

E-01345A-13-0248 ORIGINAL



0000147331

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Deb Reagan

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

2013 AUG 15 A 8:26

Opinion No. 2013 - 112220

Date: 8/13/2013

Complaint Description: 19Y Net Metering
08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Complaint By: First: Robert Last: Hutson

Account Name: Robert Hutson

Home:

Street:

Work:

City: Carefree

CBR:

State: AZ Zip: 85377

is:

Utility Company: Arizona Public Service Company

Division: Electric

Contact Name: For assignment

Contact Phone:

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
AUG 15 2013

Nature of Complaint:

***** E-01345A-13-0248 *****

DOCKETED BY
NR

Customer sent the following -

Subject: Email from Public for Utilities Division

I am an APS customer, living in Carefree, AZ. I was first shocked that APS would discourage private home solar energy use, if approved by you, by imposing a \$50-\$100 per month charge for use of their grid, as proposed to you on Friday, July 12. I was even more shocked to learn that they are using some of the money, which customers such as I pay for our APS bills, to pay for spurious smear campaigns. I am referring specifically to the 60 Plus ad, which, I have learned, were also funded by the notorious Koch brothers. For the record, I am 66 years old but not senile enough to fall for the logic of the ad. Since APS seems to have sufficient funds to waste on dishonest ad campaigns, I would urge a rate cut rather than a fee for new solar users. I do not now have solar now. However, though there is little financial incentive for me at my age, I have considered installing solar as a benefit to my grandchildren and to my nation, both groups about whom I care deeply.

Robert Hutson
End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Comments entered for the record and filed with Docket Control.
End of Comments

Date Completed: 8/13/2013

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Opinion No. 2013 - 112220
