

ORIGINAL



0000149782

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

2013 DEC -4 P 3 46

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

- 1
- 2 BOB STUMP
CHAIRMAN
- 3 GARY PIERCE
COMMISSIONER
- 4 BRENDA BURNS
COMMISSIONER
- 5 BOB BURNS
COMMISSIONER
- 6 SUSAN BITTER SMITH
COMMISSIONER

7
8 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
9 LITCHFIELD PARK SERVICE COMPANY,
10 AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A
11 DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF
12 ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND
13 FOR INCREASES IN ITS WASTEWATER
14 RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON
15 FOR UTILITY SERVICE.

Docket No. SW-01428A-13-0042

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

DEC 04 2013

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	--

12
13 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
14 LITCHFIELD PARK SERVICE COMPANY,
15 AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A
16 DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF
17 ITS UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND
18 FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER RATES
19 AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR
20 UTILITY SERVICE.

Docket No. W-01427A-13-0043

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL FILING

19 The RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE ("RUCO") hereby provides
20 notice of its supplemental filing to update RUCO's Surrebuttal Testimony of Robert B.
21 Mease.

22 Company witness Mr. Thomas Bourassa stated in his rebuttal testimony that
23 RUCO's rate design was approximately \$20,000 short of RUCO's recommended level
24


1 of gross revenues for the Wastewater Division. RUCO investigated the Company's
2 claim and determined that the Company did include the correct number of customer bill
3 counts in their rate design but failed to annualize the additional bills for the Effluent 200
4 customer classification. While the Company's bill-count annualization reflects the
5 additional customer bills it fails to recognize the additional revenues generated from
6 those additional bills in the rate design. Because the Effluent 200 customer
7 classification appears to be a new customer that came onto the system in August 2012,
8 the Company failed to account for the additional known and measurable revenues for
9 the months of January through July 2013.

10 This appears to be an inadvertent mistake and RUCO has no reason to believe it
11 was made in bad faith. The full twelve-months of revenues generated by this new
12 customer understates the Company's wastewater revenues by \$33,335 or \$54,889
13 when grossed up by the gross revenue conversion factor ("GRCF") of 1.6466.

14 RUCO just discovered the mistake and is providing notice in good faith as early
15 as possible.

16 RUCO intends to supplement its testimony through Mr. Mease on this issue on
17 the witness stand at the time of the hearing and in its final schedules.

18
19
20 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4th day of December, 2013.

21
22 
23 Daniel W. Pozefsky
24 Chief Counsel

1 AN ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN COPIES
of the foregoing filed this 4th day
2 of December, 2013 with:

3 Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
4 1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

5 COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered/
6 mailed this 4th day of December, 2013 to:


7 Teena Jibilian
Administrative Law Judge
8 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
11 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13 Steve Olea, Director
Utilities Division
14 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

16 Jay L. Shapiro
Fennemore Craig, PC
17 2394 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 600
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
18 Attorneys for LPSCO

19 Olivia Burnes
356 N. Cloverfield Circle
20 Litchfield Park, AZ 85340

21
22 By 
Cheryl Fraulob

23

24