

ORIGINAL



0000143206

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**COMMISSIONERS**  
BOB STUMP, CHAIRMAN  
GARY PIERCE  
BRENDA BURNS  
BOB BURNS  
SUSAN BITTER SMITH

RECEIVED

2013 MAR -4 P 2:11

CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF	)	DOCKET NO. E-01933A-12-0291
TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR	)	
THE ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND	)	
REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES	)	<b>NOTICE OF FILING</b>
DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE	)	
RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF	)	
ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE	)	
OF ARIZONA.	)	

Tucson Electric Power Company, through undersigned counsel, hereby files the testimony summaries for David G. Hutchens and Dallas J. Dukes in the above-captioned docket.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of March 2013.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

By   
Michael W. Patten  
Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC  
One Arizona Center  
400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR 4 2013

and

DOCKETED BY 

Bradley S. Carroll  
Tucson Electric Power Company  
88 East Broadway Blvd., MS HQE910  
P. O. Box 711  
Tucson, Arizona 85702

Attorneys for Tucson Electric Power Company

1 Original and <sup>13</sup> copies of the foregoing  
filed this 4<sup>th</sup> day of March 2013 with:

2  
3 Docket Control  
4 Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

5 Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed  
this 4<sup>th</sup> day of March 2013 to the following:

6  
7 Jane Rodda, Administrative Law Judge  
8 Hearing Division  
9 Arizona Corporation Commission  
400 West Congress  
Tucson, Arizona 85701

10 Robin R. Mitchell  
11 Charles H. Hains  
12 Brian E. Smith  
13 Legal Division  
14 Arizona Corporation Commission  
15 1200 West Washington Street  
16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

17 Steve Olea, Director  
18 Utilities Division  
19 Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

20 Copies of the foregoing emailed  
this 4<sup>th</sup> day of March 2013 to the following:

21 Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel  
22 Residential Utility Consumer Office  
23 1110 West Washington, Suite 220  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Kevin C. Higgins, Principal  
Energy Strategies, LLC  
215 South State Street, Suite 200  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

24 Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.  
25 Of Counsel to Munger Chadwick PLC  
26 P. O. Box 1448  
27 2247 E. Frontage Road  
Tubac, Arizona 85646

Kurt J. Boehm  
Jody M. Kyler  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 15 10  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

C. Webb Crockett  
Patrick Black  
Fennemore Craig PC  
2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

John William Moore, Jr.  
Moore, Benhan & Beaver  
7321 North 16th Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85020

1 Thomas L. Mumaw  
Melissa Krueger  
2 Pinnacle West Capital Corporation  
P. O. Box 53999, MS 8695  
3 Phoenix, Arizona 85072  
4  
5 Leland Snook  
Zachary J. Fryer  
6 Arizona Public Service Company  
P. O. Box 53999, MS 9708  
7 Phoenix, Arizona 85072  
8  
9 Nicholas J. Enoch  
Jarrett J. Haskovec  
Lubin and Enoch  
349 North Fourth Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
10  
11 Timothy M. Hogan  
Arizona Center for Law in the Public  
Interest  
202 E. McDowell road, Suite 153  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
12  
13 Jeff Schlegel  
SWEEP Arizona Representative  
1167 W. Samalayuca Drive  
Tucson, Arizona 85704  
14  
15 Travis Ritchie  
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program  
85 Second Street, 2nd Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105  
16  
17  
18 Dan Neidlinger  
Neidlinger & Associates  
3020 N. 17th Drive  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
19  
20  
21 Terrance A. Spann  
Kyle J. Smith  
22 General Attorney-Regulatory Law Office  
(JALS-RL/IP)  
23 U. S. Army Legal Services Agency  
9275 Gunston Rd  
24 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060  
25  
26  
27

Michael M. Grant  
Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A.  
2575 East Camelback Road  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Gary Yaquinto, President & CEO  
Arizona Investment Council  
2100 N. Central Avenue, Suite 210  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Annie C. Lappe  
The Vote Solar Initiative  
1120 Pearl Street, Suite 200  
Boulder, Colorado 80302

Rick Gilliam  
Director of Research and Analysis  
The Vote Solar Initiative  
1120 Pearl Street, Suite 200  
Boulder, Colorado 80302

Cynthia Zwick  
1940 E. Luke Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Court S. Rich  
Rose Law Group pc  
6613 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 200  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85250

Robert Metli, Esq.  
Munger Chadwick, PLC  
2398 E. Camelback Road, Suite 240  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Rachel Gold  
Senior Regulatory Analyst  
Opower  
642 Harrison Street, Floor 2  
San Francisco, California 94110

By 

**Summary of the Testimony  
of David G. Hutchens  
in Support of the Settlement Agreement  
Docket No. E-01933A-12-0291**

Mr. Hutchens is President of Tucson Electric Power Company (“TEP” or the “Company”).

