

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM
ORIGINAL



0000147394

Docket# E-00000C-11-0328

To: The Arizona Corporation Commission

From: Paul H


Attached are two recent peer reviewed and published studies. The first is titled "How does long term exposure to base stations and mobile phones affect human hormone profiles?" Published in 2012, this study focused on the 950 MHz microwave frequency as it is similar to those emitting from the smart meter.

The second is titled "Changes of Clinically Important Neurotransmitters under the Influence of Modulated RF Fields—A Long-term Study under Real-life Conditions". Published in 2011, this study also tracked hormone levels after being exposed to the 900 MHz microwave frequency.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
AUG 16 2013

DOCKETED BY	NR
-------------	----

RECEIVED
2013 AUG 16 12:20
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL



Case Report

How does long term exposure to base stations and mobile phones affect human hormone profiles?

Emad F. Eskander*, Selim F. Estefan, Ahmed A. Abd-Rabou

Hormones Department, Medical Research Division, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 December 2010
 received in revised form 2 November 2011
 accepted 6 November 2011
 Available online 27 November 2011

Keywords:

Mobiles
 Base stations
 Radio frequency radiation
 Hormone profiles

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study is concerned with assessing the role of exposure to radio frequency radiation (RFR) emitted either from mobiles or base stations and its relations with human's hormone profiles.

Design and methods: All volunteers' samples were collected for hormonal analysis.

Results: This study showed significant decrease in volunteers' ACTH, cortisol, thyroid hormones, prolactin for young females, and testosterone levels.

Conclusion: The present study revealed that high RFR effects on pituitary–adrenal axis.

© 2011 The Canadian Society of Clinical Chemists. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Because of the increase in the usage of wireless communication devices of mobile phones in recent years, there is an anxious concern on the possible hazardous effects of prolonged exposure to radio frequency radiation (RFR) [1]. In considering the biological effects of RFR, the intensity and frequency of the radiation and exposure duration are important determinants of the responses.

It has been reported that exposure to RFR could affect the nervous system [2]. Hardell et al. found that cell phone users had an increased risk of malignant gliomas [3]. Subjecting human spermatozoa to RFR showed decrease in sperms motility and vitality and increase in DNA fragmentation [4]. The authors hypothesize that the high sporadic incidence of the clinical symptoms of the autoimmune multiple Sclerosis disease [5] may be a result of long exposure to RFR from mobiles.

This study is concerned with assessing the effect of RFR emitted from mobile phones and base stations on human hormone profiles, with anticipation to offer recommendations to assure health care and safety for humans continuously exposed to radio frequency radiation.

Design and methods

Study subjects

This study was conducted for 6 years on 82 mobile phone volunteers with age ranges 14–22 years ($n=41$) and 25–60 years ($n=41$). Those users were divided into three subgroups according to the time of their exposure to RFR: (weak $n=19$), (moderate $n=9$), and (strong $n=13$) per day, in addition to 20 negative control subjects.

On the other hand, volunteers exposed to RFR emitted from base stations ($n=34$) were selected with age ranges 14–22 years ($n=17$), and 25–60 years ($n=17$) and living at distances 20–100 m and 100–500 m apart from the base station. Additional 10 subjects of each age range living at a distance more than 500 m apart from the base station were considered as negative control group.

The source of the RFR (base stations or mobile phones) was GSM-950 MHz magnetic field and the ICNIRP-Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic field (up to 300 GHz) (International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection). The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of National Research Centre.

Volunteers inclusion criteria

Volunteers participated in the study fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: age 14–60 years, mobile phone users, or living at distances 20–100 m and 100–500 m apart from the base station.

* Corresponding author at: Hormones Department, Medical Research Division, National Research Centre, Cairo, 12622, Egypt. Fax: +20 2 33370931.

E-mail address: gysi_pharma@yahoo.com (E.F. Eskander).

Blood samples collection

Blood samples of the volunteers were analyzed for estimation of the following hormones: plasma ACTH, serum cortisol, total T₃, T₄, prolactin, progesterone, and testosterone levels. All volunteers followed for 6 years and the blood samples were collected regularly from mobile phone users, volunteers exposed to RFR emitted from base stations, and the controls for time intervals after 1 year, 3 years and 6 years for hormonal analysis. The determination of the hormonal profile was performed on serum samples whereas ACTH was detected in EDTA plasma. The whole blood was collected in EDTA tube.

Blood samples were withdrawn from females to measure serum prolactin and progesterone levels. Whereas, blood samples were withdrawn from males to measure serum testosterone level. Blood samples were withdrawn from both males and females to measure plasma ACTH level, serum cortisol, total T₃ and T₄ levels.

Methods

Plasma ACTH, serum total T₃, and T₄ levels were determined quantitatively using DSL-ELISA Kits provided by (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories Inc.). Measurement of serum cortisol level was carried out using ELISA kit provided by Adaltis Italia SPA Company (Italy). Serum prolactin, progesterone, and testosterone concentrations were measured using ELISA kit supplied by (DRG International, Inc., USA).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS program (Statistical Package for the Social Science; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA, 2001).

Results

Volunteers mean hormone values

Follow up data were available for all volunteers who were exposed to RFR either from mobiles or base stations. The clinical features of all individuals were summarized in tables.

Tables 1 and 2 illustrate that persons of ages 14–22 years or 25–60 years who were exposed, for time intervals extended to 6 years, to RFR either from mobile phones or from base stations suffered significant decreases in their plasma ACTH and serum cortisol levels as compared to the control group. High significant decrease ($P < 0.01$) in plasma ACTH and serum cortisol levels was observed for persons exposed to RFR from base stations at distances extended from 20 to 500 m for a period of 6 years as compared to the control group.

Tables 1 and 2, also show that persons of ages 14–22 years and 25–60 years who were exposed, for time intervals extended to 6 years, to RFR either from mobile telephones or from base stations suffered high significant ($P < 0.01$) decrease in their serum T₃ and T₄ levels.

Tables 1 and 2 show that young females (14–22 years) exposed to RFR from mobile phones or from base stations at distances 20–100 m and 100–500 m suffered decrease in their serum prolactin level and the rate of decrease significantly rose with increased time of exposure from 1 year up to 6 years. Conversely, the serum prolactin level for adult females (25–60 years) showed significant increase along the time of exposure 1 year up to 6 years.

Table 1 shows that serum progesterone levels in young and adult females exposed to RFR from mobile phones were non-significantly changed through exposure for 1 year up to 6 years as compared to healthy controls.

Table 2 shows that both young (14–22 years) and adult (25–60 years) females exposed to RFR from base stations did not suffer any change in their serum progesterone levels throughout the first year of exposure. However, with increasing exposure periods from 3 up to

6 years they suffered significant decrease in their serum progesterone levels.

Tables 1 and 2 illustrate that both young males (14–22 years) and adult males (25–60 years) exposed to RFR from mobile phones or from base stations experienced gradual decrease in their serum testosterone level with increasing the period of exposure.

Discussion

The intensity and frequency of RFR and exposure duration are important determinants of the cumulative effect that could occur and lead to an eventual breakdown of homeostasis and adverse health consequences. Therefore, greater commitment from policy makers, health care officials and providers is needed to raise public awareness about the hazardous outcomes of long term exposure to RFR.

As mentioned in our results, persons who were exposed to RFR suffered significant decreases in their ACTH and cortisol levels as compared to controls. This result is agreed with the previous study indicating that cortisol levels were decreased after exposure to RF [12]. The current result is in contradiction with a previous study indicating that electromagnetic fields have a slight elevation in human cortisol production [6] and with other previous study suggesting that cortisol concentration as a marker of adrenal gland function was not affected with RFR [11]. Djeridane et al. (2008) added that ACTH was not disrupted by RFR emitted by mobile phones [12].

Our results reveal that persons who were exposed to RFR either from mobile phones or base stations suffered highly significant decrease in their serum T₃ and T₄ levels which agree in case of low T₄ levels and disagree in case of low T₃ concentrations with previous study which suggested that serum T₃ remains in normal range [7].

In the present study, females exposed to RFR from mobile phones or base stations suffered change in their serum prolactin level and the rate of change significantly rose with increased time of exposure which is in converse with previous studies indicating that serum prolactin concentration remained within normal ranges after exposure to radiocellular phones [8,12]. Therefore, it is suggested that the menstrual cycle and the pregnancy will be affected by changing the level of serum prolactin which seems necessary to be optimized in these two processes.

Our study suggested that serum progesterone levels in young and adult females exposed to RFR from mobile phones non-significantly changed from 1 year up to 6 years as compared to healthy controls. So, the menstrual cycle and pregnancy may not be affected by serum progesterone concentration. Previous study revealed that microwaves produced significant increases in serum progesterone level only in pregnant rats [9].

In the present study, both young and adult males exposed to RFR from mobile phones or base stations experienced gradual decrease in their serum testosterone level with increasing the period of exposure which is almost the same as previously recent reported studies suggested that exposure to mobile radiation leads to reduction in serum testosterone and it possibly affects reproductive functions [10,11]. The present study is in converse with a previous study indicating that testosterone was not disrupted by RFR emitted by mobile phones [12].

In conclusion, the present study revealed that high RFR emitted from either mobile phone or base station has tangible effects on pituitary–adrenal axis represented in the reduction of ACTH and consequently cortisol levels. Also, exposure to RFR is associated with decrease in the release of thyroid hormones.

Moreover, our data suggested that each of serum prolactin in young females, and testosterone levels in males significantly dropped due to long-term exposure to RFR. Conversely, the serum prolactin levels for the adult females significantly rose with increasing exposure time. Finally, the degenerative effects of exposure to RFR were more pronounced for persons who used mobile phones for long periods of 6 years. Also, the effect of this type of radiation was more

Table 1
Plasma ACTH, serum cortisol, T₃, T₄, prolactin, progesterone, and testosterone of volunteers exposed to RFR from mobile phones.

