

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING AGENT  
55 Ross Circle  
Sedona, Arizona 86336  
928 204 6434



0000145229

RECEIVED  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

2013 MAY 31 AM 10 24

Arizona Corporation Commission  
DOCKETED

MAY 31 2013

DOCKETED BY *JM*

May 27, 2013

Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC)  
Docket Control Center  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Docket # E-00000C-11-0328

Commissioners;

I recently received a notice from the ACC stating:

This letter serves as notice that the Commission seeks additional information on the possibility of health impacts of smart meters. The Commission has already received several reports and studies. However, the Commission has expressed an interest in allowing stakeholders to develop as complete a record as possible. The Commission wants to make sure it has received all possible scientific studies, surveys, reviews or findings by governmental, educational or research institutions.

How magnanimous of you to 'allow us to develop as complete a record as possible'. But, instead of 'expressing an interest', how about you do your jobs, follow the law under which you are supposed to operate and do some research yourselves?

For probably the 4<sup>th</sup> time now: According to A.R.S. 40-321.A you were elected to “... **determine what is just, reasonable, safe, proper, adequate or sufficient ....**” Instead, “smart” meters are being installed apace, and you have determined nothing while years have gone by.

Considering that you have heard testimonials from people made sick by “smart” meter microwave radiation, 'expressing an interest' in “allowing stakeholders to develop as complete a record as possible” doesn't cut it. You are legally bound to determine what is safe. You have staff at your disposal plus a multimillion dollar budget. It is not up to “stakeholders” to do your work for you!

So where are the submissions to the docket by the ACC? If you want more information on health impacts, where have you been and what have you been doing for the last several years? What has your staff been doing?

As a friend said, “Too bad they don't read their email or they would have all the evidence they could say grace over.”

Additionally, I find it interesting that you are only asking for health related information. Does that mean you have the hacking issue solved? Invasion of privacy issue all worked out?

“Guidelines” will fix those? No one will hack because you forbid it? “Third parties” won't peek because you said so? Government agencies will never abuse us and violate the 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment by monitoring our activity, and if they do it's for the common good anyway? Is that it?

According to the utilities, over-billing by “smart” meters is an impossibility – it just never happens – so I guess that problem does not need to be addressed either. Or maybe it's like SRP told a friend of mine. According to SRP her bill was 300% higher when her house was empty, not because of the “smart” meter, but because someone sneaked in and used her appliances when she was not home!

Even if by some impossibility pulsed microwave bombardment is somehow found safe, are we going to get paid for APS siting broadcasting antennas and networking equipment on our property? Got that issue figured out? Or is private property a thing of the past and the clause about “takings” in the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment just a quaint notion of a bygone era that must be “balanced” against the more modern pressing need for corporate profit?

Speaking of profit, have you done your cost/benefit analysis on the “smart” grid, the cost/benefit analysis that was called for by the ACC in a decision made 6 years ago? Exactly how much are ratepayers going to save then? I have not been able to find that information posted in the docket or anywhere at your website.

Indeed, in a recent editorial Commissioner Robert Burns touted the cost savings utilities were going to pass on to us. Yet for someone so sure, he was very tight-lipped about details. Why keep the cost savings a secret? Exactly how much will our rates decline? “Smart” meters have been in some locations for years now. Where are the savings?

If all you are asking for is more health-related information before you close the docket then surely you must have all these other issues figured out. Don't hold back, give us your answers. Tell us how wonderful tomorrow will be because of “smart” meters.

Arizonans look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,



Warren Woodward

Cc: Governor Jan Brewer, Attorney General Tom Horne

PS – The Santa Cruz County Health Department in California was able to put together an evaluation of “smart” meter health impacts in a month's time. In what has now become a perfect example of complete regulatory failure, the ACC has been unwilling to do anything in years! By the way, the Santa Cruz Health Dept. was discerning enough to identity the FCC's radio frequency exposure guidelines – the ones APS likes to hide behind – as “... **irrelevant and cannot be used for any claims of SmartMeter safety...**” That is something others and I have been telling you for what seems like ever. The Santa Cruz report, “Health Risks Associated With SmartMeters”, starts on page 11 here:  
[http://www.santacruzhealth.org/pdf/2012 Report on SmartMeters.pdf](http://www.santacruzhealth.org/pdf/2012%20Report%20on%20SmartMeters.pdf)