

ORIGINAL



0000154897

Mail Station PAB221
P.O. Box 52025
Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

Laura Furrey
Regulatory Analyst
Regulatory Policy & Public Involvement
Phone: (602) 236-2276
Fax: (602) 236-3458
Laura.Furrey@srpnet.com

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

July 28, 2014

JUL 28 2014

DOCKETED BY ne

RECEIVED
2014 JUL 28 A 8:41
AZ SRP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Mr. Steve Olea
Director, Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Docket No. L-00000B-04-0126-00000, Decision Nos. 68093 and 68291

Dear Mr. Olea:

Pursuant to Condition No. 21 of the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for the Pinal West to Southeast Valley/Browning Transmission Project, Decision No. 68093 (August 25, 2005) and Decision No. 68291 (November 14, 2005), the Salt River Project (SRP), on behalf of the project owners, is filing the ninth annual self-certification letter. This report provides up-to-date information and documentation on the status of our efforts to comply with each condition in the referenced CEC decisions.

If you or your staff have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Laura Furrey
SRP Regulatory Policy & Public Involvement

cc: John Foreman, Chairman of Siting Committee, Attorney General Office
Leisa Brug, Director of Governor's Office of Energy Policy
Brian Bozzo, Arizona Corporation Commission
Docket Control (Original, plus 13 copies)

**Pinal West to Southeast Valley/Browning Project CEC – Case 126
Decision No. 68093 dated August 25, 2005 and
Decision No. 68291 dated November 14, 2005
L-00000B-04-0126-00000**

Annual Compliance Filing dated July 28, 2014

This Certificate is granted upon the following conditions:

1. This authorization to construct the Project will expire twenty (20) years from the date the Certificate is approved by the Arizona Corporation Commission, unless construction is completed to the point that the line is capable of operating at its rated capacity by that time; provided, however, that prior to such expiration the Applicant may request that the Arizona Corporation Commission extend the time limitation.

SRP has complied with this condition. SRP, on behalf of the Project participants, completed construction of the Pinal West – Duke – Pinal Central – Abel – Browning 500kV transmission line. The line became operational on June 12, 2014.

The current in-service date for the Abel 500 kV substation is 2020.

2. Applicant shall obtain all required approvals and permits necessary to construct the Project.

SRP has complied with this condition.

3. Applicant shall comply with all existing applicable laws, air and water pollution control standards and regulations, ordinances, master plans and regulations of the United States, the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, Pinal County and any other governmental entities having jurisdiction.

SRP is in compliance with all existing applicable laws, air and water pollution control standards and regulations, ordinances, master plans and regulations of the United States, the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, Pinal County and any other governmental entities having jurisdiction.

4. The Applicant will sponsor an intensive pedestrian survey for cultural resources of the previously un-inspected portions of the final right-of-way and will continue to consult with the State Historic Preservation Office (“SHPO”) to identify and evaluate any cultural resources present.

SRP has complied with this condition. SRP’s environmental group has continued to consult with the Arizona SHPO, the Arizona State Land

Department (ASLD) and the tribes. Class III pedestrian inventory survey work has been completed for the entire project on all Arizona State Trust lands and private lands. A mitigation plan including avoidance and data recovery measures was developed for sites on State Trust and private lands. Data recovery has been completed on all sites where required. Final data recovery reports have been completed for the Browning to Dinosaur and Dinosaur to Pinal Central segments of the project, and accepted by ASLD and SHPO.

The data recovery report for the Pinal Central to Pinal West segment details SRP's compliance with the mitigation plan and received SHPO's concurrence. This report was not submitted to ASLD as no data recovery was required on State Trust lands.

The final data recovery report for the Pinal Central to Pinal West segment was submitted to SHPO for review and concurrence on September 24, 2013 and received SHPO concurrence on October 3, 2013. The cover letter demonstrating SHPO concurrence is attached as Appendix A. The repatriation of human remains recovered as part of the mitigation plan has been completed with the Gila River Indian Community.

5. The Applicant will continue to consult with SHPO to reach a determination of impact. If the result is a determination of negative impacts, the Applicant will consult with SHPO to resolve the negative impacts.