Hormones (mean ± SE)	Mobile phone users											
	Controls						Mobile phone users					
	1 Year		3 Years		6 Years		1 Year		3 Years		6 Years	
	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂
Plasma ACTH (pg/mL)	61.1 ± 1.1	63.2 ± 0.1	59.9 ± 0.2	62.3 ± 1.0	59.9 ± 0.3	60.2 ± 1.7	49.1 ± 0.3 ^b	55.0 ± 1.1 ^b	59.2 ± 0.1 ^{NS}	53.2 ± 1.2 ^b	58.3 ± 0.4 ^b	62.1 ± 1.1 ^{NS}
Serum cortisol (µg/mL)	30.0 ± 1.2	31.2 ± 0.1	30.0 ± 0.1	31.7 ± 0.3	29.9 ± 0.2	28.8 ± 2.3	20.3 ± 1.1 ^b	27.3 ± 0.1 ^a	30.1 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	23.9 ± 1.0 ^b	28.2 ± 0.9 ^b	30.3 ± 1.1 ^{NS}
Serum T ₃ (ng/dL)	105.2 ± 1.3	102.0 ± 1.1	101.7 ± 1.2	98.6 ± 2.1	103.6 ± 1.1	99.0 ± 1.4	96.3 ± 1.2 ^b	100.0 ± 0.6 ^b	102.1 ± 1.3 ^{NS}	93.9 ± 1.1 ^b	98.1 ± 0.3 ^a	99.0 ± 0.7 ^a
Serum T ₄ (µg/dL)	7.8 ± 0.6	6.9 ± 1.4	7.7 ± 1.1	6.5 ± 0.7	7.1 ± 0.3	6.6 ± 2.1	6.9 ± 0.1 ^{NS}	7.0 ± 0.1 ^{NS}	6.9 ± 0.1 ^{NS}	6.3 ± 0.8 ^b	6.2 ± 1.2 ^{NS}	6.0 ± 1.0 ^{NS}
Serum prolactin (ng/mL)	17.8 ± 1.1	17.2 ± 1.2	17.3 ± 1.1	16.9 ± 1.3	17.0 ± 2.1	16.8 ± 0.5	14.9 ± 1.4 ^a	14.7 ± 0.3 ^a	17.3 ± 0.2 ^{NS}	18.3 ± 0.1 ^a	16.9 ± 0.3 ^a	17.1 ± 0.2 ^{NS}
Serum progesterone (pg/mL)	14.0 ± 1.3	17.1 ± 1.0	13.8 ± 1.2	16.9 ± 0.9	12.9 ± 1.3	16.8 ± 0.2	12.3 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	12.2 ± 1.2 ^{NS}	14.1 ± 0.7 ^{NS}	16.1 ± 1.4 ^{NS}	17.6 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	16.5 ± 0.4 ^a
Serum testosterone (pg/mL)	29.5 ± 1.2	25.2 ± 1.6	28.9 ± 1.8	24.3 ± 0.6	28.4 ± 0.3	24.0 ± 0.1	25.2 ± 0.2 ^a	24.9 ± 0.1 ^a	23.7 ± 0.4 ^a	22.7 ± 1.2 ^a	23.8 ± 0.4 ^{NS}	19.9 ± 0.1 ^a

Age : represents age from 14 to 22 years. Age₁ : represents age from 25 to 60 years. S: represents Strong, M: represents Moderate, W: represents Weak. N Control = 10, N Strong = 13, N Moderate = 9, N Weak = 19. Strong use: more than 60 min/day, Moderate use: between 30–60 min/day, Weak use: less than 10 min/day. NS: non-significant change when comparing mobile phone users with controls.

^a Significant difference at P > 0.05 when comparing mobile phone users with controls.

^b Significant difference at P > 0.01 when comparing mobile phone users with controls.

Table 1 (continued)

Hormones (mean ± SE)	Mobile phone users											
	3 Years						6 Years					
	M		W		Age ₂		M		W		Age ₂	
	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂
Plasma ACTH (pg/mL)	45.3 ± 0.6 ^b	51.2 ± 1.3 ^b	55.0 ± 1.1 ^b	50.2 ± 0.4 ^b	55.1 ± 1.1 ^b	60.0 ± 0.3 ^b	40.3 ± 0.4 ^b	41.3 ± 1.1 ^b	47.2 ± 0.2 ^b	48.2 ± 0.4 ^b	51.3 ± 1.3 ^b	57.2 ± 1.1 ^b
Serum cortisol (µg/mL)	18.3 ± 1.4 ^b	20.2 ± 1.1 ^b	25.1 ± 0.1 ^b	20.3 ± 1.1 ^b	25.9 ± 0.9 ^b	20.3 ± 1.2 ^b	18.0 ± 0.1 ^b	17.3 ± 1.1 ^b	20.3 ± 0.2 ^b	17.0 ± 0.2 ^b	22.0 ± 0.4 ^b	24.1 ± 0.2 ^b
Serum T ₃ (ng/dL)	87.2 ± 1.3 ^b	90.2 ± 1.6 ^b	94.3 ± 1.1 ^b	89.8 ± 1.1 ^b	92.9 ± 1.3 ^b	95.0 ± 1.1 ^b	80.3 ± 1.1 ^b	84.2 ± 0.5 ^b	85.7 ± 1.1 ^b	83.2 ± 1.3 ^b	80.3 ± 1.1 ^b	90.2 ± 0.7 ^b
Serum T ₄ (µg/dL)	7.9 ± 1.1 ^b	7.6 ± 1.7 ^{NS}	7.1 ± 1.3 ^{NS}	6.4 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	6.3 ± 0.8 ^{NS}	6.1 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	10.5 ± 0.1 ^b	9.5 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	8.9 ± 0.4 ^b	7.4 ± 0.9 ^{NS}	7.7 ± 1.3 ^{NS}	8.0 ± 1.1 ^{NS}
Serum prolactin (ng/mL)	17.4 ± 1.2 ^a	9.8 ± 0.3 ^b	9.7 ± 0.1 ^b	23.5 ± 0.2 ^b	19.2 ± 1.1 ^b	18.7 ± 0.9 ^b	10.1 ± 1.0 ^b	8.7 ± 0.3 ^a	8.7 ± 0.4 ^{NS}	24.9 ± 0.1 ^b	21.1 ± 0.3 ^b	20.6 ± 0.1 ^b
Serum progesterone (pg/mL)	13.9 ± 0.2 ^{NS}	13.6 ± 0.7 ^{NS}	13.4 ± 0.4 ^{NS}	15.1 ± 0.3 ^a	14.9 ± 0.1 ^a	13.0 ± 0.5 ^b	12.9 ± 0.2 ^a	11.8 ± 0.1 ^a	10.9 ± 0.3 ^a	14.8 ± 1.1 ^b	13.5 ± 1.3 ^{NS}	12.8 ± 0.1 ^{NS}
Serum testosterone (pg/mL)	19.8 ± 0.1 ^b	18.7 ± 0.2 ^a	16.5 ± 0.1 ^a	17.5 ± 0.2 ^b	16.9 ± 1.1 ^a	16.1 ± 0.3 ^a	13.1 ± 0.4 ^b	12.7 ± 0.2 ^b	12.3 ± 0.1 ^b	11.1 ± 1.1 ^b	11.4 ± 0.2 ^b	9.8 ± 0.3 ^b

Table 2
Plasma ACTH, serum cortisol, T3, T4, prolactin, progesterone, and testosterone of volunteers exposed to RFR from base stations.

Hormones (mean ± SE)	Groups					
	Controls (distance 500 m)		3 Years		6 Years	
	1 Year	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂
Plasma ACTH (pg/mL)	62.8 ± 1.2	58.3 ± 0.9	62.5 ± 0.3	58.4 ± 0.5	62.4 ± 0.7	58.9 ± 0.1
Serum cortisol (µg/mL)	33.3 ± 2.6	30.1 ± 1.4	32.9 ± 1.1	30.3 ± 1.4	32.7 ± 1.1	29.9 ± 1.9
Serum T3 (ng/dl)	108.3 ± 1.6	100.0 ± 1.1	107.0 ± 1.9	100.0 ± 0.1	107.0 ± 0.1	99.9 ± 1.2
Serum T4 (µg/dl)	7.2 ± 1.3	6.3 ± 0.3	6.8 ± 1.2	6.3 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 1.2	6.2 ± 2.4
Serum prolactin (ng/mL)	18.3 ± 1.1	14.3 ± 1.6	18.0 ± 1.0	13.9 ± 1.2	18.0 ± 1.2	13.1 ± 0.2
Serum progesterone (pg/mL)	12.4 ± 1.1	10.0 ± 0.8	12.3 ± 1.6	10.0 ± 0.5	12.2 ± 1.9	9.8 ± 2.4
Serum testosterone (pg/mL)	27.1 ± 0.3	24.2 ± 1.1	26.3 ± 1.1	23.2 ± 1.3	25.8 ± 1.4	22.9 ± 2.1
Age ₁ : represents age from 14 to 22 years, Age ₂ : represents age from 25 to 60 years, D ₁ : represents distance from 100 to 500 m, D ₂ : represents distance from 20 to 100 m, N Control = 10, N Strong = 13, N Moderate = 9, N Weak = 19, NS: non-significant change when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						
a Significant difference at P > 0.05 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						
b Significant difference at P > 0.01 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						

