E-01933A-12-0291



## ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS



UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM (1975)

**Investigator: Carmen Madrid** 

Phone:

AZ CORP CUME DOCKET COM LETEX:

**Priority: Respond Within Five Days** 

2017 NOV 13 AM 11 53

**Opinion** 

No. 2012 - 106920

Date: 11/9/2012

Complaint Description:

08A Rate Case Items - Opposed

N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By:

Ronald S.

. Wielgus

Account Name:

Ronald S. . Wielgus

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street:

Work: (000) 000-0000

City:

Tucson

CBR:

State:

ΑZ

Zip: 85742

is:

Utility Company.

**Tucson Electric Power Company** 

Division:

**Electric** 

**Contact Name:** 

Contact Phone.

**Nature of Complaint:** 

25 October 2012

Ronald S Wielaus

Tucson, AZ 85742

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

NOV 1 3 2012

DOCKETED BY

Phone:

Email:

Docket you wish to comment on: Public Hearing on Base Rate Increase

Case or Utility Name: Tucson Electric Power Company

Docket Number: E-01933A-12-0291

Position on Docket: Con

Tucson Electric Power Company's reques6 for a base rate increase of 12.5% is in excess of the current (September 2012) U S inflation rate of 2% and is not justified and should be denied. The ACC should limit approval of a base rate increase for Tucson Electric Power Company to no more than 2%, which would be in line with the current rate of inflation. Senior relying on Social Security payments are not getting an increase of 2% for the year 2013. An increase of 12.5% in the permanent base rate as requested by Tucson Electric Power Company, if approved, will create undue hardship on seniors whose fixed incomes are already stressed by the high costs of food, energy, and medical supplies and services. Therefore, I appeal to the ACC to take into consideration these factors and approve a base rate increase not exceeding 2%.

Ronald S Wielgus \*End of Complaint\*

**Utilities' Response:** 

## **ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

## **UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

## **Investigator's Comments and Disposition:**

Opinion noted and docketed in E-01933A-12-0291. closed \*End of Comments\*

Date Completed: 11/9/2012

Opinion No. 2012 - 106920