SRP has complied with this condition. See Condition 4.

6. The Applicant will avoid and/or minimize impacts to properties considered eligible for inclusion in the State or National Register of Historic Places to the extent possible. Spanning over historic-period roads, canals and railroads is considered an acceptable form of avoidance.

SRP has complied with this condition.

7. If the Applicant decides that Register-eligible archaeological sites cannot be avoided, then the Applicant will consult with SHPO to plan and implement a mitigation plan.

SRP has complied with this condition.

8. Should an archaeological, paleontological, or historic site or object be discovered on state, county or municipal land during plan-related activities, the person in charge is required to notify promptly the Director of the Arizona State Museum and take reasonable steps to secure and maintain the resource's preservation pursuant to state law

(i.e., A.R.S. § 41-844). If human remains and/or funerary objects are encountered on private land during the course of any ground disturbing activities relating to the development of the subject property, Applicant shall cease work on the affected area of the Project and notify the Director of the Arizona State Museum in accordance with A.R.S. § 41-865.

SRP has complied with this condition. The Applicant has included this provision in the CMRPs that have been filed with the ACC as compliance to Condition 22. Also see Condition 4.

9. Applicant shall consult an archeologist during construction activities in applicable areas, as determined by SHPO, to advise Applicant in connection with any additional archeological studies that may be required and any mitigation efforts for archeological sites that may be affected by the construction of the Project.

SRP has complied with this condition. See Condition 4.

10. After construction, the Applicant, in conjunction with any applicable land managing agency, shall allow Arizona Site Stewards, a volunteer-staffed SHPO program, to periodically inspect archeological sites within the corridor for vandalism or other damage.

SRP will comply with this condition consistent with any required approvals from property owners.

11. The Applicant shall follow the Arizona State Land Department's instructions, if any, regarding the treatment of State Register of Historic Places-eligible properties situated on Arizona State Land Department land in consultation with SHPO.

SRP has complied with this condition. See Condition 4.

12. In consultation with SHPO and the applicable land-managing agency, the Applicant will consider and assess potential direct and indirect impacts to eligible properties related to new access roads or any existing access roads that require blading.

SRP has complied with this condition. See Condition 4.

13. Within 120 days of the effective date of the Commission's decision regarding the Certificate, the Applicant shall erect and maintain signs throughout the entire approved Certificate corridor providing public notice that the property is the possible site of a

future transmission line or substation. Applicant shall place signs in prominent locations at reasonable intervals such that the public is notified along the full length of the transmission line. The signs shall advise: a.) the site has been approved for the construction of Project facilities including 500 kV lines, 230 kV lines and substations, as applicable; b.) the expected date of completion of the Project facilities; and c.) a phone number for public information regarding the Project.

SRP has complied with this condition. With completion of construction, SRP has removed all signs)

14. Applicant shall monitor all ground clearing or disturbing activities that may affect sensitive habitat. If a special status species is encountered, Applicant shall retain a biological monitor to ensure proper actions are taken with regard to such species.

SRP has complied with this condition. No special status species have been encountered, to-date.

15. Applicant shall design the transmission lines so as to minimize impacts to raptors.

SRP has complied with this condition. The transmission line was designed consistent with "Suggested Practices for Raptor Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 1996" published by the Avian Power Line Interaction Committee, Edison Electric Institute and the Raptor Research Foundation.

16. Where practicable, the Applicant shall use existing roads for construction and access. The Applicant shall minimize vegetation disturbance outside of the power-line right of way, particularly in drainage channels and along stream banks, and shall re-vegetate native areas of construction disturbance outside of the power-line right of way after construction has been completed.

SRP has complied with this condition.

17. A. The Applicant shall make every reasonable effort to identify and correct, on a case-specific basis, all complaints of interference with radio or television signals from operation of the line and related facilities.

SRP will make every reasonable effort to identify and correct, on a case-specific basis, all complaints of interference with radio or television signals from operation of the line and related facilities. SRP has a process in place to address such complaints, including maintaining records of all interference-related complaints. No complaints of interference with radio or television signals have been received to-date.