Hormones (mean ± SE)	Groups					
	Controls (distance 500 m)		3 Years		6 Years	
	1 Year	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂
Plasma ACTH (pg/mL)	61.9 ± 0.2 ^{NS}	58.3 ± 0.1	62.3 ± 0.1 ^{NS}	58.9 ± 0.1	62.3 ± 0.1 ^{NS}	57.9 ± 1.3 ^{NS}
Serum cortisol (µg/mL)	32.4 ± 1.2 ^{NS}	30.1 ± 1.4	32.9 ± 1.1	30.3 ± 1.4	32.7 ± 1.1	28.8 ± 1.6 ^{NS}
Serum T3 (ng/dl)	107.0 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	100.0 ± 1.1	107.0 ± 1.9	100.0 ± 0.1	107.0 ± 0.1	106.0 ± 1.1 ^{NS}
Serum T4 (µg/dl)	6.9 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	6.3 ± 0.3	6.8 ± 1.2	6.3 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 1.2	5.9 ± 1.1 ^{NS}
Serum prolactin (ng/mL)	17.6 ± 0.2 ^{NS}	14.3 ± 1.6	18.0 ± 1.0	13.9 ± 1.2	18.0 ± 1.2	19.1 ± 0.3 ^b
Serum progesterone (pg/mL)	12.3 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	10.0 ± 0.8	12.3 ± 1.6	10.0 ± 0.5	12.2 ± 1.9	10.1 ± 0.9 ^{NS}
Serum testosterone (pg/mL)	24.3 ± 1.1 ^b	24.2 ± 1.1	26.3 ± 1.1	23.2 ± 1.3	25.8 ± 1.4	20.1 ± 1.1 ^b
Age ₁ : represents age from 14 to 22 years, Age ₂ : represents age from 25 to 60 years, D ₁ : represents distance from 100 to 500 m, N Control = 10, N Strong = 13, N Moderate = 9, N Weak = 19, NS: non-significant change when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						
a Significant difference at P > 0.05 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						
b Significant difference at P > 0.01 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						

Hormones (mean ± SE)	Groups					
	Controls (distance 500 m)		3 Years		6 Years	
	1 Year	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂	Age ₁	Age ₂
Plasma ACTH (pg/mL)	58.0 ± 0.9 ^{NS}	51.8 ± 1.7 ^b	54.6 ± 1.1 ^b	54.2 ± 0.6 ^b	45.2 ± 1.8 ^{NS}	47.3 ± 1.3 ^b
Serum cortisol (µg/mL)	29.1 ± 1.3 ^{NS}	27.2 ± 1.2 ^b	27.4 ± 2.1 ^{NS}	25.6 ± 0.1 ^b	26.6 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	21.2 ± 0.4 ^b
Serum T3 (ng/dl)	100.1 ± 0.2 ^{NS}	97.3 ± 1.6 ^b	98.1 ± 0.9 ^b	97.4 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	98.2 ± 1.9 ^{NS}	82.3 ± 1.9 ^b
Serum T4 (µg/dl)	6.1 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	4.4 ± 1.8 ^{NS}	4.9 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	5.1 ± 0.3 ^b	5.9 ± 0.8 ^{NS}	2.7 ± 0.1 ^b
Serum prolactin (ng/mL)	19.6 ± 1.1 ^b	97.3 ± 1.6 ^b	98.1 ± 0.9 ^b	97.4 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	98.2 ± 1.9 ^{NS}	82.3 ± 1.9 ^b
Serum progesterone (pg/mL)	10.5 ± 1.1 ^{NS}	4.4 ± 1.8 ^{NS}	4.9 ± 0.3 ^{NS}	5.1 ± 0.3 ^b	5.9 ± 0.8 ^{NS}	2.7 ± 0.1 ^b
Serum testosterone (pg/mL)	20.3 ± 1.6 ^{NS}	20.2 ± 0.4 ^b	20.9 ± 0.9 ^b	18.1 ± 1.1 ^b	18.6 ± 1.3 ^b	11.8 ± 0.3 ^b
Age ₁ : represents age from 14 to 22 years, Age ₂ : represents age from 25 to 60 years, D ₁ : represents distance from 100 to 500 m, D ₂ : represents distance from 20 to 100 m, N Control = 10, N Strong = 13, N Moderate = 9, N Weak = 19, NS: non-significant change when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						
a Significant difference at P > 0.05 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						
b Significant difference at P > 0.01 when comparing persons exposed to base stations with controls.						

obvious for persons living nearby base stations and exposed for a period of 6 years.

References

- [1] World Health Organization. What are the health risks associated with mobile phones and their base stations? Online Q & A; 2005. 12–05.
- [2] Salford L, Henrietta N, Arne B, Gustav G, et al. The mammalian brain in the electromagnetic fields designed by man with special reference to blood brain barrier function, neuronal damage and possible physical mechanisms. *Prog Theor Phys Suppl (Japan)* 2008;173:283–309.
- [3] Hardell L, Carlberg M, Hansson MK. Epidemiological evidence for an association between use of wireless phones and tumor diseases. *Pathophysiology* 2009;16(2–3): 113–22.
- [4] Luisi Geoffry N, Newry Rhianon J, King Bruce V, John Aitken R. Mobile phone radiation induces reactive oxygen species production and DNA damage in human spermatozoa in vitro. *PLoS One* 2009;4(7):e 6440–6 (Collaghan, New South Wales, Australia).
- [5] Beck J, Urnovits HB, Saresella M, Caputo D, et al. Serum DNA motifs predict disease and clinical status in multiple sclerosis. *J Mol Diagn* 2010;12(3):312–9.
- [6] Mann K, Wagner P, Brunn G, Hassan F, Hiemke C, Roschke J. Effects of pulsed high-frequency electromagnetic fields on the neuroendocrine system. *Neuroendocrinology* 1998;67(2):139–44.
- [7] Mortavazi S, Habib A, Ganj-Karami A, Samimi-Doost R, Pour-Abedi A, Babaie A. Alterations in TSH and thyroid hormones following mobile phone use. *Oman Med J* 2009;24(4).
- [8] De Seze R, Fabbro-Peray P, Miro L. GSM radiocellular telephones do not disturb the secretion of antepituitary hormones in humans. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1998;19(5):271–8.
- [9] Nakamura H, Nagase H, Ogino K, Hatta K, Matsuzaki I. Uteroplacental circulatory disturbance mediated by prostaglandin F(2alpha) in rats exposed to microwaves. *Reprod Toxicol* 2000;14(3):235–40.
- [10] Meo SA, Al-Drees AM, Husain S, Kban MM, Imran MB. Effects of mobile phone radiation on serum testosterone in Wistar albino rats. *Saudi Med J* 2010;31(8): 869–73.
- [11] Sarookhani MR, Asiabanha Rezaei M, Safari A, Zaroushani V, Ziaeiha M. The influence of 950 MHz magnetic field (mobile phone radiation) on sex organ and adrenal functions of male rabbits. *Afr J Biochem Res* 2011;5(2):65–8.
- [12] Djeridane Y, Touitou Y, de Seze R. Influence of electromagnetic fields emitted by GSM-900 cellular telephones on the circadian patterns of gonadal, adrenal and pituitary hormones in men. *Radiat Res* 2008;169(3):337–43.

Changes of Clinically Important Neurotransmitters under the Influence of Modulated RF Fields—A Long-term Study under Real-life Conditions

Klaus Buchner and Horst Eger

This follow-up of 60 participants over one and a half years shows a significant effect on the adrenergic system after the installation of a new cell phone base station in the village of Rimbach (Bavaria).

After the activation of the GSM base station, the levels of the stress hormones adrenaline and noradrenaline increased significantly during the first six months; the levels of the precursor dopamine decreased substantially. The initial levels were not restored even after one and a half years. As an indicator of the dysregulated chronic imbalance of the stress system, the phenylethylamine (PEA) levels dropped significantly until the end of the study period.

The effects showed a dose-response relationship and occurred well below current limits for technical RF radiation exposures. Chronic dysregulation of the catecholamine system has great relevance for health and is well known to damage human health in the long run.

Keywords: cell phone base station, long-term study, stress hormones, radiofrequency radiation, GSM transmitter, far-field radiation

----- Introduction

Despite the distribution of numerous wireless transmitters, especially those of cell phone networks, there are only very few real-life field studies about health effects available. In 2003, the Commission on Radiation Protection was still noticing that there are no reliable data available concerning the public's exposure to UMTS radiation near UMTS base stations (1).

Since the 1960s, occupational studies on workers with continuous microwave radiation exposures (radar, manufacturing, communications) in the Soviet Union have shown that RF radiation exposures below current limits represent a considerable risk potential. A comprehensive overview is given in the review of 878 scientific studies by

Prof. Hecht, which he conducted on behalf of the German Federal Institute of Telecommunications (contract no. 4231/630402) (2, 3). As early as the 1980s, US research projects also demonstrated in long-term studies that rats raised under sterile conditions and exposed to "low-level" RF radiation showed signs of stress by increased incidences of endocrine tumors (4, 5).

Concerned by this "scientific uncertainty" about how radiofrequency "cell tower radiation" affects public health, 60 volunteers from Rimbach village in the Bavarian Forest decided to participate in a long-term, controlled study extending about one and a half years, which was carried out by INUS Medical Center GmbH and Lab4more GmbH in

Zusammenfassung

Veränderung klinisch bedeutsamer Neurotransmitter unter dem Einfluss modulierter hochfrequenter Felder - Eine Langzeiterhebung unter lebensnahen Bedingungen

Die vorliegende Langzeitstudie über einen Zeitraum von eineinhalb Jahren zeigt bei den 60 Teilnehmern eine signifikante Aktivierung des adrenergen Systems nach Installation einer örtlichen Mobilfunksendeanlage in Rimbach (Bayern).

Die Werte der Stresshormone Adrenalin und Noradrenalin steigen in den ersten sechs Monaten nach dem Einschalten des GSM-Senders signifikant; die Werte der Vorläufersubstanz Dopamin sinken nach Beginn der Bestrahlung erheblich ab. Der Ausgangszustand wird auch nach eineinhalb Jahren nicht wieder hergestellt. Als Hinweis auf die nicht regulierbare chronische Schiefelage des Stresshaushalts sinken die Werte des Phenylethylamins (PEA) bis zum Ende des Untersuchungszeitraums signifikant ab. Die Effekte unterliegen einem Dosis-Wirkungs-Zusammenhang und zeigen sich weit unterhalb gültiger Grenzwerte für technische Hochfrequenzbelastung. Chronische Dysregulationen des Katecholaminsystems sind von erheblicher gesundheitlicher Relevanz und führen erfahrungsgemäß langfristig zu Gesundheitsschäden.

