ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING ITEM
7/17-18/13

COMMISSIONERS
BOB STUMP, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
BRENDA BURNS
BOB BURNS
SUSAN BITTER SMITH

JODI JERICH
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

0000146331

Phoenix, AZ 85007
TELEPHONE: (602) 542-4242
FAX: (602) 388-1335
E-MAIL: securitiesdiv@azcc.gov

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED
2013 JUL -2 P 4: 47
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
JUL 02 2013
DOCKETED BY

TO: Bob Stump, Chairman
Gary Pierce
Brenda Burns
Bob Burns
Susan Bitter Smith

FROM: Matthew J. Neubert
Director of Securities

DATE: July 1, 2013

RE: *In re Patrick B. Hammons et al.*, Docket No. S-20860A-12-0414

CC: Jodi Jerich, Executive Director

Attached for your consideration is a proposed Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties, and Consent to Same ("Order") entered into by Patrick B. Hammons, two Arizona limited liability companies managed by Hammons, TF6 Advisors, LLC (d.b.a TFG Advisors) and Pacific Ventures & Trading, LLC, (collectively, "Respondents"), and Mr. Hammons's spouse, Peri Ann Hammons. Ms. Hammons was joined pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2031(C) solely for the purposes of determining the liability of the marital community. None of the Respondents were licensed to transact business as investment advisers.

The Order finds that from approximately January 2010 to August 2011, Respondents TFG and Hammons violated Section 44-3151 the Arizona Investment Management Act by collecting fees for managing PVT's assets, including trading in securities and offering advice regarding the advisability of investing in securities. TFG and Hammons also violated the anti-fraud provision of the IM Act, A.R.S. § 44-3241, by, among other things: controlling the PVT's funds but failing to follow auditing/reporting requirements; failing to act in PVT's best interest by only investing approximately $30,000 of the $174,000 funds; failing to disclose aspects of TFG's compensation structure; and representing to two PVT investors that PVT's assets had increased in value when in fact TFG had experienced significant losses.

Hammons's actions also violated the Securities Act's anti-fraud provision, A.R.S. § 44-1991. PVT's funds came from selling membership interests in PVT to investors. These membership interests constitute securities in the form of investment contracts. As a result, PVT

and Hammons's failure to use funds as described to investors and the failure to disclose aspects of PVT's payments to TFG constitute violations of A.R.S. § 44-1991.

The Order requires Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act and the IM Act, to pay restitution in the amount of $174,000 and to pay a $20,000 administrative penalty.

The Division recommends the Order as appropriate, in the public interest and necessary for the protection of investors.

Originator: Ryan J. Millecam

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
BRENDA BURNS
BOB BURNS
SUSAN BITTER SMITH

In the matter of PATRICK B. HAMMONS, CRD#1030468, and PERI ANN HAMMONS, a.k.a. Peri Ann Brown, husband and wife; TF6 ADVISORS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, d.b.a. TFG Advisors; and PACIFIC VENTURES & TRADING LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; Respondents.	DOCKET NO. S-20860A-12-0414 DECISION NO. _____ **ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND CONSENT TO SAME BY: RESPONDENTS PATRICK B. HAMMONS, PERI ANN HAMMONS, TF6 ADVISORS, LLC, d.b.a. TFG Advisors, and PACIFIC VENTURES & TRADING LLC**

Respondents PATRICK B. HAMMONS, TF6 ADVISORS, LLC, d.b.a. TFG Advisors, and PACIFIC VENTURES & TRADING LLC ("Respondents") elect to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 *et seq.* ("Securities Act") and Articles 7 and 8 of the Arizona Investment Management Act, A.R.S. § 44-3101 *et seq.* ("Investment Management Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist Order for Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same ("Order"). Respondents admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consent to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

I.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent PATRICK B. HAMMONS, CRD #1030468 ("HAMMONS") is an

individual who at all relevant times resided in Maricopa County, Arizona.

2. Respondent TF6 ADVISORS, LLC, d.b.a. TFG Advisors ("TFG") is a manager-managed Arizona limited liability company organized by HAMMONS on June 19, 2006. HAMMONS was at all relevant times and currently is the manager of TFG.

3. Respondent PACIFIC VENTURES & TRADING LLC ("PVT") is a manager-managed Arizona limited liability company organized by HAMMONS on June 17, 2009. HAMMONS was at all relevant times and currently is the manager of PVT.

