		OPEN MEETIN	IG AGENDA ITE	
ORIGINAL	ARIZO	NA CORPOR	ATION COMM	
			AZ CORP	> COMMISSION
Investigator: Tri	sh Meeter	Phone:	DOCK	ET CONT <b>Fax:</b>
Priority: Re	spond With	nin Five Days	2013 NOU	7 AM 9 32
Opinion <u>No.</u>	2013 - 10	09677		Date: 3/22/2013
Complaint Description	: 09Z N/A	Rates/Tarriffs - Oth Not Applicable	er	
	First:		Last:	
Complaint By:	Robert		Gossler	
Account Name:	Robert Gos	sler	ļ	Home: (^^^
Street:	n/a			Work:
<u>City:</u>	Oak Creek			CBR:
State:	AZ Z	<b>Zip:</b> 86341		<u>is:</u>
Utility Company. Arizona Public Service Company				
Division:	Electric			
Contact Name:	For assignment	nent	<u>C</u>	ontact Phone:
Nature of Complain	<u>nt:</u>			
				s received and included in this separate opinion opened and
October 8, 2013,				Arizona Corporation Commission

Commissioner Bob Stump,

Arizona Corporation Commission 1300 West Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007

E.01.245A.13-0248

DOCKETED

NUV 0 7 2013

DOCKETED BY	GAI
L	INT

Dear Commissioner Stump.

Having twice written the Commission, March 11 and June 10, sithout courtesy of reply or even acknowledgement of receipt, I am writing you individually. Under the (I hope,correct) assumption that former Congressman Bob Stump was your dad, he was one of the three or four politicians whom I ever completely trusted. I wrote him many times, always received a rational logical reply. I'm expecting that his dedication has rubbed off on you. The aboe letters with enclosures, were about two separate issues. 1) Unfair APS electricity charges against "regular" users which are used to favor and enrich solar customers; and (2) Unfair proposed charges to customer wishing to opt-out of Smaert Meter installation.

Enclosed are copies of both letters, and copies of material leading up to my writin those letters. In the material relative to issue (2), APS assumed that, because I have two meters, I have two homes. I do not- one meter is at my home, and one at my place of business. Also on issue (2), I enclosed copies of two "letters to the Weditor" of Sedona's Red Rock News, by Philip Allen and Vraig Dible, such letters illustrating that this is an issue of concern to many people.

Both issues are of great concern to me, both financially and idealistically, thus my persistence. I thank you in advance for your attention.

Sincerely,

## ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

## UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Robert J. Gossler, .

Sedona, AZ 86341-0489.

March 11,2013

Arizona Corporation Commission, 1300 West Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Gentlemen:

Let's see if I have this straight.

We pay Arizona Public Service for the use of "regular" electricity. APS then urges customers, for "green" reasons of course, to transform to solar electricity. This is a costly process. But not to worry! Because you, our AZ Corporation Commission, permit and encourage APS to offer rebates, subsidies and recycling benefits, using, of course, money from "regular" electricity users. Subsidized installation of solar systems (though still beyond the reach of many "regular" electricity users) then creates APS non-customers (see attached APS notice). Right so far?

Now APS informs the remaining "regular" electricity customers, who involuntarily have reduced the number of APS customers, that they must increase their payments to meet less- funded APS fixed costs due to fewer customers. And, incidentally, to meet the need of providing even more rebates, subsidies and recycling benefits. This, in tum, again reduces APS customers, and causes additional higher payments by the "regular" electricity suckers to meet those less- funded APS fixed costs. And around and around we go.

But, as Historian Marshall Trimble elates, the pain of high payments has been eliminated for the solar folks. How nice for them! And all this to "eliminate" a non-existent and fraudulent concept-- man-caused global warming. Shame on you and APS.

This is something I, and I'll bet many others, will remember in the next election. Count on it.

Sedona, AZ 86341-0489.

copy of company notice regarding LFCR attached. See file copy \*End of Complaint\*

## **Utilities' Response:**

## Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Filed for record keeping purposes.

11/5 docketed in E-01345A-13-0248 \*End of Comments\*

Date Completed: 3/22/2013

Opinion No. 2013 - 109677