Schlüsselwörter: Mobilfunk-Basisstationen, Langzeituntersuchung, Stresshormone, Mobilfunkstrahlung, Fernfeld

in cooperation with Dr. Kellermann from Neuroscience Inc.¹.

Common risk factors such as external toxic agents, parameters of the catecholamine system (6) were determined prior to the activation of the GSM transmitter and followed up in three additional tests for a period of more than 18 months. The informed consent of all participants included the condition that the data were to be published anonymously.

----- Materials and Methods

Study Setting and Selection of Study Subjects

In spring 2004, a combined GSMD1 and GSMD2 cell transmitter (900 MHz band) was installed on Buchberg mountain in D-93485 Rimbach (Lower Bavaria) with two sets of antenna groups each. The installation height of the antennas for both systems is 7.9 m; the horizontal safety distance along the main beam direction is 6.3 or 4.3 m, respectively. At the same tower, there is also a directional antenna at 7.2 m (7).

1) INUS Medical Center, Dr. Adam-Voll Str. 1, 93437 Furth im Wald, Tel.: 09973/500 5412, www.inus.de; Lab4more GmbH, Prof. Dr. W. Bieger, Paul-Heyse-Straße 6, 80336 München, Tel.: 089/54321 730, info@lab4more.de; Neuroscience Inc., Dr. Kellermann, 373 280th Street - Osceola, WI 54020 - USA, Tel.: +1/715/294-2144, www.neuroscienceinc.com.

Shortly after it had become known that the wireless transmitters were to be installed, all inhabitants of Rimbach had been asked to participate in a mass screening. The municipality has approximately 2,000 inhabitants. In 60 volunteers (27 male, 33 female) aged between 2 and 68, the levels of adrenaline, noradrenaline, dopamine, and PEA (phenylethylamine)—which cannot be consciously regulated—were determined in their urine at the end of January/beginning of February 2004 (shortly before the activation of the antennas and the RF emissions beginning) as well as in July 2004, in January 2005, and in July 2005.

Most of these study participants signed up immediately after an informational gathering in late January 2004, at which the course of action by the cell phone service providers was criticized. Others signed up following a call for participation in the local paper. Since Rimbach is a small municipality, mouth-to-mouth propaganda also played a role. Participation was made attractive to the volunteers because a lab test that usually would be very expensive was offered for a small fee. Since the study required to show the status of the biological parameters over a given time period, only those study subjects participating in all four tests are included.

The data presented below come primarily from volunteers who have a certain interest in the life of their community and their health. Other persons joined the stress hormone investigation because of the recommendation of, or request by, their fellow citizens. This does not meet the requirements for a random sample. The result of this study, however, is hardly affected because Rimbach is a very small municipality. Therefore, the social contacts that lead to participation are very important. Most probably they do not affect the blood parameters. Furthermore, numerous large families participated as a whole whereby the health status of the individual family members did not play any role. For this reason, but especially because of the population structure, the study includes many children but only a few adolescents and young adults: there are hardly any opportunities for occupational training in Rimbach. In contrast, the municipality is attractive to young families with many children.

Sample Collection

The second morning urine was collected at INUS Medical Center on Mondays between 9:00 and 11:00 a.m. We made sure that each participant's appointment was always scheduled for the same time and that the time of breakfast or the state of fasting was the same for each participant at all tests. On the same day, the samples were sent by express to Labor Dr. Bieger in Munich where they were processed. In addition, samples were also sent to a laboratory in Seattle for control analyses (8-11).

Medical History

Medical doctors of the INUS Medical Center took a thorough medical history of each participant. At the initial test, the following data were also gathered: exact address, average time spent at home, indoor toxins, stress due to heavy-traffic roads, and the number of amalgam fillings. The latter number also included fillings that had already been removed. A nine-year-old child was noted to be electro-

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

sensitive to the effects of household wiring and connected appliances. All other study participants declared themselves to be not electrosensitive.

When taking their medical history, participants were also questioned about subjective symptoms and chronic diseases at the start of the study and during its course; if overweight, this was also noted. In this study, overweight in adults is defined as a weight greater than the "body height in cm minus 100 plus 5 kg tolerance."

Consistency checks for the parameter "overweight," however, indicate that—especially with regard to children—different criteria have been applied during the taking of the medical history. These data, therefore, can only serve as a reference point. They are listed here anyhow since they can provide suggestions for further studies.

All atopic disorders such as:

1. Hay fever, neurodermatitis, allergies, asthma, eczema are referred to as "chronic disorders;" as well as
2. All chronic inflammations such as interleukin- or COX-2-mediated problems;
3. All autoimmune diseases such as rheumatism, multiple sclerosis (MS);
4. All chronic metabolic disorders such as diabetes, liver diseases, intestinal diseases, kidney diseases.

Out of the 16 chronically affected participants 12 had allergies.

It was also asked whether there were DECT, Wi-Fi, or Bluetooth devices in the house or apartment during the study period from late January 2004 until July 2005. Also included were those devices present only for part of the study period, but not those turned off at night.

Exposure Level Measurements

For the most part, Rimbach municipality is located at one side of a narrow V-shaped valley. The cell phone base station is situated almost right across from the village center on the other side. RF radiation levels were measured at the outside of the residences of all study participants, wherever possible with direct line of sight of the transmitter. Because the municipality is located on a slope, great differences were noted inside homes—depending on whether or not a line of sight to the transmitter existed. In three cases, it was possible to measure the exposure levels at the head end of the bed. In these cases, the peak value of the power density was lower by a factor of 3.5 to 14 compared to measurements in front of the house with direct line of sight to the transmitter. The exact location of DECT, Wi-Fi, and Bluetooth base stations (if present) as well as possible occupational exposures, etc. were not determined by most participants.

At first, the measurements were taken with a broadband RF meter HF38B of Gigahertz Solutions, for which the manufacturer guarantees an error margin of max. ± 6 dB (+ 7 decimal places; but this error can be mostly eliminated by selecting the appropriate measurement range). However, an inspection revealed that the error margin was less than ± 3 dB. In addition, the broadband RF meter




Fig. 1: Classification of participants based on average or peak value of the GSM power density level

HF59B (± 3 dB, ± 5 decimal places) was used at several points. With this RF meter, relevant frequencies can be analyzed with variable filters, the ELF modulation frequencies via fast Fourier analysis.

By using broadband RF meters, the testing effort and expense are reduced compared to spectrum analyzers. Thus, it was possible to take measurements at a greater number of points, and as a result, it was easier to determine the maxima and minima of the power density levels. Furthermore, the accuracy of high-quality broadband RF meters is similar to that of spectrum analyzers.

In this study, only cell phone signals are considered: not DECT, Wi-Fi, or Bluetooth devices inside homes or emissions from broadcast or TV stations at *Hohenbogen*, a mountain above Rimbach. For the most part, the emissions from the latter transmitters remained stable during the study period, whereas the focus of this study is on changes in exposure levels. For almost all sample measurements, the portion of the exposure due to the transmitter at *Hohenbogen* was at maximum $35 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ (peak value). It was higher in the residences of only two study participants: $270 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ (average) or $320 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ (peak), respectively. At these residences, the GSM exposure was approximately $10 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$.

For the assessment, the peak values of the signals are used because, in the case of GSM radiation, they are less dependent on the usage level than average values. The peak value of the power density for all study participants from Rimbach was on average $76.9 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ (Tab. 1).

In Figure 1 the exposure of the participants is given as power density levels in increments of $30 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$.

Classification of Participant Group and Exposure Levels

Sixty persons participated in the study; their age distribution is shown in Figure 2 according to year groups. In order to capture the effect of the cell phone base station, other environmental factors must be excluded as much as possible. It is vitally important to ensure that no major differences between high-exposure and low-exposure persons influenced the results.




Fig. 2: Age distribution of study participants on 1 February 2004

	All	<=60 µW/m ²	60-100 µW/m ²	>100 µW/m ²
Participants	60	24	20	16
Power density, avg (µW/m ²)	76.9	21.7	68.1	170.7
Healthy adults	20	9	5	6
Sick adults	9	6	2	1
Healthy children	24	9	7	8
Sick children	7	0	6	1
Overweight	14	7	3	4
Amalgam number	12	5	3	4
Evaluation of amalgam/person	120	76.4	32.7	240
Street	8	0	8	0
Indoor toxins	17	7	6	4
DECT, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth	25	4	14	7

Tab. 1: Data on the 60 study participants who are classified into exposure groups 0 - 60 µW/m², 60 - 100 W/m², and above 100 µW/m², based on relevant peak values of GSM exposure in front of their residence.

Additional information:

Power density, avg (µW/m²) means: average peak value of GSM exposure level in the relevant category;
Healthy adults: adults without chronic diseases. Participants who were born after 1 February 1994 are referred to as children, all others as adults;
Sick adults: adults with chronic diseases;
Healthy children: children without chronic diseases;
Sick children: children with chronic diseases;
Overweight: see text;
Amalgam number: number of participants who had at least one amalgam filling (which may have been removed prior to the study period);
Evaluation of amalgam/person: For each tooth with an amalgam filling of a participant, the size of the filling (values from 1 to 3) is multiplied with the number of years this filling has been placed prior to the date of the initial test of this study (rounded up to the nearest whole number). The value in the table is the sum of these numbers for all amalgam fillings of a person in the respective category divided by the number of participants with amalgam fillings (= "amalgam number");
Street: number of participants who live at a busy street;
Indoor toxins: number of participants who have had contact with toxins, varnishes, preservatives, etc. at home or at work;
DECT, Wi-Fi: number of persons who had DECT, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth or the like at home at the end of January 2004 or later.

