

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM



0000145081

Warren Woodward
55 Ross Circle
Sedona, Arizona 86336
928 204 6434

REC'D TO
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2013 MAY 23 AM 9 43

May 21, 2013

Commissioner Susan Bitter Smith
Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC)
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKETED

MAY 23 2013

Re: Docket # E-00000C-11-0328

DOCKETED
JSM

Commissioner Smith,

In a May 16th article entitled, "Utility 'smart meters' raise health, expense concerns", the Arizona Daily Star paraphrases you thus:

She said it's not for the commission to weigh all of the conflicting claims about the effects of the radio waves coming off the meters.

The question for the commission, she said, is how much the utilities will be able to charge customers who have concerns and want to opt out.

[http://azstarnet.com/business/local/utility-smart-meters-raise-health-expense-concerns/article_ed579a26-59b3-5dfe-ad09-cf5168f44025.html]

If this is an accurate representation of your views then you should resign at once.

If this is an accurate representation of your views then you are clearly ignorant of the very law under which the ACC is supposed to operate and you are therefore unfit to serve Arizonans as a utility regulator.

The law, which I have quoted to you twice in the past, is quite clear.

- **A.R.S. 40-321.A** – When the commission finds that the equipment, appliances, facilities or service of any public service corporation, or the methods of manufacture, distribution, transmission, storage or supply employed by it, are unjust, unreasonable, unsafe, improper, inadequate or insufficient, the commission shall determine what is just, reasonable, safe, proper, adequate or sufficient, and shall enforce its determination by order or regulation.

In light of the law quoted above, as well as in consideration of the numerous

health-related complaints the ACC has received from people about “smart” meters, it is without question the ACC's duty “to weigh all of the conflicting claims about the effects of the radio waves coming off the meters.” Just because the ACC has evaded their duty for years by failing to do that does not change the law.

Also, I would not characterize the “claims about the effects of the radio waves coming off the meters” as “conflicting”. All of the independent studies that I know of point to the pulsed microwave radiation of “smart” meters as having a deleterious effect on human health whether symptoms are displayed or not.

As one example of my point, go to the 29:30 mark in this youtube video of Dr. Dietrich Klinghardt and listen for just a few minutes to what he says about various inflammatory markers that show up in blood lab tests of people who have “smart” meters. The inflammatory markers are the same in people with “smart” meters regardless of whether they demonstrate symptoms. In other words, everyone is affected. [**“Smart Meters’ & EMR: The Health Crisis Of Our Time - Dr. Dietrich Klinghardt”** – http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b_wxM6IAF1I&feature=colike#%23]

An analogy I make to help people understand the forgoing is that people with the same blood alcohol level may function differently. Some people can “hold their liquor”; others cannot. The analogy is imperfect however since alcohol intake is entirely voluntary while someone could discontinue electricity service altogether yet still be subject to the microwave radiation of their neighbors' “smart” meters.

The only studies that I know of that are in 'conflict' with the independent studies are those funded by industry and its handmaidens in the various government agencies that are actively promoting and subsidizing the so-called “smart” grid. A thinking person would not have a hard time 'weighing' the two sorts of studies.

Sincerely,



Warren Woodward

PS – Since you are ignorant of the law, I'll remind you that according to A.R.S 40-202.C.1 another of your duties is to “Protect the public against deceptive, unfair and abusive business practices...” Siting microwave broadcasting antennas on people's private property and then expecting payment for removal of same is clearly an “unfair and abusive business practice”. The only question is, what will you do about it?

Cc: Commissioners Brenda Burns, Robert Burns, Gary Pierce, and Bob Stump,
Governor Jan Brewer, Attorney General Tom Horne