



1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2

3 | GARY PIERCE

BOB STUMP

BRENDA BURNS

BOB BURNS

APPROVALS.

CHAIRMAN

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2013 MAY -6 P 12: 23

RP COMMISSION COSKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY, AND FOR

PLANT AND ADJUSTMENTS TO ITS **RATES** AND SERVICE CHARGES **FOR** UTILITY FURNISHED BY ITS NORTHERN GROUP FOR **CERTAIN** RELATED AND

Docket No. W-01445A-12-0348

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAY 6 2013

BOCKETED BY

RUCO'S MOTION TO INCORPORATE THE RECORD

The RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE ("RUCO") hereby moves to incorporate the record of the recent hearings in Docket No.W-01445A-11-0310 ("Eastern Group or Eastern Group Docket") into the current docket¹. The Settlement in the present case proposes the same SIB mechanism that is currently under consideration in the Eastern Group Docket. See Staff's Notice of Filing Settlement Agreement, paragraph 6.1. The recent hearings on the SIB, as well as the Company's proposed DSIC in the Eastern Group were lengthy and contentious. From RUCO's perspective, it would seem to be an inefficient use of

¹ RUCO's request is limited in scope to the matters of the DSIC/SIB in the Eastern Group Docket.

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

AN ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN COPIES

of the foregoing filed this 6th day

Arizona Corporation Commission

of May, 2013 with:

1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Docket Control

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Commission's resources and might add unnecessary rate case expense to recreate a record which, for the most part, already exists. RUCO is not suggesting that any party be limited in any manner to asking supplemental questions or raising other issues on this subject as it pertains to this particular case. However, beyond that, it would be redundant to spend several days on creating the same record which has already been established in the Eastern Group Docket.

In the alternative the Commission could take judicial notice of the Eastern Group Docket. RUCO believes incorporating the record is a better way to go as it leaves no questions and may be easier administratively but would be satisfied with judicial notice provided it is understood that the Eastern Group record is considered part of this record and could be referenced as such.

RUCO has contacted the Company and Staff. Staff agrees. The Company agrees with the judicial notice alternative.

Dánieľ W. Pozefsky

Chief Counsel

1	COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered/ mailed this 6 th day of May, 2013 to:
2	
3	Sarah Harpring Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division
4	Arizona Corporation Commission
5	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Wesley Van Cleave
7	Legal Division
8	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	
11	
12	·
13	Steven A. Hirsch Stanley B. Lutz
14	Bryan Cave LLP Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Rhanniy, AZ 25004
15	Phoenix, AZ 85004
16	
17	By Cheryl Fraulob Cheryl Fraulob
18	Charytt ladies
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	