As shown in Table 1, the group with exposure levels greater than 100 µW/m² included fewer chronically ill persons and fewer residences at heavy-traffic roads, but considerably higher amalgam exposures by dental fillings compared to the average of the participants. These differences, however, cannot explain the observed development of the blood parameters as will be shown further below. It should also be noted that the number of children in the group of <= 60 µW/m² is considerably lower than in the other two groups.

Statistics

Because of the large individual differences in blood values, their asymmetrical distribution, and because of the many "outliers," the assessment presented here focuses on the following problem: "Did the level of a given substance predominantly increase (or decrease, respectively) in the test subjects?" For this problem, the so-called signed-rank paired Wilcoxon test (12) is applied. How to determine the confidence intervals of medians is described in an easy-to-understand form in (13).

Due to the rather large differences in individual values, we refrained from carrying out additional statistical analyses, especially those with parametric methods.

Results

1 Clinical Findings

Adrenaline, noradrenaline, and dopamine as well as phenylethylamine (PEA) levels were determined at the time when the medical history was taken at INUS Medical Center. Out of the 60 participants, eleven had sleep problems until the end of 2004. During the study period (until July 2005), eight additional cases with these problems were reported. At the end of January 2004, only two participants complained about headaches; eight additional cases were reported thereafter. For allergies, there were eleven cases in the beginning and 16 later; for dizziness five and eight; and for concentration problems ten and fourteen. Due to the limited number of participants, no meaningful statements can be made about changes during the study period regarding the conditions tinnitus, depression, high blood pressure, autoimmune diseases, rheumatism, hyperkinetic syndrome, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), tachycardia, and malignant tumors. (Tab. 2)

Symptoms	Before activation of transmitter	After activation of transmitter
Sleep problems	11	19
Headache	2	10
Allergy	11	16
Dizziness	5	8
Concentration problems	10	14

Tab. 2: Clinical symptoms before and after activation of transmitter

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

2 Adrenaline

The adrenaline level trends are shown in Figure 3. After the activation of the transmitter from January until July 2004, a clear increase is followed by a decrease. In participants in the exposure category above 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$, the decrease is delayed.

Since the distribution of the adrenaline levels is very asymmetrical as shown in Figure 4, the median values are better suited for evaluation than the average values. However, there is no significant difference between the trend of the median and the trend of the average values (Tab. 3). But it stands out that, in the lowest exposure group with a power density below 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$, median values do not decrease between July 2004 and January 2005.

The statement "The adrenaline values of study subjects increased after the activation of the transmitter, i.e. between January and July 2004" is statistically confirmed ($p < 0.002$), as well as the statement "The adrenaline level of the study participants decreased from July 2004 to July 2005" ($p < 0.005$). In the lowest exposure group, the increase is the smallest. Until the end of the study period, these values do not drop.

A certain dose-response relationship can be observed for the increase in adrenaline levels from January 2004 until July 2004. The increase in medians was 2.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine for all subjects. At an RF radiation level up to 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$, creatinine was 1.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$, and by contrast, for power density levels between 60-100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ it was 2.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$.

For subjects in the exposure group above 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$, creatinine levels were found to be 2.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$, i.e. this value did not increase. We refrain from any additional statistical analysis because, as shown further below, the increase in adrenaline levels was mainly observed in children and chronically ill participants whose numbers were not sufficient to be broken down into further subgroups.

		January 2004	July 2004	January 2005	July 2005
All	Average	8.56	10.79	8.84	9.14
	Median	7.44	9.75	8.40	7.45
	CI	5.9 - 8.4	6.6 - 11.7	6.1 - 10.0	6.5 - 9.6
0-60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	8.9	10.3	7.7	9.0
	Median	6.4	7.4	7.8	7.4
	CI	3.8 - 10.3	4.6 - 13.2	3.4 - 9.4	5.5 - 11.1
60-100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	7.9	10.4	8.4	9.0
	Median	7.4	10.2	8.1	7.2
	CI	5.3 - 10.0	6.6 - 12.8	5.0 - 11.2	6.4 - 9.7
>100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	8.9	12.0	11.1	9.6
	Median	8.2	10.9	10.6	8.6
	CI	5.3 - 10.9	5.7 - 19.6	5.8 - 15.2	4.9 - 13.4

Tab. 3: Results for adrenaline levels in $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine
CI = 95% confidence interval of median




Fig. 3: Median adrenaline levels for all participating citizens of Rimbach whose cell phone base station exposure was above 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$, between 60 and 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$, or up to 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$. The power density levels refer to peak values of the GSM radiation exposure in front of a given residence.




Fig. 4: Distribution of adrenaline levels in $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine




Fig. 5: Median adrenaline levels for all participating citizens of Rimbach who have a DECT phone, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, or similar device, for those who do not have such wireless devices, and for the lowest exposure group without indoor wireless transmitters and with a GSM power density level up to 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$.

The impact of indoor wireless devices such as DECT, Wi-Fi, and Bluetooth (the latter are not specifically mentioned in the graphs) are shown in Fig. 5. Within the first year after the activation of the GSM transmitter, i.e. until and including January 2005, the group with indoor wireless devices shows the strongest responses.

It is possible that in the less exposed subjects seasonal fluctuations or other factors such as "overshooting" of the values could have played a role.

It should be noted here that both the average as well as the median adrenaline values increased after the activation of the transmitter and decreased again after one year. This, however, only applies to exposure levels >60 µW/m². Chronically ill subjects and children showed especially strong responses; except for some "outliers," no effect was observed in healthy adults.

The adrenaline level of overweight subjects and those with an amalgam burden hardly changed during the study period (Fig. 6). In contrast, chronically ill subjects showed especially strong responses above average. In fact, the increase in the median values between January and July 2004 for all study subjects was predominantly caused by children and chronically ill subjects; adults without any chronic disease show a flat curve. During this period, an increased adrenaline level between 5 and 10.3 was measured in three healthy adults. Because of these "outliers," the average values for healthy adults clearly increased in contrast to the median values.




Fig. 6: Median adrenaline levels for participating children, for chronically ill subjects, for those with amalgam burden, and overweight subjects in Rimbach in comparison to the median levels of all study subjects and adults without chronic disease

The lower sensitivity of subjects with an amalgam burden can be explained by the fact that the effect occurs more often in children and that children according to our definition are younger than 10 years. They have hardly any fillings with amalgam.

3 Noradrenaline

The results for noradrenaline are similar to those for adrenaline (Tab. 4, Fig. 7). The statement that individual noradrenaline levels from January to July 2004 increased is statistically well supported with p<0.001. The fact that the levels dropped between July 2004 and July 2005 is also well supported with p<0.0005. Like in the case of adrenaline, the period under investigation is July 2004 to July 2005 to take the delayed decrease in the high exposure group into consideration. According to Table 4, the median of all noradrenaline levels increased from January to July 2004 for 11.2 µg/g creatinine; for exposures up to 60 µW/m², there were 2.2 µg/g creatinine, at

60-100 µW/m² 12.4 µg/g creatinine, and above 100 µW/m² 12.3 µg/g creatinine. As in the case of adrenaline, the increase for the last two groups is almost the same. Again, it is not possible to statistically verify a dose-response relationship. In Figure 7, a dose-response relationship

		January 2004	July 2004	January 2005	July 2005
All	Average	55.8	64.9	57.7	55.7
	Median	49.8	61.0	52.2	53.5
	CI	44.3-59.1	53.3-72.2	45.0-60.3	41.9-60.5
0-60 µW/m ²	Average	54.7	59.3	56.5	53.5
	Median	45.2	47.4	48.7	48.1
	CI	35.1-67.8	36.3-75.6	40.1-60.0	36.3-65.6
60-100 µW/m ²	Average	51.4	63.6	49.1	55.9
	Median	47.5	59.9	45.8	54.8
	CI	38.0-59.1	53.1-74.8	40.5-58.4	34.9-66.5
>100 µW/m ²	Average	62.9	74.9	70.1	58.8
	Median	58.8	71.1	71.6	56.3
	CI	49.9-87.3	54.9-91.6	48.7-89.1	36.9-81.6

Tab. 4: Results for the noradrenaline levels in µg/g creatinine CI = 95% confidence interval of the median




Fig. 7: Median noradrenaline levels in all participating citizens of Rimbach as a function of GSM power density levels (peak values)




Fig. 8: Median noradrenaline values for subjects who had a DECT phone or other wireless devices at home, for those without indoor wireless devices, as well as for subjects without indoor wireless devices and with a GSM radiation exposure up to 60 µW/m² (peak value measured in front of residence)

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

is seen, whereby the dot-dashed line serves as reference for persons with very low exposures. It stands out that the "recovery period," i.e. the decrease in values in 2005, drags on for longer in subjects in the exposure group with GSM radiation levels above 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$. This also corresponds with the behavior of the adrenaline levels.

In comparison with adrenaline, noradrenaline plays a somewhat greater role in residences where wireless devices existed before the beginning of this study (Fig. 8).

The trend in Figure 9 shows that children and chronically ill subjects in contrast to overweight subjects express strong responses to cell tower radiation. The ratios, however, are not as clearly visible as with adrenaline. Especially in overweight subjects, they indicate a slow response to GSM radiation.




Fig. 9: Median noradrenaline levels of children, chronically ill subjects, those with amalgam burden and overweight subjects in Rimbach in comparison to the median values of all study subjects and healthy adults

Noradrenaline and adrenaline, however, responded very similarly.

4 Dopamine

For dopamine, inverse effects to those for adrenaline and noradrenaline were observed. The median dopamine levels decreased from 199 to 115 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine between January and July 2004 (Tab. 5). The fact that the dopamine levels of the study subjects decreased during this period is highly significant ($p < 0.0002$). Thereafter, the median increased again: In January 2005, it was at 131 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine, in July of this year 156. This increase is also significant (for increase between July 2004 and July 2005 $p < 0.05$).