B. The Applicant shall maintain written records for a period of five (5) years of all complaints of radio or television interference attributable to operation of the line, together with the corrective action taken in response to each complaint. All complaints shall be recorded and include notations as to the corrective action taken. Complaints not leading to a specific action or for which there was no resolution shall be noted and explained. The record shall be signed by the Project owner and also the complainant, if possible, to indicate concurrence with the corrective action or agreement with the justification for a lack of action.

SRP will comply with this condition. See Condition 17A above.

18. The Applicant shall, to the extent feasible, minimize the destruction of native plants during the Project construction and shall comply with the notice and salvage requirements of the Arizona Native Plant Law.

SRP has complied with this condition. SRP identified plant material to be avoided in the CMRPs. Disposition of plants on State lands was consistent with ASLD directives.

19. The Applicant shall use non-specular conductor and dulled surfaces for transmission line structures.

SRP has complied with this condition. Non-specular conductors and dulled surfaces for transmission line structures were used in the construction of all segments of the Project.

20. The following condition is to ensure transmission system safety and reliability when a transmission structure is placed within one hundred feet of an existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline, excluding distribution lines as defined by the Code of Federal Regulations, 49 C.F.R. § 192.3 (2001). Under such circumstances, the Applicant shall:

- (a) Provide the appropriate grounding and cathodic protection studies to show that the transmission line results in no material adverse impacts to such existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline, whose right-of-way is a hundred feet or less from the transmission structure, when both are in operation. Additionally, Applicant shall use its best efforts to insure that future natural gas and hazardous liquid pipelines result in no material adverse impact to the transmission lines. A report of the studies shall be provided to the Commission by the Applicant as part of its compliance with the Certificate. If material adverse impacts are noted in the studies, Applicant shall take appropriate steps to ensure such material adverse impacts are mitigated. Applicant shall provide to the Commission written documentation of the actions that were taken and provide documentation showing that material adverse impacts were mitigated.

SRP has and will continue to comply with this condition. In 2012 Kinder Morgan acquired the El Paso Corporation. Late in 2012 representatives of Kinder Morgan contacted SRP and requested copies of the original study that had previously been submitted to El Paso Corporation. In January 2013 SRP and Kinder Morgan held a meeting to review the results of the previous study. Subsequent to the meeting, Kinder Morgan requested that SRP perform additional study work that would include an evaluation of possible pipeline corrosion due to AC current flow. SRP had issued a contract for this additional study work to be completed. However, do to failure by the study contractor to meet required schedule requirements the contractor was terminated. SRP is currently in the process of issuing a new Request for Proposals to under take the AC current study requested by Kinder Morgan. If the study identifies any materal adverse impacts to existing natural gas or harzardous liquid pipeline(s) within one hundred feet or less of a transmission structure SRP will take the appropriate steps to ensure such material adverse impacts are mitigated. A copy of the final study report and any mitigation implemented will be provided to the Commission.

- (b) Adopt a written mitigation plan outlining all reasonable steps to be taken to protect all electric facilities associated with the transmission line as a result of this Project from any potential hazards that could occur whenever a transmission line structure is placed 100 feet or less from any such existing pipeline or its contents. The plan shall indicate the proposed corrective action to mitigate identified potential hazards. A potential hazard includes, but is not limited to, a rupture or explosion resulting from the pipeline. The written mitigation plan shall be provided to the Commission by the Applicant as part of its compliance with this Certificate and shall be subject to review and comment by Commission Staff. Applicant shall implement the written mitigation plan during a hazard as part of its compliance with this Certificate.

SRP will comply with this condition.

21. The Applicant shall submit a self-certification letter annually until construction is complete, identifying which conditions contained in the CEC have been met. Each letter shall be submitted to the Utilities Division Director beginning on August 1, 2006, describing conditions that have been met as of June 30 of that year. Attached to each certification letter shall be documentation explaining, in detail, how compliance with each condition was achieved. Copies of each letter, along with the corresponding documentation, shall also be submitted to the Arizona Attorney General and Department of Commerce Energy Office.

Compliance with this condition is met by the submission of this filing.

