OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM

COMMISSIONERS
BOB STUMP - Chairman
GARY PIERCE
BRENDA BURNS
BOB BURNS
SUSAN BITTER SMITH



2013 OCT 29 P 2: 47

V/ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL



Executive Director jjerich@azcc.gov 602-542-3931

ORIGINAL

To:

Docket Control

From:

October 29, 2013

Date:

Shaylin Bernal

Subject:

Arizona Public Service Corporation

Docket No. E-01345A-13-0248

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCT 2 9 2013



On October 24, 2013, the Executive Director received 12 bundled, individually written letters regarding the above-reference docket. These letters are attached.

OCTOBER 21, 2013

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DEAR KAS, JERICH,

AS A SMALL BUSINESS OWNER AND CONSUMER OF ELECTRIC POWER IN ARIZONA, I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE ISSUE OF NET METERING. I DO NOT WISH TO SUBSIDIZE OTHER POWER USERS BY COMPENSATING SOLAR USERS WITH PREMIUM RATES

I AM A FREE MARKET SUPPORTING REPUBLICAN AND THIS DOES NOT MEET MY PRINCIPLES OF FAIR PLAY.

PLEASE CONSIDER MY OPINION WHEN YOU VOTE ON THIS ISSUE IN EARLY NOVEMBER.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION

RUBY WHITE

5550 €. CLINTON ST.

Scott SDALE, AZ 85254

Dear Jodi Jerich, Executive Derection Arrisona Corporation Commission

It makes no sense for solar panel owners to be able to sell their excess capacity to APS at refail prices when APS Can purchase power at wholesale prices.

Please oppose net Metering Thank you.

Thomas I Husband 5520 E. San Miguel Are Paradise Valley 17 85253 1 CHBER 22, 2013

Dear Mo. Gereh:

Met metering is subsidizing individuals with tax payer follars. Paying people with tax payer follars. Paying people above the sover rate charged by utilities is unacceptable in a free market economy. is unacceptable in a free market economy. as a tax payer, I recent paying extra to solar panel users.

Sower the rate paid to these people for their excess gover or discontinie the program.

Thank you for considering

Patrick & Smith LD 28

Dear Executive Director Jerich,

In a free market system you and I too often take for granted, individuals are free to choose which over goods or services they feel suit them best, Historically, this sacred market bond between consumer and producer has proven too delicate for government to dictate, often with disastrous consequences for citizens.

I'm sure we can both agree on many merits to renewable energy that could some day revolutionize the world. But living in the present, the cost of legally compelling consumers to purchase a product against their will is painfully starting to be felt; an imperfect product at that only time. Energy deregulation is a common sense idea whose time has finally come. Updating Arizona's net metering policy is

a stale policy in desparate head of reform.

If you've taken the time to read this letterof concern from a fellow Arizonan, I urge you to vote for much needed net metering reform this year, If you fail to fulfill your civic duties... well, elections have consequences commissioner. & Boldy

sincerely

Jadi Jerich, Executive Director Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Phaenin, Az 85007

October 22, 2014

Dear Director Jerich,

Arizona's energy needs are met through a sostainable energy grid which is crucial to our in frastructure. A current concern we face is the net metering substitutes. It will be unsustainable if public policy reform is not voted on. In the free market, customers chasse how they get their energy. However, the current course for Arizona to payers will damage and offset Arizona's economy. Please consider to reform net metering this year.

Thank you for your corrideration.

Michael Aaron Bidwani
602-821-9076



"HELPING YOU REACH HIGHER BY DIGGING DEEPER"

P. O. Box 2048 - Phoenix, AZ 85001 - (623) 363 -8147 - www.bulldogusa1.com - rwthomas123@aol.com

October 22, 2013

Jodi Jerich
Executive Director
AZ Corporation Commission
Commissioners Wing, 2nd Floor
1200 W Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007
Dear Jodi,

I am a business owner in Phoenix, AZ. My electric rate is way to high presently. I am concerned over the net metering issue coming before you.

