

ORIGINAL



0000142230

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

2013 FEB 11 A 10:50

FEB 11 2013

COMMISSIONERS

- BOB STUMP - Chairman
- GARY PIERCE
- BRENDA BURNS
- BOB BURNS
- SUSAN BITTER SMITH

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	--

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
 UNS ELECTRIC, INC., FOR THE
 ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND REASONABLE
 RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO
 REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN
 ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES OF
 UNS ELECTRIC, INC. DEVOTED TO ITS
 OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF
 ARIZONA AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS.

DOCKET NO. E-04204A-12-0504

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 31, 2012, UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNSE" or "Company") filed the above-captioned rate application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission").

By Procedural Order issued February 7, 2013, this matter was scheduled for hearing and various filing deadlines were established.

On February 8, 2013, UNSE filed a Motion for Revised Procedural Schedule. UNSE stated that the revised schedule is intended to include a settlement track while further attempting to avoid conflict with another rate case that commences July 15, 2013.¹ The Company represented that the Commission's Utilities Division Staff and the Residential Utility Consumer Office do not oppose the revised schedule. UNSE requested that the procedural scheduled be modified as follows:

Staff/Intervenor Direct Testimony (except rate design)	June 28, 2013 ²
Staff/Intervenor Direct Testimony (rate design)	July 12, 2013
Settlement Discussions Commence	July 22, 2013
Settlement Agreement filed	August 12, 2013

¹ The September 30, 2013 hearing and September 27, 2013 pre-hearing conference dates would remain the same under the Company's proposed modified schedule.

² With a conforming date change for filing intervenor testimony in the public notice at page 6, line 3, of the Rate Case Procedural Order.

- 1 UNSE Rebuttal (if no settlement) August 12, 2013
- 2 Settlement Direct Testimony in Support/Opposition August 26, 2013
- 3 Settlement Response Testimony in Support/Opposition September 9, 2013
- 4 Staff/Intervenor Surrebuttal (if no settlement) September 13, 2013
- 5 UNSE Rejoinder (if no settlement) September 23, 2013

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that **the procedural schedule set forth in February 7, 2013**

7 **Procedural Order shall be modified as follows:**

- 8 **Staff/Intervenor Direct Testimony (except rate design) June 28, 2013**
- 9 **Staff/Intervenor Direct Testimony (rate design) July 12, 2013**
- 10 **Settlement Discussions Commence July 22, 2013**
- 11 **Settlement Agreement filed August 12, 2013**
- 12 **UNSE Rebuttal (if no settlement) August 12, 2013**
- 13 **Settlement Direct Testimony in Support/Opposition August 26, 2013**
- 14 **Settlement Response Testimony in Support/Opposition September 9, 2013**
- 15 **Staff/Intervenor Surrebuttal (if no settlement) September 13, 2013**
- 16 **UNSE Rejoinder (if no settlement) September 23, 2013**

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **the July 29, 2013 date for filing intervenor testimony in**
18 **the public notice at page 6, line 3, of the February 7, 2013 Rate Case Procedural Order shall be**
19 **modified to “June 28, 2013 (except rate design) and July 12, 2013 (rate design).”**

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in all other respects, the February 7, 2013, Procedural
21 Order shall remain in full force and effect.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules
23 31, 38, and 42 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance
25 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
26 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances
27 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is
28 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the

1 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized
3 Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's
4 Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,
6 or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
7 hearing.

8 DATED this 11th day of February, 2013.

10 
11 _____
12 DWIGHT D. NODES
ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

13 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
14 this 11th day of February, 2013 to:

15 Bradley S. Carroll
16 UNS ELECTRIC, INC.
88 E. Broadway Blvd., MS HQE910
17 P.O. Box 71
Tucson, AZ 85702

Steven M. Olea, Director
Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

18 Michael W. Patten
19 Jason D. Gellman
ROSHKA, DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC
20 One Arizona Center
400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorneys for UNS Electric, Inc.

By: 
Debbi Person
Assistant to Dwight D. Nodes

21 Daniel Pozefsky
22 RUCO
1110 W. Washington St., Suite 220
23 Phoenix, AZ 85007

24 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
25 Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
26 Phoenix, AZ 85007

27
28