22. Before construction on this Project may commence, the Applicant must file a construction mitigation and restoration plan with ACC Docket Control.

The goals of the Plan will be to:

- Avoid impacts where practical;
- Where impacts are unavoidable, minimize impacts; and
- Focus on site preparation to facilitate natural processes of revegetation and drainage.

Other key elements of the Plan, when not inconsistent with the respective land management agencies or local owners' requirements, are to:

- Emphasize final site preparation to encourage natural revegetation;
- Avoid (*i.e.*, preserve), where practical, mature native trees;
- Stipulate a maximum construction corridor width;
- Reserve topsoil and native plant materials from right-of-way before grading, and respread over the right-of-way after construction is complete;
- Imprint the restored right-of-way to provide indentations to catch seed and water;
- Implement best management practices to protect the soil;
- Apply restoration methods that have been shown to work in the desert environment;
- and
- Prevent the spread of noxious weeds or other undesirable species.

SRP has complied with this condition. Because the Project was constructed in segments, SRP submitted CMRPs for each segment of the Project based on the construction schedule. SRP filed a CMRP with the ACC on August 25, 2006 for the first segment of the line from Browning to Dinosaur, including the Dinosaur substation. The CMRPs for the Browning to Abel 500/230 kV segment and the Pinal Central to Abel 500/230 kV segment, including the Abel and Pinal Central substations were filed with the ACC on June 24, 2009. Revisions to the CMRP for the Browning to Abel section of the project were filed with the ACC on November 19, 2009. The CMRPs for the Pinal Central to Duke to Pinal West line segments were submitted on July 24, 2012.

23. The authority granted by this Certificate includes the authority to construct a 230 kV circuit on the 500 kV structures (authorized within this Certificate), between the Santa Rosa and SEV Substations. This authority is contingent on the following:
- (a) The Applicant will identify proposed use of the 230 kV facilities in a January 2006 filing of the annual ten year plan submittals. The Applicant will include the 230 kV facilities and the required technical study work in each subsequent ten year plan filing until the 230 kV facilities are constructed.

SRP has complied with this condition. SRP has included a description of the 230 kV facilities in its ten year plan each year since January 2006. The technical study work for the 230 kV facilities was completed by the SWAT CATS-HV Technical Study Work Group and was docketed with the Commission.

- (b) Prior to beginning construction of a 230 kV circuit on any portion of the route between the Santa Rosa and SEV Substations, Applicant shall submit to the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission (“ACC Staff”) a written study that describes the proposed 230 kV circuit and provides all available study results of a system reliability analysis relating to the proposed circuit, including but not limited to, studies demonstrating that the proposed 230 kV circuit meets NERC/WECC reliability criteria as well as any other criteria utilized by the Commission at the time of filing. The study will also identify the needs and objectives of the stakeholders, address how the 230 kV circuit will best meet the regional needs of the stakeholders and address how the 230 kV circuit will be incorporated with other long term 230 kV plans identified to meet the load serving needs of the region. The study will also address issues of reliability relating to consolidation of these lines on common structures, the use of common corridors and interconnection of facilities. The study work will be performed in an open and collaborative manner open to all stakeholders in a regional forum, such as the CATS-HV Technical Study Work Group.

SRP has complied with this condition. On August 11, 2006, SRP submitted study work and sought authority to construct a 230 kV circuit on the 500 kV structures beginning at a point on Cornman Road in Casa Grande and continuing along the certificated route to the future Pinal Central substation.

SRP submitted additional study work and sought authority to construct a 230 kV circuit on the 500 kV structures for the remaining segments of line between Duke (formerly Santa Rosa) and Abel (formerly Southeast Valley or SEV) substations. On June 30, 2008, SRP submitted the request to add the 230 kV circuit to the 500 kV structures from Duke substation to a point on Cornman Road, near the intersection with Thornton Road and from the Pinal Central substation to the Abel substation.