This, too, is a dose-response relationship: from January to July 2004, the median for all subjects decreased for 84 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine, in the exposure group up to 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ for 81, in the exposure group above 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ even 153 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ (see Tab. 5 and Fig. 10). This dose-response relationship is statistically significant based on the signed-rank Wilcoxon test (12) with $p < 0.025$. The following statement applies: "The decrease in dopamine levels for exposure levels up to 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ is smaller than at exposure levels above 125 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$."

In subsequent laboratory tests, the dopamine levels do not return to the same level as in January 2004. From Figure 11, it is obvious that the correlation with prior exposures to indoor wireless devices is small.

		January 2004	July 2004	January 2005	July 2005
All	Average	233	158	138	164
	Median	199	115	131	156
	CI	168-273	86-160	111-153	145-175
0-60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	217	183	130	148
	Median	189	108	116	147
	CI	142-273	80-254	90-157	129-167
60-100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	242	161	140	178
	Median	223	150	131	175
	CI	137-335	94-168	93-164	126-207
>100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	244	115	147	170
	Median	244	91	151	156
	CI	139-316	48-202	117-169	138-209

Tab. 5: Results for dopamine levels in $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine
CI = 95% confidence interval of median




Fig. 10: Median dopamine levels for different GSM power density levels




Fig. 11: Median dopamine levels for all participating citizens of Rimbach, for those with and without DECT phone, Wi-Fi, or Bluetooth, and for those without indoor wireless devices who had a GSM exposure level below 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ (peak value).

It is to be emphasized that the lowest exposure group without such indoor wireless devices and with a GSM power density level < 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ responds almost as strongly as all other study subjects. This is consistent with the data in Figure 10: the data suggest that the effect of the radiation on the dopamine levels can already be observed at very low power density levels; however, it still can increase at levels above 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$.

Figure 12 shows that the radiation effect is somewhat more pronounced in children compared to the average, i.e. the gradient of the curves between the first two data points is somewhat greater. However, the difference is far too small to be statistically significant.




Fig. 12: Median dopamine levels of children, the chronically ill, with amalgam burden, overweight subjects, and healthy adults in Rimbach

In summary, dopamine levels decreased after the activation of the GSM transmitter and were not restored to the initial level over the following one and a half years. A significant dose-response relationship is observed. In children, the decrease is somewhat more pronounced than in adults.

5 Phenylethylamine (PEA)

Phenylethylamine (PEA) levels respond more slowly to the radiation compared to the substances investigated so far (Tab. 6, Fig. 13). Only in the exposure group above 100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ GSM radiation do the PEA levels decrease within the first six months. Thereafter, hardly any differences can be discerned between PEA values of the various power density levels investigated here.

The decrease of PEA levels between July 2004 and July 2005 is highly significant ($p < 0.0001$)

Similar to adrenaline and noradrenaline, a previous exposure to indoor wireless devices intensifies the effect of the GSM radiation (see Fig. 14). The subjects of the low-exposure groups without indoor wireless devices do respond in a time-delayed fashion, but after six months they respond just as clearly as the subjects of the highest exposure group. In this regard, the PEA levels behave like those of dopamine in contrast to adrenaline and noradrenaline, which only respond to stronger fields.

		January 2004	July 2004	January 2005	July 2005
All	Average	725	701	525	381
	Median	638	671	432	305
	CI	535 - 749	569 - 745	348 - 603	244 - 349
0-60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	655	678	523	329
	Median	604	653	484	243
	CI	477 - 835	445 - 835	279 - 675	184 - 380
60-100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	714	699	535	451
	Median	641	678	426	330
	CI	492 - 746	569 - 790	310 - 804	293 - 438
>100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$	Average	843	739	514	371
	Median	780	671	413	305
	CI	451 - 1144	334 - 822	338 - 748	157 - 513

Tab. 6: Results for phenylethylamine (PEA) levels in ng/g creatinine
CI = 95% confidence interval of median




Fig. 13: Median phenylethylamine (PEA) levels for various GSM power density levels




Fig. 14: Median phenylethylamine (PEA) concentrations in $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ creatinine of subjects with and without indoor wireless devices at home and subjects without indoor wireless devices with a GSM power density level below 60 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS




Fig. 15: Median phenylethylamine (PEA) concentrations in µg/g creatinine of children, the chronically ill, with amalgam burden, and overweight subjects, as well as health adults in Rimbach

In children, the effect of GSM radiation on their PEA levels is no greater than in the average of the study subjects; healthy adults also do not respond substantially differently. In contrast to the other substances looked at so far, the group of overweight subjects does respond particularly rapidly to PEA.

Summary of Results

Adrenaline and noradrenaline levels increase during the first six months after the GSM transmitter had been activated; thereafter, they decrease again. After an exposure period of one and a half years, the initial levels are almost restored. Only at power density levels above 100 µW/m² is this decrease delayed for several months. In contrast, dopamine levels decrease substantially after the exposure begins. Even after one and a half years, the initial levels are not restored. Six months after the activation of the transmitter, PEA levels decrease continuously over the entire exposure period. Only in the exposure group above 100 µW/m² is this effect observed immediately. All findings were observed well below current exposure limits (14).

Wireless devices used at home such as DECT, Wi-Fi, and Bluetooth amplify the effect of the GSM radiation. In the case of adrenaline and noradrenaline, almost exclusively children and chronically ill subjects (here mostly subjects with allergies) are affected. However, the response of chronically ill subjects to dopamine and the response of children to PEA are very similar to those found in the average of the study subjects. Except for PEA, overweight subjects show only very weak responses to GSM radiation.

Discussion

Catecholamine System and Phenylethylamine (PEA)

The survival of mammals depends on their ability to respond to external sources of stress. An established, well-researched axis of

the human stress system represents the catecholamine system (6, 15, 16). It can be activated by psychic or physical stressors. Impulses mediated by nerves are responsible for an induction of the catecholamine biosynthesis at the level of tyrosine hydroxylase as well as dopamine beta-hydroxylase, whereby the effect is based on an induction of both enzymes. Many biochemical regulatory mechanisms tightly control catecholamine synthesis (8, 15, 17). Chronic dysregulation always leads to health problems in the long run. The development of high blood pressure under continuous stress serves as a clinical example; so-called "beta blockers" directly block the action of adrenaline and noradrenaline on the target receptors, and it is impossible to imagine medication-based therapy without them (15).

PEA can be synthesized from the essential amino acid phenylalanine either via tyrosine, dopamine, noradrenaline, and adrenaline or via a direct biochemical path (15) (Fig. 16). The sympathomimetic effect of PEA was first described by Barger in 1910 (18).

PEA is also synthesized from phenylalanine and is considered a superordinate neuromodulator for the regulation of catecholamine synthesis (19-22).




Fig. 16: Chemical structure of derivatives of the essential amino acid phenylalanine and the simplified synthesis pathways of catecholamines or phenylethylamine, respectively, simplified according to Löffler (15).

Abbreviations
 AAAD: aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase,
 DoH: dopamine beta-hydroxylase,
 PhH: phenylalanine hydroxylase,
 MT: n-methyltransferase,
 TyH: tyrosine hydroxylase
 —(—) — known feedback loop, - - (- -) - - postulated feedback loop

In 1976, Zeller described the physiological relationships (23) and points out that PEA is released by the brain via electrical stimulation (24).

The effect mechanism of PEA in the catecholamine system is the center of current pharmaceutical research efforts. In molecular biological terms, intracellular TAAR (trace amine-associated receptor) G-protein-coupled receptors that mediate modulatory effects of PEA are verified (20).

For high nanomolar to low micromolar PEA concentrations, in vivo studies have shown amphetamine-like effects. During an increase of PEA, an increased amount of noradrenaline and dopamine is also released and the reuptake of these substances is impaired (25, 26).

According to Burchett, the following effects of PEA amplifying the catecholamine effect are assumed to be known: Direct agonist action via increased release of transmitters, reuptake inhibition, and stimulation of transmitter synthesis as well as inhibition of monoamine oxidase (MAO) (19). PEA's high lipophilia—a prerequisite for the permeability of membrane barriers such as the blood-brain barrier—is of note here; PEA levels in the brain, serum, and urine correlate quite well (10, 21, 25, 27).

The clinical relevance of changed PEA levels is well documented for mental illnesses. Endogenous depression is associated with lowered PEA levels, whereby the transition from depression to manic episodes is accompanied by an increase in PEA levels (28-32).

The therapeutic increase in the PEA level has a positive impact on the course of the disease. Phenylalanine improves the effectiveness of antidepressants; PEA by itself is a good antidepressant—effective in 60% of the cases of depression.

In persons with ADD/ADHD (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder), PEA levels are substantially lower; the ADHD treatment with methylphenidate (Ritalin®) normalizes PEA excretion in the urine of responders (33, 34).

Contributing Factors

Laboratory tests of catecholamine have been established for years. Increased values are found in disorders such as pheochromocytoma, neuroblastoma, and arterial hypertension, whereby it is impossible for a subject to consciously regulate these values. Especially urine tests offer a sufficient level of sensitivity and specificity because urine contains 100 to 1000 times higher levels than blood plasma. The intraindividual variation coefficient ranges from 7% to 12% from one day to another; stored under appropriate conditions, the stability of the samples can be guaranteed without problems (8).

In Rimbach, urine samples were always collected at the same time of the day so that a circadian dependence could be ruled out. Other contributing factors such as increased physical activity as well as large meals were also ruled out by collecting the urine in the morning. Seasonal factors of the samples collected twice in winter and

summer should have been reflected as undulating levels in the testing results. Only in the adrenaline levels of the lower exposure groups (Fig. 5) can such a corresponding correlation be found. All other data did not indicate any seasonal influences.

In the study presented here, the selection of the participating citizens of Rimbach was not based on random assignment, but on self-selection. We can assume that the subjects, especially the adults, had informed themselves about the issue of cell tower radiation. However, because it is impossible to consciously regulate these levels, this self-selection should not make any difference in this study.

Especially in children below age ten, it is not thought possible to maintain a chronic state of anxiety for one and a half years due to an abstract term such as cell tower radiation.

