| E.01345A.1 | 3.0248 | OPE | EN MEETING A | GENDA ITEM |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ORIGINAL | ARIZONA | | | 0000148667 |
| <u>Investigator:</u> Tri <u>Priority:</u> Re | sh Meeter spond Within F | <u>Phop</u> e: ((DC ive Days 2013-(1 | 602) 542-0622 OCKET CONTROL CT 1 AM 11 | N <u>Fax:</u> (602) 542-2129 |
| Opinion No. 2013 - 112987 Date: 9/26/2013 Complaint Description: 19Y Net Metering N/A Not Applicable First: | | | | |
| Complaint By: | Steve | | Scheumann | |
| Account Name: | Steve Scheuman | | | <u>łome:</u> (000) 000-0000 |
| Street: | n/a | | Work: | |
| <u>City:</u> | n/a | | | CBR |
| <u>State:</u> | AZ Zip : 0 | 0000 | | is: E-Mail |
| Utility Company. Division: Contact Name: | Arizona Put Electric For assignment | olic Service | | ontact Phone: '^ |
| Nature of Complaint: | | | | |
| DOCKET NO. E-01345A-13-0248 OPPOSED NET METERING | | | | |
| | | | | Arizona Corporation Commission |
| Original Message From: Inge & Steve Scheumann [Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2013 3:31 PM To: Utilities Div - Mailbox Subject: APS Request_Docket E-01345A-13-0248 | | | mann Comments | OCT 0 1 2013 |
| Dear Sirs: | | | | |
| Per the attached ACC Public Comment Form, I am attaching my comments. I have provided a pdf version that includes all my comments on the second page and a docx version of my comments in case it would be helpful. | | | | |

Request an email response that you have received these comments and that they are acceptable for proper comment processing in your systems. If either is not true, please tell me what to do next.

Sincerely,

Steve Scheumann

While I am glad to see that APSis recommending grand fathering existing net metering customers, I am concerned that at some point during this application process the APS position might change and/or the Commissioners could adjust the application. Just in case this is a possibility, I would like to state that most if not all net metering customers made their economic decision to go solar based upon the rates they are currently receiving- any change in reduced rates could turn a good economic decision into a bad one. In addition, this would set a bad precedent for future AZ Corp Commission initiatives, as the public would be very concerned the AZ Corp Commission would once again change the rules in mid- stream.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

As for the two solutions being presented, both shift to use of a "market rate" and this rate appears to be adjustable. Although I understand the cost shifting, the use of shifting "m'arket rates" would have a big negative impact on the economic decision to go solar and it would definitely increase the future risk a potential solar customer would have to assume- both of these factors would drive down the going solar participation rates and could result in APS not reaching the 2025 goal of 15% solar power. Although the APS participation rate in the net solar program has 18,000 customers which makes APS good through

2016, this is not the time to make changes that could significantly negative impact the program. Why not leave the existing net zero solar power agreements in place and possibly reconsider this issue when APS reaches the 15% solar power goal?

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

docketed *End of Comments*

Date Completed: 9/26/2013

<u>Opinion No.</u> 2013 - 112987