- (c) Upon the submission of the study work described in subsection b (in the paragraph above) to the ACC Staff, the Applicant shall make a compliance filing with Docket Control documenting said study work submission. The ACC Staff shall then docket within 90 days a Staff Report with regard to the Applicant's study work. The Staff Report shall include a Staff recommendation as to whether a hearing should be held in the matter as well as Staff's recommendation as to whether the Commission should approve the Applicant's request to attach a 230 kV circuit line to the 500 kV structures. Regardless of whether the matter proceeds to hearing, the Commission shall make the final determination whether the Applicant is authorized to construct the 230 kV circuit on any portion of the common 500 kV line structures spanning from Santa Rosa to the SEV Substations. Only upon receipt of an affirmative determination from the Commission, may the Applicant construct the 230 kV circuit described in subsection a.

The ACC, in Decision No. 69183, dated December 8, 2006, found that SRP's August 2006 compliance filing fulfilled the requirements of Condition 23 and granted SRP the authority to construct the 230 kV line pending the approval

of a CEC for the Desert Basin 230 kV Project. A CEC for the Desert Basin Project was granted on June 6, 2007 in Decision No. 69647.

On November 19, 2008, in Decision No. 70610, the ACC determined that SRP had met the requirements set forth in Condition 23 and granted SRP the authority to construct the 230 kV line from Duke (formerly Santa Rosa) substation to a point on Cornman Road, near the intersection with Thornton Road and from the Pinal Central substation to the Abel (formerly Southeast Valley or SEV) substation.

(d) A request for approval of a particular 230 kV circuit shall not preclude subsequent requests for other 230 kV circuits.

SRP has complied with this condition as evidenced by its separate submittals in 2006 and 2008, requesting additional 230 kV circuits.

With respect to the Project, Applicant shall participate in good faith in state and regional transmission study forums, and shall coordinate transmission expansion plans related to the Project to resolve transmission constraints in a timely manner.

SRP continues to participate in good faith in state and regional transmission study forums, such as CATS, SWAT and others.

24. Applicant shall provide copies by certified mail of this Certificate to appropriate city and county planning agencies, to the county board of supervisors, mayor and town council of appropriate cities, the Arizona Department of Real Estate, The Attorney General's Office, SHPO, AGFD and ASLD.

SRP has complied with this condition as noted in the 2006 annual compliance filing. Copies of the original and amended Certificate were sent by certified mail on September 16 and December 8, 2005 to 68 individuals who represent the agencies, county, cities and towns noted in the condition.

25. Prior to the date this transmission line is put into commercial service, the Applicant shall provide homebuilders and developers within one mile of the center line of the certificated route the identity, location, and a pictorial depiction of the type of power line being constructed, accompanied by a written description, and encourage the developers and homebuilders to include this information in the developers' and homebuilders' homeowners' disclosure statement.

SRP has complied with this condition.

On April 23, 2007 SRP mailed correspondence to four homebuilders/developers (Farnsworth Development, Meritage Homes of Arizona, Inc., Pulte Homes and Regency Development, Inc.) in the area notifying them of the current construction of the line segment from the Browning substation to the Dinosaur substation. A copy of the letter and attachments mailed to each of the homebuilders/developers was provided in the 2007 compliance filing.

On July 9, 2009 SRP mailed correspondence to 24 homebuilders/developers in the area notifying them of the current construction of the line from Browning to Abel to Pinal Central. A copy of the letter and attachments, including a list of the developments that were notified, was provided in the 2009 compliance filing.

On April 21, 2014, SRP mailed correspondence to 101 homebuilders and developers notifying them of current and pending construction along the Pinal West – Duke – Pinal Central segment of the line. A copy of the letter and attachments, including a list of the developments that were notified, is included as Appendix B.

26. Applicant shall publish a copy of this certificate and the attachments on Applicant's project website within 10 days of approval by the Corporation Commission. Such publication shall continue until all necessary right-of-way has been obtained.

SRP has complied with this condition. As noted in the 2006 compliance filing, SRP posted a copy of the Certificate and related attachments on its website at www.azpower.org on August 29, 2005. The posting was completed within the 10 days required by this condition. SRP later updated the website to include a posting of the amended decision dated November 14, 2005.

27. Upon the start of construction for each of RS-19, Pinal South and Southeast Valley substations, Applicant shall work with the applicable jurisdictions to determine appropriate landscaping/visual mitigation for the particular site.