4. At all relevant times, Respondents were not licensed by the Commission as investment advisers or investment adviser representatives, or registered by the Commission as securities brokers or securities salesmen.

5. Peri Ann Hammons, a.k.a. Peri Ann Brown, was at all relevant times the spouse of HAMMONS. Peri Ann Hammons, who is also referred to as "Respondent Spouse," is included in this action solely to determine the liability of HAMMONS and Peri Ann Hammons's marital community pursuant to A.R S. § 44-2031(C) and A.R.S. § 44-3291(C).

TFG's Principal Client: Pacific Ventures & Trading

6. HAMMONS organized PVT in October 2009 as a fund that he and his entity, TFG, would manage.

7. PVT was funded in large part by selling within and from Arizona membership interests to three outside investors and two trusts for which HAMMONS was the trustee. Two of these investors, a husband and wife who purchased PVT membership interests using their retirement accounts, were located in Maricopa County, Arizona.

8. As of January 10, 2010, PVT had acquired investor funds totaling $116,000. By April 2010, the total reached $139,000. And in April 2011, PVT acquired an additional investor contribution of $35,000, bringing the total investment in PVT to $174,000.

9. The majority of the PVT investors paid for their membership interests with checks, money orders or wire transfers payable to PVT. PVT's funds were deposited in a Chase

bank account.

10. At all relevant times, TFG's manager, HAMMONS, had custody of PVT's assets. HAMMONS was the manager of PVT and the only signer and contact person for PVT's Chase account.

11. The investors did not participate in any of PVT's operations and relied solely on HAMMONS's effort and expertise to realize a return on their investment.

12. HAMMONS provided PVT investors with an illustrated packet that described PVT's "Mission" as follows: "Generation of investment income and trading profits by diligent investment of capital resources in financial assets across multiple markets utilizing current risk management protocols and state-of-the-art trading platforms."

13. Several investors received a one-page document titled "Limited Liability Company Units Term Sheet." This term sheet states that funds obtained from PVT investors will be used for "general operations and the funding of equity, fixed-income and futures/commodities trading accounts."

14. HAMMONS further represented to at least two of the PVT investors that HAMMONS would use their investment funds primarily for day-trading stock index futures and other securities and that PVT would pay TFG for HAMMONS's services.

TFG's Financial Services

15. At all relevant times, TFG represented that it and its principals had extensive experience with and at one time was primarily engaged in providing financial services including managing client accounts and portfolios, and advising others as to the value of securities or as to the advisability of investing in, purchasing or selling securities.

16. For example, the "Services" page of TFG's website, www.tfgadvisors.com, stated that "TFG Advisors develops financial resource plans and assists clients in obtaining necessary capitalization to meet stated business objectives." The website further stated that "TFG Advisors has managed in excess of $250 million in private portfolios and $10 billion of institutional

accounts. TFG's approach is centered around maximizing portfolio performance and liquidity."

17. Additionally, TFG produced reports and market analysis related to advising others as to the value of securities. For example, over a period of several weeks, TFG frequently sent updates to at least one PVT member. These updates were simple, trading-themed newsletters; they included market news and analysis and charts related to HAMMONS's trading activities and objectives.

18. Finally, the illustrated packet given to PVT investors includes a page labeled "Strategic Partners." On this page TFG is described as a "Financial advisory firm whose principal role [as PVT's "strategic partner"] will be to manage trading room operations[.]"

PVT's Agreement with TFG

19. At or around October 2009, PVT entered an agreement with TFG regarding the provision of financial services to PVT (the "Agreement").

20. Under the terms of the Agreement, PVT would pay TFG for HAMMONS's services. These services consisted of managing PVT's capital by creating and trading an investment portfolio of equities, futures and other securities; this would include day-trading in these securities.

21. In exchange for these services, PVT would pay TFG a monthly payment of $500 for every $10,000 of capital under management, plus 40% of trading profits earned each month. The remaining 60% of profits would go to PVT and its members.

