ORIGINAL

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE, Chairman

BOB STUMP

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

PAUL NEWMAN

BRENDA BURNS

CRD#2470192, and STEPHANIE YAGER.

TIMOTHY D. MORAN, CRD#2326078, and)

HAMPTON CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC, an)

PATRICIA MORAN, husband and wife,

PATRICK MORAN, CRD#1496354, and

Respondents

KELLY MORAN, husband and wife,

Arizona limited liability company,

NOTICE:

THOMAS LAURENCE HAMPTON,



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION C

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 In the matter of:

husband and wife,

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

26

Arizona Corporation Commission 2017 JUL 12 P 4: 39 DOCKETEO

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL F

JUL 1 2 2012

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. S-20823A-11-0407

AMENDED NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES, ORDER OF REVOCATION, AND ORDER FOR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

EACH RESPONDENT HAS 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING EACH RESPONDENT HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER

The Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") alleges that respondents THOMAS LAURENCE HAMPTON, TIMOTHY D. MORAN, PATRICK MORAN, and HAMPTON CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC, have engaged in acts, practices, and transactions that constitute violations of A.R.S. § 44-1801, et seq., the Arizona Securities Act ("Securities Act").

I.

JURISDICTION

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the 1. Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.

II.

RESPONDENTS

- 1. At all relevant times, Respondent THOMAS LAURENCE HAMPTON ("HAMPTON") has been an Arizona resident.
- 2. At all relevant times, HAMPTON has been offering and/or selling the hedge fund investments discussed below on behalf Respondent HAMPTON CAPITAL MARKETS, LLC ("HCM") as its member, "Managing Director," and securities salesman or dealer.
- 3. HAMPTON, CRD# 2470192,¹ was registered as a securities salesman (*i.e.*, registered representative) by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA")², Illinois, Kansas, and Missouri state securities agencies from approximately 1994 to 1995.
- 4. In January 2009, HAMPTON applied with both the Commission and FINRA to become registered as a securities salesman (the "2009 Registration Application"). HAMPTON's 2009 Registration Application was terminated and/or withdrawn without approval. As a result, at all relevant times, HAMPTON has not been registered by the Commission as a securities salesman or dealer.
- 5. At all relevant times, Respondent TIMOTHY D. MORAN ("TIM") has been an Arizona resident.
- 6. Beginning May 27, 1993, to December 2, 2011, TIM, CRD# 2326078, was registered in Arizona as a securities salesman. From May 26, 2010, to December 2, 2011, TIM was associated with FSC Securities Corporation ("FSC"), CRD# 7461.
- 7. From the period of October 1, 2007, to the present, TIM has been licensed in Arizona as an investment advisor representative.

¹ CRD is an acronym for the "Central Registry Depository." The CRD is a computerized database that can be used by securities regulators to locate, for instance, complaints filed against or disciplinary history regarding registered securities salesman or dealers. Potential investors may ask their state securities regulators like the Commission for information contained in the CRD.

FINRA is a self-regulatory organization that regulates financial service firms that deal with the public in the United States.

- 8. At all relevant times, Respondent PATRICK MORAN ("PAT") has been an Arizona resident. PAT (CRD# 1496354) has not been registered in Arizona as a securities salesman since November 2004.
- 9. HCM was organized by HAMPTON as an Arizona limited liability company on or about June 13, 2007.
- At all relevant times, HCM has maintained a place of business in Scottsdale, Arizona 10. and it has been issuing, offering, and/or selling the hedge fund investments discussed below within or from Arizona. HCM has not been registered by the Commission as a securities dealer.
 - HAMPTON, TIM, PAT, and HCM may be referred to collectively as "Respondents." 11.
- 12. At all relevant times STEPHANIE YAGER has been an Arizona resident and the spouse of HAMPTON.
- 13. At all relevant times PATRICIA MORAN has been an Arizona resident and the spouse of TIM.
- At all relevant times KELLY MORAN has been an Arizona resident and the spouse of 14. PAT.
- STEPHANIE YAGER, PATRICIA MORAN, and KELLY MORAN may be referred 15. to hereafter as "Respondent Spouses." Respondent Spouses are joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of Respondents' and Respondent Spouses' marital communities.
- 16. At all relevant times Respondents HAMPTON, TIM, and PAT have been acting for their own benefit, and for the benefit or in furtherance of their marital communities with Respondent Spouses.

III.

FACTS

A. General Allegations

- 17. In or around 2009, TIM met HAMPTON, who represented to TIM that he was a former Chicago Board of Trade floor trader and an index-arbitrage trader.
- 18. HAMPTON represented that he intended to engage in an index derivative arbitrage program that sought to obtain profits by taking advantage of price imbalances or inefficiencies in the markets; however, HAMPTON did not have the capital to pay for a software programmer, programming costs, equipment or trading development costs.
- 19. HAMPTON and TIM entered into an agreement whereby TIM agreed to pay for development and equipment costs so that HAMPTON could fund a brokerage account and, through actionable code, effectuate near-immediate orders to capture price imbalances or inefficiencies in the markets. TIM and HAMPTON were to share equally in the trading profits.
- 20. In or around January 2010, TIM provided HAMPTON with \$50,000 for use in his trading activities.
- 21. TIM and PAT introduced certain individuals to HAMPTON, to whom HAMPTON offered and/or sold securities to.
- 22. HAMPTON, within and from Arizona, represented to offerees and/or investors that:

 (a) HCM is a hedge fund managed by HAMPTON; and (b) that HAMPTON and/or HCM is engaged in the business of buying and selling exchange traded funds using a trading strategy developed by HAMPTON (the "Business").
- 23. An exchange traded fund, or "ETF," is typically a registered investment company that owns a portfolio of securities that track an underlying benchmark or index, such as the S&P 500® equities market index. Shares of an ETF can be traded on a stock exchange like the New York Stock Exchange, similar to stock.