This study limits itself to the following type of questions: "Did the level of a given substance predominantly increase or decrease during the study period?" Independent of each model, this question can be clearly answered with the Wilcoxon test and the indication of the confidence interval. The corresponding results are statistically very well supported. Any statements beyond this—e.g. the dependence of levels on certain parameters—cannot be made because with 60 study subjects the number of cases is too small to establish the same type of statistical significance.

The great advantage of the "Rimbach data" is that prior to January 2004 the exposure levels were very low because there was no cell phone tower and because only a few citizens had installed DECT, Wi-Fi and similar devices. In addition, due to the testing equipment with a measurement accuracy of less than ± 3 dB combined with repeated control measurements, the classification of the exposure groups can be considered to be verified.

For the stress hormones adrenaline and noradrenaline, the increase occurred only after the installation and activation of the transmitter, and thereafter, levels continued to decrease but did not fully normalize.

For dopamine, significant differences in the dose-response relationship according to exposure group could be shown after the activation of the new cell tower antenna. Also, the consistently decreasing levels of the hypothetically superordinate regulatory PEA do not support the hypothesis that the stress factor for the observed changes in the adrenergic system would exclusively be found in the realm of psychological factors.

Mode of Action of Microwave Radiation

There is a wide range of evidence to interpret the newly emerging microwave exposures as an invisible stressor.

Microwaves are absorbed by living tissue. The frequencies used for cell phone technologies have a half-life penetration depth of several centimeters, whereby cell membranes constitute no obstacle (35).

Microwaves cause enzymes to malfunction directly by, for example, monomerization (36). Thus, it is conceivable that enzymes of the catecholamine system could be affected directly.

----- Conclusion

Thus, the following hypothesis is proposed: Although participants maintained their usual lifestyle, they developed chronic stress with a primary increase in adrenaline/noradrenaline and a subsequent decrease in dopamine in response to the microwave exposure from the newly installed cell phone base station. During the stage of counterregulation, the "trace amine" PEA decreases and remains decreased.

This is of considerable clinical relevance because psychiatric symptoms also exhibit altered PEA levels. In Rimbach, the increase in sleep problems, cephalgia, vertigo, concentration problems, and allergies could be clinically documented after the cell phone base station had been activated. The newly developed symptoms can be explained clinically with the help of disturbances in the humoral stress axis (53).

After having exhausted the biological feedback mechanisms, major health problems are to be expected. The possible long-term consequences of remaining caught in the exhaustion stage have already been described by Hecht and Selye (3, 16).

Thus, the significant results presented here not only provide clear evidence for health-relevant effects in the study subjects of Rimbach after a new GSM base station had been installed there, but they also offer the opportunity to carry out a causal analysis. This has already been successfully done in the "shut-down study" of Schwarzenburg, Switzerland (54). In Rimbach, the documented levels should return to normal once the relevant base station is shut down.

Epidemiological Evidence

There is current epidemiological evidence for the considerable clinical relevance of the dysfunction of the humoral stress axis with its endpoints of PEA decrease and adrenaline increase, as documented by us.

1. Decreased PEA levels can be found in a large portion of ADD/ADHD patients. As therapy methylphenidate is used, a substance that is structurally related to PEA. Between 1990 and 2004, the boom time of cell phones, prescription costs for this medication had increased by a factor of 86 (49, 55).
2. As part of the German Mobile Telecommunication Research Programme, approximately 3000 children and adolescents were studied in Bavaria for their individual cell phone radiation exposure levels in relation to health problems. Among the various data sets, the data set regarding behavioral problems showed a significant increased risk for both adolescents (OR: 3.7, 95%-CI: 1.6-8.4) and also children (OR: 2.9, 95%-CI: 1.4-5.9) in the highest exposure group (56). For the first time, the "Rimbach Study" provides a model of explanation in biochemical terms.
3. Pheochromocytomata are adrenaline- and noradrenaline-secreting tumors of the adrenal gland (57). This type of tumor due to microwave exposure has already been demonstrated in animal

experiments in 1985 (5). The increase of this disease in the US population is highly significant. Concurrent with the increase in local microwave exposures due to an increased number of base stations and use of wireless communication technologies, the number of cases have increased from 1,927 to 3,344 between 1997 and 2006 (58, 59).

It is a physician's responsibility—not bound by directives—to work toward the preservation of the natural basis of life regarding human health (60). Now it is the duty of the responsible agencies (public health department, Bavarian State Ministry of the Environment and Public Health as well as other federal ministries) to investigate the current situation.

Note

For the data collection, financial and personnel support was provided by INUS Medical Center and the two laboratories Lab4more GmbH and Neuroscience Inc.

The above-listed institutions were so kind to provide clinical examinations as well as the laboratory tests for the evaluation without external funding.

Acknowledgement

Many thanks to the Rimbach participants as well as the staff of the supportive clinics and laboratories, without whose efforts this study would not have been carried out. For the deciphering of cryptic handwriting, we owe Christina Panchyryz our gratitude.

Contact:

Professor Dr. rer. nat. Dr. habil. Klaus Buchner
Straßbergerstraße 16
80809 München

Dr. med. Horst Eger (Korrespondenz)
Ärztlicher Qualitätszirkel „Elektromagnetische Felder in der
Medizin - Diagnostik, Therapie, Umwelt“; (Code-Nr. 65143 KV Bayern)
Marktplatz 16
95119 Naila
E-mail: horst.eger@arcormail.de

Editor's Note

The above paper is identified as an original scientific paper and it was subject to a special peer-review process in cooperation with the Scientific Advisory Board.

*The Editorial
Team*

Translation

*By Katharina Gustavs and authorized by the authors and publisher
Original publication: BUCHNER K, EGER H. (2011): Veränderung klinisch bedeutsamer Neurotransmitter unter dem Einfluss modulierter hochfrequenter Felder - Eine Langzeiterhebung unter lebensnahen Bedingungen (Wissenschaftlicher Originalbeitrag). Umwelt-Medizin-Gesellschaft 24(1): 44-57.*

(Submitted: 9 July 2010)

(Revised version accepted: 13 December 2010)

Literature

(1) STRAHLENSCHUTZKOMMISSION (2003): Forschungsbedarf im Sonderforschungsprogramm Mobilfunk, 3./04.07.2003.