TFG/HAMMONS's Use of PVT Capital

22. HAMMONS applied approximately $32,500 of PVT's capital toward day-trading in equities, futures or other securities.

23. HAMMONS day-traded with this capital in two different trading platforms.

24. PVT's most-used trading platform was TradeStation, Inc. ("TS"). On or around January 12, 2010, PVT opened a TS futures trading account (the "TS Account"). In order to open this account, PVT completed a "Futures Account Application." HAMMONS signed this

application on January 4, 2010 as the "Primary Authorized Representative" of PVT. As stated in the terms of the Application, HAMMONS is the only person with authority to give or receive instructions from TS regarding the account and the only person authorized to trade in the account. As explained in this Application, this account provides PVT with a trading platform through which PVT can purchase and sell futures.

25. PVT transferred $10,000 into the TS Account in January 2010, and another $10,000 on March 31, 2010.

26. PVT also opened and transferred $10,000 to a TS equities account. No trading activity occurred in the equities account and the $10,000 was later transferred to the TS Account, where it was used in trading activities.

27. Beginning in January 2010 and continuing through October 2011, HAMMONS, as the manager of TFG, bought and sold futures contracts and other securities in TS Account.

28. HAMMONS's trading in the TS Account resulted in significant net losses. As of December 30, 2011, the market value of the account was $689.08.

29. On January 5, 2010 HAMMONS opened a trading account with Rosenthal Collins Group, LLC. The account is in HAMMONS's name. In order to open the Rosenthal account, HAMMONS signed an "Account Opening Worksheet" which states that the account will be used for speculative trading of futures, foreign currencies and metals.

30. The day prior to opening the Rosenthal account, PVT transferred $2,500 to HAMMONS's checking account. Upon opening the trading account, HAMMONS deposited $2,500 into the Rosenthal account.

31. In January, February and July 2010, and November 2011, HAMMONS traded futures contracts and other securities in the Rosenthal account.

32. The trading activity for each of these four months resulted in losses. By December 31, 2010, the value of the Rosenthal account was reduced to $762; by December 30, 2011, the account value was reduced to $461.

PVT's Payment to TFG for TFG's Services

33. In exchange for HAMMONS's financial services of managing and trading PVT's capital, PVT paid TFG monthly fees.

34. For the 20-month period beginning in January 2010 and ending August 2011, PVT paid TFG monthly fees totaling $75,700.

35. [Intentionally left blank]

36. In August 2011, PVT effectively emptied its Chase bank account when it made a final transfer to TFG in the amount of $34,000.

37. All told, PVT transferred a total of approximately $112,200 to TFG.

Other Violations

38. HAMMONS represented to some PVT investors that the investors' funds would be used to generate investment income and trading profits, primarily through day-trading and other investing. In fact, only a fraction of investor funds were put into trading accounts. The vast majority of investor funds went to pay TFG.

39. HAMMONS failed to disclose to PVT and PVT's members that, in order for PVT's assets to merely maintain their value, TFG's assets would need to experience gains at least equal to the monthly fees paid to TFG.

40. HAMMONS represented to two Arizona-based PVT investors that their combined interest in PVT increased in value by 11.63% during 2010. This increase was shown in a written Balance Sheet, provided to these investors. HAMMONS represented to these investors that the increase in value occurred in part due to profitable trading. HAMMONS made these representations without disclosing the following items, which HAMMONS either knew or should have known:

a) Only a fraction of PVT's funds were used in trading;

b) There were only three months in 2010 where PVT incurred net gains from trading in the TS Account, and these gains were modest: in January, September and November, PVT had respective trading profits of approximately $326, $47 and $281;

c) During 2010, PVT incurred net losses in the TS Account of more than approximately $23,000, which reduced the TS Account's market value to approximately $3,901 at the end of 2010;

d) All of PVT's monthly trading in 2010 in the Rosenthal account resulted in net losses; and

e) Payments from PVT to TFG contributed to a large reduction of PVT's capital.

41. TFG/HAMMONS did not at any time have a certified public accountant review by actual examination PVT's bank accounts, trading accounts and securities, or prepare an auditor's report and financial statements for PVT.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act and Investment Management Act.

2. PVT and HAMMONS offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).

3. PVT and HAMMONS violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by (a) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and or (c) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit. PVT and HAMMONS's conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

a. Representing to some PVT investors that the investor funds would be used primarily for trading activities and the purchase of assets that could generate investment

income and trading profits when, in fact, HAMMONS put only approximately $32,500 of PVT's funds to such use; and

b. Failing to disclose to PVT investors that TFG would need to generate gains at least equal to the monthly fees paid in order for PVT's assets not to decrease in value and that, even with a decrease in asset value, TFG would not decrease the fees paid to TFG.