Mr. Hutchens submitted both Direct Testimony and Response Testimony in support of the proposed Settlement Agreement.

In his Direct Testimony, Mr. Hutchens addresses the settlement process and the primary terms of the Settlement Agreement.

The settlement process was open and transparent to all parties on all the issues. All parties were notified of all negotiation meetings, were invited to attend and were provided the opportunity to participate on any issue that was being discussed. Participants were provided with settlement documentation including drafts of the Settlement Agreement and related exhibits for review and comment. Participants who so desired also involved their experts and consultants in each step in the settlement process.

The Settlement Agreement reflects a compromise of the signatories’ positions in this docket. The Settlement Agreement balances the interests of the signatories and TEP’s customers, employees and shareholders.

The primary terms of the Settlement Agreement include:

- Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the average residential customer’s average monthly bill would increase by less than \$3.00 upon the effective date of new rates. The total non-fuel base rate increase of \$76.4 million is significantly less than the \$127.8 million TEP originally sought. The Company supports this level of base rate increase due to the adjustor mechanisms that are included in the Settlement Agreement which will provide for recovery of some costs between rate cases, as well to “smooth out” the rate impact for our customers.
- Two new adjustor mechanisms: (i) a Lost Fixed Cost Recovery (“LFCR”) mechanism that limits lost fixed cost recovery to revenues that are measurably lost because of energy efficiency (“EE”) or distributed generation (“DG”), and (ii) an Environmental Compliance Adjustor (“ECA”) mechanism that allows the Company to recover its carrying costs of investments necessary to comply with environmental mandates. Both of these adjustors have caps on how much can be recovered from customers in any one year.
- The Company’s existing Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause (“PPFAC”) was modified to include additional costs and credits that are linked to the procurement of power and fuel.

**Summary of the Testimony  
of David G. Hutchens  
in Support of the Settlement Agreement  
Docket No. E-01933A-12-0291**

- The Settlement Agreement includes an alternative approach to funding EE and Demand Side Management (“DSM”) programs through the existing DSM surcharge. Under the proposed Energy Efficiency Resource Plan (“EERP”), investments in cost-effective EE/DSM will be treated similarly to investments in traditional generation resources, with the Company investing in EE/DSM programs and measures and recovering its costs through the DSM surcharge.
- The Settlement Agreement includes simplification and consolidation of TEP’s rate structure. The Settlement Agreement also includes changes to our existing rate structure that provide the Company with a better opportunity to recover its fixed costs of providing service.

In his Response Testimony, Mr. Hutchens responds to SWEEP’s objection to the LFCR and the increase monthly customer charges. The LFCR is an appropriate and narrowly focused mechanism that will reduce the disincentive for TEP to support increased EE and DG. The increase in the monthly customer charge is an appropriate, yet gradual increase to move the customer charge closer to covering the fixed costs of service.

**Summary of the Testimony  
of Dallas J. Duker  
in Support of the Settlement Agreement**

**Docket No. E-01933A-12-0291**

Mr. Duker is the Senior Director of Pricing and Economic Forecasting for Tucson Electric Power Company (“TEP” or the “Company”). He filed Direct Testimony in support of the Settlement Agreement in this case.

As Senior Director of Pricing and Economic Forecasting for TEP, Mr. Duker is responsible for monitoring and determining revenue requirements, customer pricing and rates structures for all the regulated subsidiaries of UNS Energy Corporation, including TEP.

In support of the Settlement Agreement, Mr. Duker addresses the following:

- The \$76,194,000 revenue requirement agreed to in the Settlement Agreement and the significant reduction from the Company’s original filed position of \$127,760,000 on July 2, 2012.
- The key rate allocation and rate design provisions that are part of the Settlement Agreement, including some of the benefits both the Company and its customers will receive under the Settlement Agreement. The base rate revenue increase is relatively similar across the customer classes. However, the small commercial class allocation was approximately 1% lower than the aggregate increase, the residential class allocation was equal to the aggregate increase and the other classes were slightly above the aggregate.
- The agreed-upon Lost Fixed Cost Recovery (“LFCR”) and why it is an appropriate mechanism that will be effective in recovering fixed costs not recovered in base rates due to sales reductions because of Energy Efficiency/Demand Side Management (“EE/DSM”) or Distributed Generation (“DG”).
- The proposed revisions to TEP’s Rules and Regulations.

Mr. Duker also provides updates to certain Attachments to the Settlement Agreement, including an updated Proof of Revenue, updated tariffs to reflect unbundled rates, an updated LFCR Plan of Administration (adding schedules) and an update redline of the Rules and Regulations that reflects the agreement-upon revisions between TEP and Staff.