This will be a harrowing story here in Arizona, especially with rate users already struggling to keep current on their bills now. This is unfair and causes rate payers to subsidize others at their own expense.

Please do not support this in Arizona.

I am a Republican that believes in "Free Market" approach to governing, not subsidizing solar.

When we finally elected five (5) republican policy makers to this corporation board, I expected them to look after the best interest of my home and business.

Please vote to support me in this issue.

Sincerely,

B W Thomas

Bulldog West Equipment Corp.

85003bt@gmail.com

602 462-1117

Dear Ex. Director Jodi Jerich

I am concerned about net metering and say that we should vote no on this.

Thank you Tammy Lewis

Azdullah K. yaqiya

* Jodi Terich exec Director

Jean: Commissione

I am totally against the Practise of subsidizing Power and passing the Coston to NON-Solar users. This is not fair or Sustainable

Asdullah K. yagir

Oct. 25, 2013 Jodi Jerish Executive Director Ug, Corp. Domm. Commissioners Wing 1200 W. Washington Jud floor phoenix ag 8 5007 Ath, Jodi Grica, Exec. Dir. Amos visiting my family in San Jose, la when the San Jore merany new newspaper reported the dimise of Solyndras a highly federally subsidged salar industry. In a believes in the free market and oppose subsidising industries and often suspect when these tend toward bank sup sel, Heare sonsider net meterning reforms. Survey Vatricia Stetron State F. C. and Tea Party Patrict

From: Jerry D. Walker

To: Executive director Jodi Jerich

Subj: Net Metering

1. Please vote no on all issues related to Net Metering.

2. This adds an unnessessary step and middle man to the delivery of the electrical product to all of us, as well as increasing our losts.

Jen Dulker

Dear Executive Director Jerrick:

My name is Ralph Olsen. I'm a Republican Precinct Committeeman in Legislative District 15. It has recently been brought to our attention at our District meeting that the solar issue and the net-metering controversy will be discussed at November Open Meeting. Since I'm currently employed, I wanted to encourage you to vote for a restructuring of the net-metering process.

Solar may be the wave of the future but today it can only exist with massive subsidies. The manufacture of solar panels is given Federal assistance. This brings to mind the issue of Solendra. There were a few other solar manufacturers that also went belly up even with Federal grants.

After listening and reading about net-metering, it appears solar users wishing to sell back power to utilities are getting FAVORED status from the Commission. I'm opposed to such favored treatment.

As a working man, I work hard for my wages and am deeply concerned that my personal spending power is greatly reduced by government interference and social engineering.

I could go on with this discussion but I'm sure you know the details of this intrusion far better than I.

I am however, requesting your support and vote to remedy this injustice and please DO NOT fall victim to slick radio and TV ads or LOBBYISTS.

I will be patiently watching to ensure that the correct corse of action is pursued.

Watching,

Ralph Ølsen

LD-15 Committeeman

October 22, 2013

Jodi Jerrick, Executive Director:

Thank you for your service. We are glad to see a Commission so in tuned with the events of the day.

Over the past week, the radio has been bombarded with ads supporting solar energy. Solar seems like a good idea but when we studied the tariff it sure looks to me that we are subsidizing the rates paid by solar customers.

As a retired person and an officer in a group comprised mainly of retired individuals, we're having a hard time just paying our own electric bills let alone the bills of others.

Realistically, the more individuals who receive subsidized power, the fewer of us remain to foot the bill for the grid. We've recently discussed a study conducted this year estimated that each new solar installation adds over \$1,000 per year to the costs paid by non-solar users, amounting to over \$20,000 over the typical life of the installation. This is simply forcing others to pay someone else's power bill and is not fair to non-solar users.

What ever happened to the concept that the person causing the expense actually pay the expense? If solar is such a good idea, why must its equipment production be financed with public money and why must we share in the power bill of my neighbor?

Please vote to eliminate this unfair practice!

Thank you for your vote to climate unfair competition.

Yours.

Howard Sprague

Treasurer, Arizona Project

623 388 4766