4. HAMMONS directly or indirectly controlled PVT within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1999. As a result, HAMMONS is jointly and severally liable with, and to the same extent as PVT for its violations of the anti-fraud provisions of the Securities Act set forth above.

5. TFG and HAMMONS violated A.R.S. § 44-3151 by transacting business in this state as investment advisers or investment adviser representatives while neither licensed nor exempt.

6. TFG and HAMMONS violated A.R.S. § 44-3241 by (a) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, (c) misrepresenting professional qualifications with the intent that the misrepresentation be relied on, and or (d) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit. Respondents TFG and HAMMONS's conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

a. Failing to have an independent certified public accountant verify all client funds and securities by actual examination at least once during each calendar year without notice as defined by A.A.C. R14-6-206(A)(4)(b)(i);

b. Failing to have an independent certified public accountant file a copy of the auditor's report and financial statements with the Commission within 30 days after the completion of the examination along with a letter stating that they examined the funds and securities and describing the nature and extent of the examination as defined by A.A.C. R14-6-206(A)(4)(b)(ii);

c. Failing to act in the best interest of TFG's client, PVT, by transferring the majority of PVT's funds to TFG and putting only $32,500 of PVT's funds to a use that had even a possibility of generating investment income or trading profits;

d. Failing to disclose to PVT and PVT's members that TFG would need to generate gains at least equal to the monthly fees paid in order for PVT's assets not to decrease in value and that a decrease in asset value may not decrease the fees paid to TFG; and

e. Representing to some PVT members that the PVT funds had increased in value in 2010, partly from successful trading, when in fact HAMMONS's trading activities resulted in significant losses and PVT's capital had been reduced by significant, continued payment of fees to TFG throughout 2010.

7. Respondents' conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and A.R.S. § 44-3292.

8. Respondents' conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and A.R.S. § 44-3292.

9. Respondents' conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-2036 and A.R.S. § 44-3296.

10. Respondent HAMMONS acted for the benefit of his marital community and, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 25-214 and 25-215, this Order of restitution and administrative penalties is a debt of the community.

III.

ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Respondents' consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and A.R.S. § 44-3292, that Respondents, and any of Respondents' agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act and the Investment Management Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and A.R.S. § 44-3292, that Respondents and the marital community of HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse shall jointly and severally pay restitution to the Commission in the principal amount of $174,000. Payment is due in full on the date of this Order. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account controlled by the Commission. Any principal amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of the lesser of (i) ten percent per annum or (ii) at a rate per annum that is equal to one per cent plus the prime rate as published by the board of governors of the federal reserve system in statistical release H.15 or any publication that may supersede it on the date that the judgment is entered. Interest in the amount of $21,887.97 has accrued from the date of purchase to July 17, 2013.

The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro-rata basis to investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse because an investor refuses to accept such payment, or any restitution funds that cannot be disbursed to an investor because the investor is deceased and the Commission cannot reasonably identify and locate the deceased investor's spouse or natural children surviving at the time of the distribution, shall be disbursed on a pro-rata basis to the remaining investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any funds that the Commission determines it is unable to or cannot feasibly disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036 and A.R.S. § 44-3296, that Respondents and the marital community of HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse shall jointly and severally pay an administrative penalty in the amount of $20,000. Payment is due in full on the

date of this Order. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona." Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of the lesser of (i) ten percent per annum or (ii) at a rate per annum that is equal to one per cent plus the prime rate as published by the board of governors of the federal reserve system in statistical release H.15 or any publication that may supersede it on the date that the judgment is entered.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that payments received by the state of Arizona shall first be applied to the restitution obligation. Upon payment in full of the restitution obligation, payments shall be applied to the penalty obligation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if any Respondent fails to comply with this order, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against that Respondent, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that no finding of fact or conclusion of law contained in this Order shall be deemed binding against any Respondent under this Docket Number who has not consented to the entry of this Order.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this _____day of _____, 2013.

JODI JERICH
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DISSENT

DISSENT

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin A. Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail sabernal@azcc.gov.

