. Name: Stephen Knight on 2014-08-17 04:03:48
Comments:
-
704. Name: Daniel Russell III on 2014-08-17 04:04:06
Comments:
-
705. Name: Courtney Woodward on 2014-08-17 04:21:43
Comments:
-

706. Name: Mark Gibbons on 2014-08-17 04:37:06
Comments: This water battle has gotten out of hand. Our rates are high enough. The Commission needs to get real.

707. Name: Jennifer Rivera on 2014-08-17 06:18:48
Comments:

708. Name: mario moreno on 2014-08-17 07:07:11
Comments:

709. Name: Cindy Bowman on 2014-08-17 07:41:58
Comments:

710. Name: Christine Keller on 2014-08-17 08:00:33
Comments:

711. Name: Alison Ryan on 2014-08-17 08:04:44
Comments:

712. Name: Arthur Pullem on 2014-08-17 08:16:40
Comments: No consolidation

713. Name: Stephen Teodoro on 2014-08-17 09:44:59
Comments: I am against reconsolidation

714. Name: Randy Leiker on 2014-08-17 11:25:43
Comments: Facts remain the same, therefore, no changes are necessary.

715. Name: Kenneth Ricer on 2014-08-17 13:18:58
Comments:

716. Name: John Arvin on 2014-08-17 13:22:52
Comments:

717. Name: Jim Chadwick on 2014-08-17 13:43:47
Comments:

718. Name: Mary Kay Ruwette on 2014-08-17 14:20:23
Comments:

719. Name: Susan Leach-Murray on 2014-08-17 14:23:17
Comments:

-
720. Name: Linda Gallipo on 2014-08-17 14:24:18
Comments: Anthems water fees are already sky high,this would make it even worse.
What a shame!
-
721. Name: Sandra Kaplan on 2014-08-17 14:49:34
Comments:
-
722. Name: herbert jacobson on 2014-08-17 14:50:39
Comments:
-
723. Name: Bob Mizerek on 2014-08-17 15:03:22
Comments:
-
724. Name: Lorie Kennedy on 2014-08-17 15:28:56
Comments:
-
725. Name: Diann Muzyka on 2014-08-17 15:48:04
Comments:
-
726. Name: Stephanie Tannin on 2014-08-17 16:16:16
Comments:
-
727. Name: Lawrence Charnota on 2014-08-17 16:22:52
Comments: I oppose the reconsolidation of Anthem & Agua Fria
-
728. Name: Dorinda Kalk on 2014-08-17 16:30:24
Comments:
-
729. Name: Diane Moore on 2014-08-17 16:53:31
Comments: We can barely afford the water bill as it is. Please do NOT increase it even more! I do NOT want to have to sell my home and be forced to leave Anthem. I have 2 daughter that also live here, that may also have to do the same....
-
730. Name: Fay Giordano on 2014-08-17 17:10:17
Comments:
-
731. Name: randy karvanek on 2014-08-17 17:16:01
Comments: Do not increase my already high water bill.
-
732. Name: Kent McIntosh on 2014-08-17 17:38:36
Comments: Please do not increase our rates; we can barely pay our bills. When we left

Tucson in 2002 our water bill was \$20 and we had a bigger yard. These \$90 water bills are ridiculous!!!

733. Name: don miller on 2014-08-17 17:53:05
Comments: Please do raise our water rates

734. Name: joanne miller on 2014-08-17 17:54:42
Comments: Please do not raise our water rates..they are too high already!

735. Name: John Wasserman on 2014-08-17 18:00:35
Comments:

736. Name: Judith Hatsell on 2014-08-17 18:21:41
Comments: You made a prior decision regarding this matter - why is another decision needed?

737. Name: John F Wallace on 2014-08-17 18:30:16
Comments:

738. Name: James Spencer on 2014-08-17 19:17:40
Comments:

739. Name: Roy rubin on 2014-08-17 19:31:26
Comments:

740. Name: Howard Nusbaum on 2014-08-17 19:41:51
Comments: Don't raise the Fees a penny more-our water/ waste rates are outrageous and one of the largest expenses of owning my home in Anthem.

