## E-01345A-13-0248

## **ORIGINAL**

# 0000149271

## OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM

#### **ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMI**

### UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

**Investigator:** Al Amezcua

Phone: (C 2013 NOV - 1 A 9: 47 Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

**Opinion** 

No. 2013

- 113497

DOCKET CONTROL

GIZZIMMOS

Date: 10/29/2013

**Complaint Description:** 

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Craig R

Hirlinger

**Account Name:** 

Craig R Hirlinger

Home:

Street:

. ....-

Work: (000) 000-0000

City:

Surprise

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85374

<u>is:</u>

**Utility Company.** 

Arizona Public Service Company

**Division:** 

**Electric** 

**Contact Name:** 

For assignment

Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

Arizona Corporation Commission

From: •

Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2013 3:40 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox Subject: APS Net Metering DOCKETEL

**DOCKETED BY** 

NOV 0 1 2013

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission, Utilities Division

TEX TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O

I invested \$27,500, March of 2010, for a 8.3kW solar array on my roof. The \$27.5K was my net out of pocket after all applicable rebates.

APS approved the installation and commissioned it for operation. I signed a contract with APS, agreeing to accept the net metering method of operation. APS determined the method, not me. I also accepted the standard EPR-6 rate, which is 9 cents per kilowatt. All of these factors went into my decision to make this purchase. My payback was calculated based on 9 cents per kilowatt, and the APS net metering system. Unlike what I read in the local paper, any excess kilowatts I have at the end of the year are credited to me at 6 cents per kW, under retail. I agreed to that in my initial contract.

I am 62 years of age. I made this investment in an attempt to control the amount of money spent on electricity in the future. I recently retired and I am on a fixed income.

The APS division is a very profitable division of Pinnacle West Capital Corporation. \$22.4 million in profits, for the first quarter of 2013. They are not struggling to make ends meet.

The contract that I signed was not for 20 years (APS's latest proposal). What I signed was for the life of my solar system.

I encourage the Corporation Commission to not allow APS to arbitrarily now limit my investment, to just 20 years, without any due process. If this happens, there will definitely be a class action law suit filed. In the end there will be no winners.

#### ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

#### **UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

I have no issue with APS getting changes approved on a go forward basis, but changing the agreement retroactively will certainly not be acceptable.

Sincerely,

Craig R Hirlinger

Surprise, AZ 85374

\*End of Complaint\*

**Utilities' Response:** 

#### **Investigator's Comments and Disposition:**

Comments noted for the record and docketed. CLOSED.

An inquiry was also filed and sent to APS for answers, please see inquiry no. 113498. \*End of Comments\*

Date Completed: 10/29/2013

Opinion No. 2013 - 113497