(RJM)

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

1. Respondent PATRICK B. HAMMONS ("HAMMONS") and Peri Ann Hammons ("Respondent Spouse") admit the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act Article 7 of the Investment Management Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that this Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.

2. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse knowingly and voluntarily waive any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act and Article 8 of the Investment Management Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.

3. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse acknowledge and agree that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.

4. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that they have been represented by an attorney in this matter, they has reviewed this Order with their attorney, **Mark Chester**, and understands all terms it contains. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that they have each given their informed consent to such representation.

5. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse agree that they shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future proceeding in which the Commission or any other state agency is a

party concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the state to engage in the practice of any business or profession.

6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. HAMMONS will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of Respondent's agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.

7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse and the Commission, HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.

8. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.

9. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.

10. HAMMONS agrees that he will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative until such time as all restitution and penalties under this Order are paid in full.

11. HAMMONS agrees that he will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services within or from Arizona until such time as all restitution and penalties under this Order are paid in full.

12. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that any restitution or penalties imposed by this Order are obligations of the respondents, HAMMONS, and HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse's marital community.

13. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.

14. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse acknowledge and understand that if they fail to comply with the provisions of the order and this consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.

15. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse understand that default shall render them liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.

16. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse agree and understand that if they fail to make any payment as required in the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable without notice or demand. HAMMONS and Respondent Spouse agree and understand that acceptance of any partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by the Commission.

17. HAMMONS represents that he is the manager of TF6 ADVISORS, LLC, and has been authorized to enter into this Order for and on behalf of this entity.

18. HAMMONS represents that he is the manager of PACIFIC VENTURES & TRADING LLC and has been authorized to enter into this Order for and on behalf of this entity.

///

///

///

///

///

///

Signature page to Consent to Entry of Order for individual respondent Patrick B. Hammons

Respondent, Patrick B. Hammons

STATE OF ~~ARIZONA~~ Utah)
) ss
County of Utah)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 28 day of June, 2013.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

3-1-2015

TANNA L. SHURTLIFF
NOTARY PUBLIC • STATE OF UTAH
COMMISSION# 606383
COMM. EXP. 03-01-2015

Signature page to Consent to Entry of Order for Respondent Spouse, Peri A. Hammons

Respondent Spouse, Peri Ann Hammons

STATE OF ~~ARIZONA~~ Utah)
) ss
County of Utah)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 28 day of June, 2013.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

3-1-2015

TANNA L. SHURTLIFF
NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF UTAH
COMMISSION# 606383
COMM. EXP. 03-01-2015

Signature page to Consent to Entry of Order for entity respondents

TF6 ADVISERS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company

By: _____
Patrick B. Hammons, Manager

PACIFIC VENTURES AND TRADING, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company

By: _____
Patrick B. Hammons, Manager

STATE OF ~~ARIZONA~~ Utah)
) ss
County of Utah)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 28 day of June, 2013.

_____
NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:
3-1-2015

TANNA L. SHURTLIFF
NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF UTAH
COMMISSION# 606383
COMM. EXP. 03-01-2015

SERVICE LIST FOR: Patrick B. Hammons *et al.*

Mark D. Chester
CHESTER & SHEIN, P.C.
Gainey Ranch Corporate Center
8777 N. Gainey Center Drive, Suite 191
Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

Attorneys for Respondents

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
BRENDA BURNS
BOB BURNS
SUSAN BITTER SMITH

In the matter of: PATRICK B. HAMMONS, CRD#1030468, and PERI ANN HAMMONS, a.k.a. Peri Ann Brown, husband and wife; TF6 ADVISORS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, d.b.a. TFG Advisors; and PACIFIC VENTURES & TRADING LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; Respondent.	DOCKET NO. S-20860A-12-0414 **NOTICE OF FILING OF PROPOSED OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM**

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, you are hereby notified that the attached: Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, and Order for Administrative Penalties, and Consent to Same was filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission's Docket Control.

Dated: 7-2-13

By: Ryan J. Millecam, Staff Attorney

Decision No. _____

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document on all parties of record in this proceeding by mailing a copy thereof, properly addressed with first class postage prepaid to:

Mark Chester
CHESTER & SHEIN, P.C.
8777 N. Gainey Center Drive, Suite 191
Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

Attorneys for Respondents

Dated: 7-2-13

By: Emie R. Bridges
Emie R. Bridges, Executive Assistant