SRP has complied with this condition.

As noted in the 2006 annual compliance filing, the Project Manager mailed correspondence to the Pinal County Manager regarding proposed visual mitigation for the RS-19 substation, renamed as the Dinosaur substation.

On July 9, 2009 SRP mailed correspondence to the Pinal County Director of Public Works, recapping earlier discussions with the County regarding proposed visual mitigation for the Pinal Central (formerly Pinal South) and the Abel (formerly Southeast Valley) substations. A copy of the letter was

included with the 2009 compliance filing. SRP resumed discussions with Pinal County staff in April, 2012 to discuss the proposed site design and planned visual mitigation for the Pinal Central substation as site work is expected to begin in August. In a letter to Pinal County dated June 15, 2012, SRP summarized the April meeting and impending work on the project. A copy of this letter was provided in the 2012 compliance filing.

28. Applicant shall work in good faith with homeowners, homeowners associations and potential developers to avoid existing and future homes along the approved route.

SRP has complied with this condition.

29. Within three (3) years of the effective date of the Commission's decision for the Project, the Applicant shall determine a tentative center line for the transmission line and location of the substations and shall erect and maintain signs providing public notice that the property is the site of a future transmission line or substation. Applicant shall place signs in prominent locations at reasonable intervals such that the public is notified along the full length of the transmission line. The signs shall advise: a.) the site has been approved for the construction of Project facilities including 500 kV lines, 230 kV lines and substations, as applicable; b.) the expected date of completion of the Project facilities; and c.) a phone number for public information regarding the Project.

SRP has complied with this condition.

30. Within 90 days of securing all or any portion of the easement for the transmission line, the Applicant shall record such easement with either the Pinal County Recorder's Office or the Maricopa County Recorder's Office, as appropriate.

SRP has complied with this condition. SRP has completed the acquisition of all the required easements and has recorded such easements with the Pinal County Recorder's Office.

Conditions from Decision 68291 dated November 14, 2005:

31. For the segment of the approved corridor lying between Node 81/UPRR on the North and a point approximately 10,800 feet south along the north bank of the Gila River, the approved corridor shall be 1,000 feet along the centerline unless by November 1, 2006, Pulte Home Corporation, Vanguard Properties, SRP, and the Town of Florence agree on a specific alignment within the wider corridor approved by the Commission. The alignment shall not interfere with the Applicants' proposed crossing of the Gila River.

SRP has complied with this condition. On October 31, 2006 SRP, on behalf of Pulte Home Corporation, Vanguard Properties, SRP and the Town of Florence, submitted to the ACC the agreed-upon alignment which is within

the wider corridor approved by the Commission. The final alignment for this segment was included in the CMPR filed with the ACC on June 24, 2009, with this segment of the line constructed in 2010.

32. All project-related costs that would not be incurred but for the expansion of the certificated corridor width that is approved by this Decision shall be borne by the parties who supported the expansion.

SRP has complied with this condition.

Appendix A




ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE & PERMITTING • PAB352
P.O. Box 52025
Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025
(602) 236-5900

SHPO-2004-1973(114897)

Email: Rick.Anduze@srpnet.com

24 September 2013

David Jacobs
Arizona State Parks
1300 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007



RE: Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District et al. Pinal West to Southeast Valley/Browning Transmission Line Project, Pinal County, Arizona. Arizona Corporation Commission Case No. 126, Decision No. 68093; Pinal West Substation to Pinal Central Substation Extra-high Voltage Transmission Line. SHPO-2004-1973 (21790/39798/99698)

The Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP) has sponsored a data recovery program for the Pinal West to Pinal Central segment of the Pinal West to Southeast Valley/Browning Transmission Project in Pinal County, Arizona.

Previous consultation was conducted by SRP with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) at the direction of the Arizona Corporation Commission, Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee, to comply with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Act. SHPO concluded that the submitted treatment plan (Data Recovery Plan for Archaeological Sites on Private Lands along the SRP Pinal West to Dinosaur Extra-High Voltage Transmission Line, Pinal County, Arizona. Dated 1 May 2009.) adequately addressed the potential impacts to sites within the corridor and at Pinal Central Substation (SHPO letter dated 18 May 2009).