741. Name: Howard Nusbaum on 2014-08-17 19:42:29
Comments: Don't raise the Fees a penny more-our water/ waste rates are outrageous and one of the largest expenses of owning my home in Anthem.

742. Name: Sherri Breece on 2014-08-17 19:44:33
Comments:

743. Name: Teresa Cline on 2014-08-17 20:18:22
Comments:

744. Name: Nicole Lagneaux-Waymire on 2014-08-17 20:27:12
Comments:

745. Name: Nathan Weiss on 2014-08-17 20:55:19
Comments:
-
746. Name: Lindsey walker on 2014-08-17 21:03:52
Comments:
-
747. Name: Karen Carrello on 2014-08-17 21:09:09
Comments: It was determined in 2012 that combining these remote districts resulted in rates that were unjust and unreasonable. We already pay among the highest rates in the states, therefore, not one penny more!
-
748. Name: Kristin Pegram on 2014-08-17 22:17:52
Comments:
-
749. Name: Anna Arellano Prunty on 2014-08-17 22:39:03
Comments:
-
750. Name: Rich Wagner on 2014-08-17 23:14:37
Comments:
-
751. Name: Karen Dill on 2014-08-17 23:21:55
Comments:
-
752. Name: Linda Handlon on 2014-08-17 23:26:37
Comments:
-
753. Name: Monica Ligon on 2014-08-18 00:00:04
Comments:
-
754. Name: nick curcio on 2014-08-18 01:38:21
Comments:
-
755. Name: Pam Erickson on 2014-08-18 01:59:03
Comments:
-
756. Name: Forrest Erickson on 2014-08-18 02:02:32
Comments:
-
757. Name: Jeremy Poll on 2014-08-18 04:11:10
Comments:
-
758. Name: Moron J CARSTENSEN on 2014-08-18 05:18:42

Comments:

759. Name: Kathryn Coolidge on 2014-08-18 08:06:34
Comments:

760. Name: james szura on 2014-08-18 08:52:09
Comments:

761. Name: Francisco Tort on 2014-08-18 11:55:26
Comments:

762. Name: James Eller on 2014-08-18 12:13:04
Comments:

763. Name: Frank Carone on 2014-08-18 12:58:48
Comments:

764. Name: David Paull on 2014-08-18 13:22:37
Comments:

765. Name: T.J. Winzeler on 2014-08-18 13:45:01
Comments:

766. Name: John Coleman on 2014-08-18 13:46:14
Comments: Oppose!

767. Name: Lynette Ballou on 2014-08-18 14:23:28
Comments: Higher water rates will affect the sell ability of our homes now in and the future.

768. Name: Ralph Hegreness on 2014-08-18 14:51:28
Comments:

769. Name: Carol Pierce on 2014-08-18 15:03:18
Comments:

770. Name: Jennifer Evans on 2014-08-18 15:10:45
Comments:

771. Name: kathleen sanzone on 2014-08-18 15:16:29
Comments:

772. Name: Kristen Buckel on 2014-08-18 15:32:35
Comments:

773. Name: Sean Buckel on 2014-08-18 15:33:25
Comments:

774. Name: Donna Kublin on 2014-08-18 15:48:34
Comments:

775. Name: Penny Simms on 2014-08-18 15:51:02
Comments: I am considering moving out of Anthem because of the water rates. I have lived here for 8 years but the water prices are ridiculous and unaffordable.

776. Name: Jene Dees on 2014-08-18 16:18:44
Comments: Hate to "poormouth", but as a widow living on a fixed income, even our current rates are a serious income stretch. I can't imagine how to handle higher rates!

