

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS:
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM



Investigator: Richard Martinez

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

ORIGINAL

Opinion No. 2013 - 113409

Date: 10/23/2013

Complaint Description: 19Y Net Metering
08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

First:

Last:

Complaint By: Jack

Fletcher

Account Name: Jack Fletcher

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street:

Work:

City: Phoenix

CBR:

State: AZ Zip: 85001

is:

Utility Company: Arizona Public Service Company

Division: Electric

Arizona Corporation Commission

Contact Name:

DOCKETED

Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

OCT 25 2013

Docket No. E-01345A-13-0248

Commissioners,

DOCKETED BY

In the matter of Net Metering, and in view of a recent Utilities Division memorandum.

APS via Mr. Charles Miessner provided testimony before the ACC on 7/12/2013. In that testimony billing examples were presented and those examples contain errors that alter the amount of APS customer will be billed, specifically the ECT-2 examples. In an effort to be as accurate as possible when evaluating the effect the proposals will have on solar customers, I believe it important to acknowledge and correct errors. Rather than list them all, I wish to bring to you attention the one error that has the most significant monetary affect. This error is also included in the September 30th Utilities Division memorandum to The Commission. That memorandum, by means of Table 1 and Table VI, utilizes an invalid Demand Charge as a basis of extrapolation. Table 1 and VI basically summarize billing scenarios provided by APS without validating their accuracy.

The ECT-2 Demand Charge used in the APS scenarios is a net of On-Pk Kw Load and I submit to you, APS does not net the Demand Charge.

Most respectfully,

Jack D Fletcher
End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

RECEIVED
2013 OCT 25 P 1:51
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
SOLAR COUNCIL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

10/24/13

I mailed the Opinion to the Phoenix ACC office for docketing.

FILE CLOSED.

End of Comments

Date Completed: 10/24/2013

Opinion No. 2013 - 113409