On 9 March 2012, SRP submitted the report titled "A Preliminary Report on Phase I and Phase II Archaeological Data Recovery at Five Sites for the Pinal West to Pinal Central Extra-High Voltage Transmission Line Project, Pinal County, Arizona" by Logan Simpson Design. SHPO concurred that the report met the level-of-effort proposed in the treatment plan and that construction could begin (SHPO concurrence dated 12 March 2012).

Enclosed is the revised final data recovery report titled "Phase I and Phase II Archaeological Data Recovery at Five Sites for the Pinal West to Pinal Central Extra-High Voltage Transmission Line Project, near Casa Grande, Pinal County, Arizona" by Logan Simpson Design, Inc. (Technical Report No. 115395, July 2013). I hope you will agree that the report adequately presents the results of the data recovery and analyses efforts. Please note that the analyses of the human remains and associated artifacts was conducted by the Gila River Indian Community, Cultural Resource Management Program.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Rick Anduze

Richard A. Anduze
Senior Environmental Scientist
Biological and Cultural Resource Services

File: SS PRJ 1-6-4

CONCUR

Richard A. Anduze 3 OCT 13

Arizona State Historic Preservation Office

Appendix B



P.O. Box 52025
Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025
(602) 236-5900
www.srpnet.com

April 21, 2014

Dear Homebuilder/Developer,

This letter is to notify you that design work is complete and construction is almost complete on the Pinal West-Duke-Pinal Central 500kV transmission line portion of the Pinal West – Southeast Valley/Browning 500/230kV transmission line sited near your property (see attached exhibit). This portion of the line extends from the existing Pinal West substation to two (2) new substations, named Duke (formerly known as Santa Rosa) and Pinal Central (formerly known as Pinal South). The line route was developed and permitted through a three-year environmental siting and public process that was completed in the fall 2005. Please visit the project web site at www.azpower.org for an overview of the siting process.

Construction on this segment began last year and is scheduled to be complete by May of 2014. The work is made up of three parts, the transmission line work in the approved transmission line corridor and construction of the new Duke Substation located southeast of the City of Maricopa 4.9 miles south of the Maricopa-Casa Grande Highway on the east side of White and Parker Road in Pinal County and the Pinal Central Substation located one-half mile south of State Route 287 (East Florence Blvd) on the east side of Eleven Mile Corner Road in Pinal County.

Included in this packet is a written description of the project including a map of the entire project, a map showing the location of this segment of the line, and typical drawings of the poles that have been constructed in the corridor.

We are providing this information to you in accordance with Condition 25 of the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility issued for this transmission project. SRP encourages you to share the information contained in this packet with all potential homebuyers with whom you speak and to include it in the Public Report as required by the Arizona Department of Real Estate. I remain available to address any questions or concerns throughout the course of the construction at the phone numbers provided below.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Janeen Rohovit". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Janeen Rohovit
SRP Public Involvement
(602) 236-2872 or (800) 380-6123



Developer and Homebuilder Notification List

Pinal West – Duke – Pinal Central 500 kV Transmission Line Portion of the Pinal West – Southeast Valley – Browning 500/230kV Transmission Line

1. Linsenmeyer Melton Family Ltd Partnership
2. Frito-Lay, Inc.
3. Abbott Laboratories
4. William T. Eastman & William T. Eastman, Jr.
5. The Tarantini Family Limited Partnership
6. Charles R. Peterson and Patricia S. Peterson and Mr. & Mrs. Mockler
7. Milrod Associates LLC (Rod Martin)
8. I-8 and Thornton Road Investments Limited Partnership
9. Diamond Plastics
10. Superior Mangagement LLC
11. AZ Water Company
12. Norris Chemicals/RV Sales
13. Quemetco Metals Arizona LLC
14. Golden Eagle Distributors, Inc
15. DHB real Estate
16. Walmart
17. Jaz Investements LLC
18. USA substation -SCIP, Clarence Begay
19. William Wells
20. Lowell Martin
21. Dean & Roslin Jacobson; Richard Barr et al
22. Douglas Keeling
23. PRP LTD Ptshp c/o Jerry Taylor
24. Charles & Barbara Lawrence
25. Thornton & Cornman Road Partnership
26. PK Casa Grande LLC
27. William F Myers Family Ltd Partnership ETAL
28. Stephen M & Nancy B Daley TR
29. DA FARMS 2 LLC
30. AUZA RANCH S LLC
31. MIKRON INDUSTRIES LLC
32. PROJECT BAKE IV LLC

