

ORIGINAL



0000152516

Arizona Corporation Commission Public Comment Form

1200 W Washington St - Phx, AZ 85007
(602) 542-4251

Step 1

This form should be used for public comments pertaining to a specific pending case only. Please be sure to reference the appropriate docket number so your comments are filed in the docket promptly. Please use this link for complaints, inquiries or general inquiries. <http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Utilities/forms/ComplaintForm2013.pdf>

Step 2

YOUR NAME <i>Pat Tabor</i>	DATE <i>01-28-14</i>
ADDRESS <i>179 W. Gene Drive</i>	PHONE (HOME) <i>928-468-8053</i>
CITY, STATE, ZIP <i>Payson, AZ 85541</i>	CELL PHONE
DOCKET YOU WISH TO COMMENT ON: Payson Water Co. consolidated dockets:	DOCKET NUMBER W-03514A-13-0111 & W-03514A-13-0142
CASE OR UTILITY NAME Payson Water Company	YOUR POSITION ON THE DOCKET CON <i>CON</i>
E-MAIL ADDRESS	

Step 3

ENTER YOUR COMMENTS HERE:

See comments on attached page(s).

Step 4

This form may be completed, printed, mailed or faxed to:

Arizona Corporation Commission or
Consumer Services Section
1200 W. Washington St
Phoenix, AZ 85007

E-mail your comments to:
mailmaster@azcc.gov

Fax to: 602-542-2129

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
JAN 31 2014

DOCKETED BY *nr*

RECEIVED
2014 JAN 31 P 3:40
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

January 28, 2014

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing this letter to challenge the proposed rate increase along with additional fines and fees that have been amended to the original PWC proposal dated September, 2013. The following bullet points outline my concerns regarding the increase in base fees and water cost along with the EVP Curtailment Plan, which was not even included in any Public Notice:

- The proposed fees to be charged for water hauling would not be necessary if PWC had made improvements to the water system first and foremost as requested by EVP residents in 1976, shown in the Bartholomew and Follett study. This was also promised to EVP residents by PWC president, Robert Hardcastle, in 2001. Therefore, these fees caused by the lack in maintenance and improvements that should have been performed by PWC should not fall upon residents of EVP.
- The data used in determining if a resident is in violation is simply unreasonable. By using the suggested data, the residents cannot avoid frequent violations and disconnection fees. Many of the residents are not full time residents. If they arrive and are present for a month during the curtailment period with a Stage Level of 4 or 5, how can they possibly reduce their water consumption from the previous month by 40% or 50% of 0 gallons? This is utterly and mathmatically impossible. Then the following month, they would be required to reduce consumption by another 40% or 50% from that month or suffer having their water disconnected and pay the mandatory fine? This does not even allow enough resources to support basic needs for hygiene.
- Water is a necessary commodity in order to survive. Having it extremely limited or even non-existent is invasive and life-threatening. This is past the point of intimidation and harrassment.
- Personally, as a full-time resident of EVP existing on a limited, fixed income, the proposed water hauling and almost guaranteed disconnection and subsequent reconnection fees would cause an extreme hardship.

I truly hope that these concerns will be seriously considered by the Arizona Corporation Commission, and taken into account when determining the outcome of the challenge that will be ruled upon during the following week.

Sincerely,



Pat Tabor