777. Name: Ron Bongard on 2014-08-18 16:26:30
Comments:

778. Name: Corinne Rodman on 2014-08-18 16:49:07
Comments:

779. Name: Judy Ison on 2014-08-18 16:58:38
Comments:

780. Name: Kelly LeBlanc on 2014-08-18 17:50:02
Comments:

781. Name: katie marshall on 2014-08-18 17:51:20
Comments:

782. Name: John Kleven on 2014-08-18 18:01:51
Comments:

783. Name: Teresa Kruger on 2014-08-18 18:42:38
Comments:

784. Name: Kristine Boates on 2014-08-18 18:53:46
Comments:

785. Name: Charles D Irwin on 2014-08-18 18:59:02

Comments:

-
786. Name: Martin and Betty Karr on 2014-08-18 20:00:02
Comments: We are very much opposed to Reconsolidation with Agua Fria Wastewater District. This should never have been put forward as a possibility, as our rates are already unaffordable.
-
787. Name: Michael Kruger on 2014-08-18 20:05:09
Comments:
-
788. Name: John Siegel on 2014-08-18 20:28:00
Comments:
-
789. Name: Stephen Goodman on 2014-08-18 21:50:56
Comments: I Oppose reconsolidation with Agua Fria.
-
790. Name: Cynthia Lee on 2014-08-18 22:41:56
Comments: It's random and outrageous! We have no common borders, and no compelling reason to be linked in any way with Agua Fria. Why should Anthem have to buy them new water infrastructure?
-
791. Name: Marla Boubel on 2014-08-18 23:41:18
Comments:
-
792. Name: Roger Nottle on 2014-08-18 23:45:04
Comments: romanottle@aol.com
-
793. Name: robert thomas on 2014-08-19 00:13:02
Comments:
-
794. Name: Marc Shrake on 2014-08-19 00:18:19
Comments:
-
795. Name: Terry Nelson on 2014-08-19 00:22:21
Comments:
-
796. Name: christopher clute on 2014-08-19 00:40:02
Comments:
-
797. Name: Deborah Crim on 2014-08-19 02:15:17
Comments:
-

798. Name: kathy gornik on 2014-08-19 02:40:12
Comments:

799. Name: Stephanie Lund on 2014-08-19 02:58:19
Comments:

800. Name: Donald Jacobsen on 2014-08-19 03:10:21
Comments: I oppose reconsolidating of the Wastewater Destrict.

801. Name: Michael Staniec on 2014-08-19 03:30:30
Comments:

802. Name: Andi Ross on 2014-08-19 04:28:49
Comments: missandigirlusa@gmail.com

803. Name: Ceci Marin Powell on 2014-08-19 05:31:20
Comments: I do not have a Facebook Acct or Twitter. I choose not to have one.

804. Name: David Orr on 2014-08-19 06:06:30
Comments:

805. Name: Greg Stava on 2014-08-19 06:13:07
Comments: completely agree with the notes attached. As an original purchaser of my home in 2001, I am still upset that the water agreements between the developer and water company were not disclosed or required to be. The current commissioners can do nothing about the prior failure, please consider carefully and vote down any option other than to let the prior decision stand. Respectfully, Greg Stava

806. Name: Phillip R. Samrick on 2014-08-19 09:37:40
Comments:

807. Name: Bob Rodriguez on 2014-08-19 12:16:11
Comments:

808. Name: David Wallace on 2014-08-19 13:41:58
Comments:

809. Name: William Petersen on 2014-08-19 13:44:59
Comments: Oppose paying for increase in my water bill to pay for another community water usage

810. Name: Cathy Vander Meulen on 2014-08-19 13:58:34
Comments:

-
811. Name: Irma Wallace on 2014-08-19 14:31:49
Comments:
-
812. Name: Angela Holden on 2014-08-19 14:47:10
Comments:
-
813. Name: Diane Wessel on 2014-08-19 14:50:01
Comments:
-
814. Name: Tommy & Mariah Thompson on 2014-08-19 14:57:31
Comments:
-
815. Name: Alex Dykhuizen on 2014-08-19 15:03:26
Comments:
-
816. Name: Heaven Dykhuizen on 2014-08-19 15:05:32
Comments:
-
817. Name: Karen Hand on 2014-08-19 15:36:37
Comments:
-
818. Name: Edward Rogers on 2014-08-19 15:52:04
Comments:
-
819. Name: Megan Edwards on 2014-08-19 16:07:18
Comments:
-
820. Name: Kevin Edwards on 2014-08-19 16:12:16
Comments:
-
821. Name: Breann Meyer on 2014-08-19 16:15:54
Comments:
-
822. Name: Cheryl Pursel on 2014-08-19 18:19:45
Comments:
-
823. Name: David Weaver on 2014-08-19 18:30:14
Comments:
-
824. Name: Robert & Janet Mensik on 2014-08-19 18:35:26
Comments:

825. Name: Ron French on 2014-08-19 19:10:53
Comments: I am completely agaqinst reconsolodation of the Anthem wAste Water District and the Agua Fria Waste Water District. Due to lax oversight by your commission, Anthem was given a bad deal by the developers with our water system and to reconsolodate would compound an already bad situation.

826. Name: patricia rehbock on 2014-08-19 19:34:25
Comments:

827. Name: Anita OConnor on 2014-08-19 22:52:38
Comments: I respectfully ask that the Commission members reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater and Agua Fria Wastewater districts.

828. Name: Pamela Reiman on 2014-08-19 23:10:26
Comments:

829. Name: Greg Miller on 2014-08-19 23:17:41
Comments: I implore the ACC to reject the reconsolidation of the Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District

830. Name: Nathan Brown on 2014-08-20 00:03:19
Comments:

831. Name: Laurie Smith on 2014-08-20 03:21:54
Comments:

832. Name: Donna Patterson on 2014-08-20 12:21:05
Comments:

833. Name: Lawrence Roberts on 2014-08-20 13:43:06
Comments:

834. Name: Bill Chubaty on 2014-08-20 13:51:46
Comments:

835. Name: Cheryl Caldwell on 2014-08-20 13:56:15
Comments:

836. Name: Lili Radu on 2014-08-20 15:21:28
Comments:

837. Name: Romeo Radu on 2014-08-20 15:23:13
Comments:

838. Name: Theresa on 2014-08-20 16:28:22
Comments:

839. Name: Gary A reznick on 2014-08-20 18:28:58
Comments: If this issue is allowed to be reopened, what is to stop this from continuing to happen, over and over, every time someone is unhappy with the "current" decision? It would become a never-ending process.

840. Name: Victoria Conas on 2014-08-20 22:11:14
Comments:

841. Name: Tammy Mason on 2014-08-20 22:25:27
Comments: I ask the Arizona Corporation Commission to REJECT the reconsolidating of Anthem Wastewater District and the Agua Fria Wastewater District.

842. Name: Kathryn King-Oberlin on 2014-08-21 00:16:41
Comments:

843. Name: Cleone Levos on 2014-08-21 00:42:26
Comments:

844. Name: David Levos on 2014-08-21 00:55:01
Comments:

845. Name: Troy Johnson on 2014-08-21 01:39:53
Comments: oppose reconsolidation

846. Name: Claudia Marek on 2014-08-21 01:50:56
Comments:

847. Name: Pablo Martinez on 2014-08-21 02:06:31
Comments: your rates are obscenely high and you want to charge more, i really do not agree

848. Name: Carol Burke on 2014-08-21 04:04:28
Comments:

849. Name: David Fitzgerald on 2014-08-21 04:28:50
Comments:

850. Name: LINDA NORKUS on 2014-08-21 05:01:25
Comments:

851. Name: Tara Giraldo on 2014-08-21 06:38:59
Comments:

852. Name: Linda Chubaty on 2014-08-21 11:40:38
Comments: I join my husband Bill CHubaty in opposition to this proposal

853. Name: Teresa Eckard on 2014-08-21 14:11:25
Comments: My hus

854. Name: Beth Langenhorst on 2014-08-21 15:32:06
Comments:

855. Name: Donald Tunucci on 2014-08-21 18:56:55
Comments: Opposed

856. Name: RICHARD ORR on 2014-08-21 20:52:35
Comments:

857. Name: Maria E. Mendiola on 2014-08-21 21:04:19
Comments: Tired of paying high water rates!

858. Name: Shannon Keenan on 2014-08-21 21:04:24
Comments: I hope you do the right thing!