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

- (2) HECHT, K. (2001): Auswirkungen von Elektromagnetischen Feldern - Eine Recherche russischer Studienergebnisse 1960-1996, [Erhebung im Auftrag des Bundesinstituts für Telekommunikation (Auftrag Nr. 4231/630402)], *umwelt-medizin-gesellschaft* 14(3): 222-231.
- (3) HECHT, K., SAVOLEY, E. N. (2007): Überlastung der Städte mit Sendeanlagen - eine Gefahr für die Gesundheit der Menschen und eine Störung der Ökoethik International Research Centre of Healthy and Ecological Technology, Berlin.
- (4) BECKER, R. O. (1990): *Cross Currents*, J. P. Tarcher, Los Angeles.
- (5) GUY, A. W., CHOU, C. K., KUNZ, L. L., CROWLEY, J., KRUPP, J. (1985): Effects of long-term low-level radiofrequency radiation exposure on rats, summary, august 1985, Prepared for USAF SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE MEDECINE, Seattle, USAFSAM-TR-85-64, contract number F33615-80-C-0612, 9: 1-20.
- (6) SCHMIDT, R. F., THEWS, G. (1983): *Physiologie des Menschen*, 21. Auflage, Springer Verlag, Berlin: 124
- (7) BUNDESNETZAGENTUR (2004): STANDORTBESCHNEIDUNG Nr. 680 894 vom 5.4.2004
- (8) THOMAS, L. (1992): *Labor und Diagnose*, 4. Auflage, Die Medizinische Verlagsgesellschaft, Marburg.
- (9) LABOR DIAGNOSTIKA Nord GmbH & Co. KG (Hrsg) (2008): Instructions For Use 3-Cat ELISA, [<http://www.ldn.de/index.php/Catecholamines-ELISA/View-all-products.html>], letzter Zugriff: 11.11.2010].
- (10) BLEGER, W. P. (2004): *Neuroscience - Grundlagen, Diagnostik und Therapie von Neurotransmitter-vermittelten Erkrankungen*, [<http://dr-bieger.de/neurostress-aktualisierte-kurzuebersicht/#0>], letzter Zugriff: 08.06.2010].
- (11) HUISMANN, H., WYNVEEN, P., SETTER, P. W. (2009): Studies on the immune response and preparation of antibodies against a large panel of conjugated neurotransmitters and biogenic amines: specific polyclonal antibody response and tolerance, *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.06492.x
- (12) BÜNING, H., TRENKLER, G. (1978): *Nichtparametrische statistische Methoden*, W. de Gruyter, Berlin, New York.
- (13) BOSCH, K. (2005): *Elementare Einführung in die angewandte Statistik*, vieweg studium, Wiesbaden.
- (14) INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON NON-IONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION - ICNIRP (1998): Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (up to 300 GHz). *Health Physics* 74 (4): 494-522; 1998. [<http://www.icnirp.org/PubMost.htm>], letzter Zugriff 11.11.2010].
- (15) LÖFFLER, G., PETRIDES, P. (1997): *Biochemie und Pathochemie*, 6. Auflage, Springer Verlag, Berlin: 800-821.
- (16) SELYE, H. (1953): *Einführung in die Lehre von Adaptations-Syndrom*, Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart.
- (17) LINDER, H. (2005): *Biologie*, 22. Auflage, Schroedelverlag, Braunschweig: 155
- (18) BARGER, A., DALE, H. (1910): Chemical structure and sympathomimetic action of amines, *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 41: 19-59.
- (19) BURCHETT, S. A., HICKS, T. P. (2006): The mysterious trace amines: Protean neuromodulators of synaptic transmission in mammalian brain, *Progress in Neurobiology* 79: 223-246.
- (20) LINDEMANN, L., HOENER, M. (2005): A renaissance in trace amines inspired by a novel GPCR family, *TRENDS in Pharmacological Sciences* 26(5): 274-281.
- (21) BERRY, M. D. (2004): Mammalian central nervous system trace amines Pharmacologic amphetamines, physiologic neuromodulators, *J. Neurochem.* 90: 257-271.
- (22) XIE, Z., MILLER, G. M. (2008): 8-Phenylethylamine Alters Monoamine Transporter Function via Trace Amine-Associated Receptor 1: Implication for Modulatory Roles of Trace Amines in Brain, *The journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 325: 617-628.
- (23) ZELLER, E. A., MOSNAIM, A. D., BORISON, R. L., HUPRIKAR S. V. (1976): Phenylethylamine: Studies on the Mechanism of Its Physiological Action, *Advances in Biochemical Psychopharmacology* 15: 75-86.
- (24) ORREGO, H. (1976): pers. Mitteilung, in: ZELLER, E. A., MOSNAIM, A. D., BORISON, R. L., HUPRIKAR S. V. (1976): Phenylethylamine: Studies on the Mechanism of Its Physiological Action, *Advances in Biochemical Psychopharmacology* 15: 83.
- (25) BOULTON, A. (1976): Identification, Distribution, Metabolism, and Function of Meta and Para-Tyramine, Phenylethylamine and Tryptamine in Brain, *Advances in Biochemical Psychopharmacology* 15: 57-67.
- (26) BERRY, M. D. ET AL (1994): The effects of administration of monoamine oxidase-B inhibitors on rat striatal neurone responses to dopamine, *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 113: 1159-1166.
- (27) RAO, T. S., BAKER, G. B., COUTTS, R. T. (1987): N-(3-Chloropropyl) Phenylethylamine as a possible Prodrug of 8-Phenylethylamine: Studies in the rat brain, *Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry* 11: 301 -308.
- (28) SABELLI, H. C., MOSNAIM, A. D. (1974): Phenylethylamine hypothesis of affective behavior, *Am. J. Psychiatry* 131: 695-699.
- (29) SABELLI, H. C. (1995): Phenylethylamine modulation of affect, *Journal of neuropsychiatry and clinical neurosciences* 7: 6-14.
- (30) BIRKMAYER, W., RIEDERER, P., LINAUER W., KNOLL, J. (1984): The antidepressive efficacy of l-deprenyl, *Journal of Neural Transmission* 59: 81-7.
- (31) DAVIS, B. A., BOULTON, A. A. (1994): The trace amines and their acidic metabolites in depression - an overview, *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 18: 17-45.
- (32) SABELLI, H., FINK, P., FAWCETT, J., TOM, C. (1996): Sustained Antidepressant Effect of PEA Replacement, *The journal of neuropsychiatry and clinical neurosciences* 8: 168-171.
- (33) BAKER, G. B., BORNSTEIN, R. A., ROUGET, A. C., ASHTON, S. E., VAN MUYDEN, J. C., COUTTS, R. T. (1991): Phenylethylaminergic Mechanisms in Attention-Deficit Disorder, *Biologic Psychiatry* 29: 15-22.
- (34) KUSAGA, A., YAMASHITA, Y., KOEDA, T., HIRATANI, M., KANEKO, M., YAMADA, S., MATSUJISHI, T. (2002): Increased urine phenylethylamine after methylphenidate treatment in children with ADHD, *Annals of neurology*, 52(3): 372-4.
- (35) SCHLIEPHAKE, E. (1960): *Kurzwellentherapie*, Stuttgart, Fischer Verlag [mit Zitat aus: *Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift*, Heft 32: 1235 (5. August 1932)].
- (36) BARTERI, M. (2005): Structural and kinetic effects of mobile phone microwaves on acetylcholinesterase activity, *Biophysical Chemistry* 113: 245-253.
- (37) SCHMID, E., SCHRADER, T. (2007): Different biological effectiveness of ionizing and non-ionising radiations in mammalian cells, *Adv. Radio Sci.* 5: 1-4.
- (38) SCHRADER, T., SCHMID, E., MÜNTER, K., KLEINE-OSTMANN, T. (2008): Spindle Disturbances in Human-Hamster Hybrid (AL) Cells Induced by Mobile Communication Frequency Range Signals, *Bioelectromagnetics* 29: 626 - 639.
- (39) SANDERS, A. P., SCHAEFER, D. J., JOINES, W. T. (1980): Microwave effects on energy metabolism of rat brain, *Bioelectromagnetics* 1: 171-182. 42
- (40) FRIEDMAN, J., KRAUS, S., HAUPTMAN, Y., SCHIFF, Y., SEGER, R. (2007): Mechanism of a short-term ERK activation by electromagnetic fields at mobile phone frequency, *Biochemical Journal* 405(Pt 3): 559-568.
- (41) DESAI, N. R., KESARI, K. K., AGARWAL, A. (2009): Pathophysiology of cell phone radiation: oxidative stress and carcinogenesis with focus on male reproductive system, *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* 7: 114: 1-9.
- (42) OLIVOS, H. J., ALLURI, P. G., REDDY, M. M., SALONY, D., KODADEK, T. (2002): Microwave-Assisted Solid-Phase Synthesis of Peptoids, *Organic Letters* 4(23): 4057-4059.
- (43) HORIKOSHI, S., HIDAKA, H., SERPONE, N. (2003): Hydroxyl radicals in microwave photocatalysis. Enhanced formation of OH radicals probed by ESR techniques in microwave-assisted photocatalysis in aqueous TiO₂ dispersions, *Chemical Physics Letters* 376: 475-48.
- (44) SANTINI, R., SANTINI, P., DANZE, J. M., LE RUZ, P., SEIGNE, M. (2002): Symptoms experienced by people living in vicinity of mobile phone base stations: Incidences of distance and sex, *Pathol. Biol.* 50: 369-373.

- (45) NAVARRO, E. A., SEGURA, J., PORTOLES, M., GÓMEZ-PERRETTA DE MATEO, C. (2003): The Microwave Syndrome: A Preliminary Study in Spain, *Electromagnetic biology and medicine* 22(2 & 3): 161 -169.
- EGER, H., JAHN, M. (2010): Spezifische Symptome und Mobilfunkstrahlung in Selbitz (Bayern) - Evidenz für eine Dosiswirkungsbeziehung, *umwelt-medizin-gesellschaft* 23(2):130-139.
- (46) AUGNER, C., HACKER, G.W., OBERFELD, G., FLORIAN, M., HITZL, W., HUTTER, J., PAUSER, G. (2010): Effects of Exposure to GSM Mobile Phone Base Station Signals on Salivary Cortisol, Alpha-Amylase, and Immunoglobulin A., *Biomed Environ Sci* 23 (3): 199-207.
- (47) ABDEL-RASSOUL, G., EL-FATEH, O.A., SALEM, M.A., MICHAEL, A., FARAHAT F., EL-BATANOUNY, M., SALEM, E. (2007): Neurobehavioral effects among inhabitants around mobile phone base stations. *NeuroToxicology* 28(2): 434-40.
- (48) FEGERT, J., GLAESKE, G., JANHSEN, K., LUDOLPH, A., RONGE, C. (2002): Untersuchung zur Arzneimittel-Versorgung von Kindern mit hyperkinetischen Störungen anhand von Leistungsdaten der GKV. Projektbericht für das Bundesministerium für Gesundheit und Soziale Sicherung, [<http://www.home.uni-osnabrueck.de/kjanh- sen/> unter Bücher, Buchartikel, Projektberichte, letzter Zugriff 11.11.2010].
- (49) EGER, H., NEPPE, F. (2009): Krebsinzidenz von Anwohnern im Umkreis einer Mobilfunkseanlage in Westfalen, Interview-basierte Piloterhebung und Risikoschätzung, *umwelt-medizin-gesellschaft* 22(1): 55-60.
- (50) EGER, H., HAGEN, K. U., LUCAS, B., VOGEL, P., VOIT, H. (2004): Einfluss der räumlichen Nähe von Mobilfunkseanlagen auf die Krebsinzidenz, *umwelt-medizin-gesellschaft* 17(4): 326-332.
- (51) FELTEN, D. L., MAIDA, M. E. (2002): Psychoneuroimmunology, in: FINK, G. (Hrsg.): *Encyclopedia of the Human Brain*, Vol. 4, Academic Press, San Diego: 103-127.
- (52) STRAUB, R. H. (Hrsg.) (2007): *Lehrbuch der klinischen Pathophysiologie komplexer chronischer Erkrankungen*, Band 1 und 2, Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen: (2) 89-98.
- (53) ABELIN, T., ALTPETER, E., RÖÖSLI, M. (2005): Sleep Disturbances in the Vicinity of the Short-Wave Broadcast Transmitter Schwarzenburg - Schlafstörungen in der Umgebung des Kurzwellensenders Schwarzenburg, *Somnologie* 9: 203-209.
- (54) PAFFRATH, D., SCHWABE, U. (Hrsg.) (2004): *Arzneiverordnungs-Report 2004*, Aktuelle Daten, Kosten, Trends und Kommentare. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. [<http://wido.de/arzneiverordnungs-rep.html> unter download, letzter Zugriff 11.11.2010].
- (55) THOMAS, S., HEINRICH, S., VON KRIES R., RADON K. (2010): Exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields and behavioural problems in Bavarian children and adolescents. *Eur J Epidemiol* 25(2): 135-141.
- (56) SIEGENTHALER, W. K., HORNBOSTEL, H. D. (1984): *Lehrbuch der Inneren Medizin*, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, New York.
- (57) MILHAM, S. (2010): Dirty electricity - electrification and the diseases of civilization, universe, Bloomington.
- (58) OSSIANDER, E. (2010): persönliche Mitteilung [Numbers of hospitalizations per year for ICD-9 code 227.0 (benign tumor of the adrenal gland, 1987-2007, Epidemiology Office, Washington State Department of Health Pheochromocytoma, ICD 227.0, 1997-2006, US Department of Health and Human Services, H.CUPnet.