33. ORSETT/SOUTH MOUNTAIN PARTNERS LLC
34. COURTNEY MANN LLC
35. DHB REAL ESTATE c/o CT CORP SYSTEM
36. GOLDEN EAGLE DISTRIBUTORS
37. DAISY BRAND LP
38. NORRIS CHEMICAL COMPANY
39. GREEN IRA DELBERT FAMILY TRUST
40. AR LAND LLC
41. ARIZONA WATER COMPANY
42. CALVARY CHAPEL OF CASA GRANDE
43. TREJO INVESTMENTS LTD PARTNERSHIP
44. TOMMY HOOVER SR & TERRY HOOVER
45. AZ WELDING EQUIPMENT-PRAXAIR
46. CASA GRANDE BUSINESS CTR PARTNERSHIP
47. CHARLES R PETERSON
48. SUPERIOR MANAGEMENT LLC
49. CASA SIERRA INVEST LTD PARTNERSHIP
50. STANDORI 5 LLC
51. CAVCO IND - PALM HARBOR HOMES
52. AZ UNICORN LLC
53. LEWIS A KENT FAMILY TRUST
54. DONOVAN KRAMER SR & RUTH KRAMER
55. BULLMOOSE TUBE OF CASA GRANDE LLC
56. PRICE COMPANIES INC
57. DSK PROPERTIES LLC
58. CASA GRANDE TRANSFER CO
59. RICHARD D AND CONSTANCE J DAVENPORT
60. KENNETH SUTTON SR & KENNETH SUTTON JR
61. ALEJANDRA A ALMAGUER
62. CHAS ROBERTS AIRCONDITIONING INC
63. SCOTT & ANTONINA LEAVENWORTH
64. TITAN FARMWK SYS- HEICO CASA GRANDE AZ
65. JJB PROP HLDGS-CAVANAGH LAW FIRM
66. JACKSON & SHARON IDEEN
67. QUALITY LIQUID FEEDS INC
68. SW & CW ENTERPRISES LLC
69. SMOKING TURTLES LLC
70. SUNBELT CEMENT-CEMEX INC
71. CASA GRANDE APP INC
72. 77 BAR INC

73. ARIZONA GLASS PROPERTIES LLC
74. ALC-ACQUISITION HOLDINGS LLC
75. SGF LLC
76. D & S COMMERCIAL LLC
77. JM FOSTER TURF OF AZ LLC
78. IRWIN A KRUGEL TRUST
79. WASSON INVESTMENTS LLC
80. LINTON PROPERTIES V LLC
81. IAN & KAREN LINTON
82. G TIM & KAREN SARNOWSKI
83. JAMES DEAN & FLOSSIE MAE BRADY
84. ERNEST E & MARRIETA K BRADY
85. MANTEROLA RANCHES LLC
86. JOSEPH & ANGELINA GIRARDI 1995 REV TRUST
87. LONGMIRE WELL SERVICE
88. JULIA HERNANDEZ
89. CONRAD & MARIA HERNANDEZ
90. MARGARITA LANEY
91. JERRY & TOMASA LAHTAM
92. LUTICIA MUNOZ
93. JAMES DEAN BRADY
94. HOWARD HORNE C/O OLD FARM EXECUTIVE PA
95. DOUGLAS M KEELING TRUST
96. M LINSEMEYER FLP C/O MARICOPA PACKING CO
97. AUZA RANCHES LLC
98. ETHINGTON ROAD LLC
99. CASA GRANDE DEVELOPMENT LLC
100. IJIMS CORP
101. CITY OF CASA GRANDE