24. From at least September 2010 to October 2011, Respondents have been offering and/or selling passive investments to the general public to raise a pool of capital to fund HAMPTON's ETF trading business (the "Hedge Fund Investment(s)").

- 25. HAMPTON discussed and/or emphasized to investors that the Hedge Fund Investment would be highly liquid because HCM rarely held positions overnight.
 - 26. Certain investors received and executed Subscription Agreements.
- 27. The Subscription Agreement states that HCM is issuing and selling a minimum of ten Hedge Fund Investments, for a "total minimum" investment offering of \$500,000.
- 28. Regarding Hedge Fund Investment sales commissions, the Subscription Agreement states that a "5% fee will be paid to introducing solicitor upon receipt of funds."
- 29. The Subscription Agreement states that to purchase a Hedge Fund Investment, an investor has to:
 - a. List the amount of the investor's desired principal investment amount (i.e., \$50,000+), and select the desired Profits to be received (i.e., 10% interest per annum, or "Profit Sharing" Profits);
 - b. Make their principal investment check payable to HCM;
 - c. Sign and date the Subscription Agreement, and send it along with the investment funds to Respondents at their Scottsdale, Arizona business address; and
 - d. Complete an attached "ACCREDITED INVESTOR QUESTIONNAIRE" representing to Respondents that the investor believes he or she is an accredited investor, generally defined in the questionnaire as a person who has either a net worth exceeding \$1,000,000, or individual income in excess of \$200,000 in each of the last two years.
- 30. Also attached to the Subscription Agreement is a four page W-9 tax form to be completed by the investor.

- 31. The Subscription Agreement states that Hedge Fund Investment purchases accepted by Respondents will be documented by: (a) HAMPTON's signature on an executed Subscription Agreement on behalf of HCM as its "Managing Director; and (b) "a certificate representing the investment amount" prepared and delivered to the investor by HAMPTON.
- 32. In addition, HAMPTON and HCM also maintained a website at www.hamptoncap.com ("Website"), which was available from approximately February 2011 to approximately November 2011.
 - 33. The "About Us" page of HCM's Website included the following representations:
 - a. HAMPTON is an experienced securities trader who in 1999 discovered a "market anomaly" that allowed him to profitably engage in ETF trades until 2003 when such market anomalies were no longer present;
 - b. In 2009, HAMPTON discovered a new way to make money by trading "leveraged index ETFs" due to pricing discrepancies, or "inefficiencies";
 - c. HAMPTON generally refers to this discovery as a "low-latency index derivative arbitrage" strategy (the "Strategy");
 - d. Because of the evolution in the "electronic trading world," it is not feasible to timely execute the Strategy "manually," or via paper orders handled by people;
 - e. In early 2010, HAMPTON hired a computer software programmer to design a computer program that implements Respondents' Strategy, and that can be used by HAMPTON with his securities broker's computer-based "API (automated programming interface)" to buy and sell ETFs; and
 - f. The computer program can recognize HAMPTON's desired market conditions and timely send out ETF trade orders per Respondents' Strategy "in less than 1 millisecond (1/1000 of a second)."

34. HCM publicly offered and advertised the Hedge Fund Investments within or from Arizona, in part, by publishing detailed information regarding the same on the "Trading Strategies" page of the Website (the "Prospectus Page").

- 35. According to the Prospectus Page, potential investors can chose one of two types of Hedge Fund Investments returns at the time of investment purchase, including:
 - a. A flat "10% Preferred Return," or interest paid by HCM and/or HAMPTON on principal Hedge Fund Investments at the rate of ten percent per year, with the apparent return of the principal investment funds at the conclusion of the investments (the "10%" returns); or
 - b. "Trading Participation" profits wherein HCM and/or HAMPTON and investors equally share in the ETF trading Strategy profits, or "a standard 50/50 trading split (i.e., 50% of the profits to investor and 50% to Management)" (the "Profit Sharing" returns). The 10% and Profit Sharing returns may be collectively referred to as the "Profit(s)").
- 36. The Prospectus Page of the Website further provides potential investors with detailed examples of how HCM and/or HAMPTON are able to generate Profits using their ETF trading Strategy.
- 37. According to the Prospectus Page, the minimum Hedge Fund Investment purchase price is \$50,000.
- 38. At all relevant times, the "Documents" page of the Website has included a link to a detailed Hedge Fund Investment "Account Application" which, when clicked, opens a PDF formatted document of the Subscription Agreement, discussed above.
- 39. The Hedge Fund Investment Subscription Agreement can be accessed, reviewed, printed or downloaded from the Website by an Arizona resident without restriction.
- 40. Neither the Prospectus Page of the Website, nor the Subscription Agreement state that the Hedge Fund Investments have a particular termination date, or a date on which an investor

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

can expect to receive back their principal investment funds and promised Profits, Further, the "Revocation" provision of the Subscription Agreement, states as follows:

6. Revocation

The Investor agrees that he or she shall not cancel, terminate or revoke this Subscription Agreement or any agreement of the Investor made hereunder, and that this Subscription Agreement shall survive the death, disability or incompetence of the Investor. (emphasis in original)

- 41. Investors submitted checks and wire transfers to HAMPTON, which generally named HCM as the payee or beneficiary. The funds were deposited into Arizona bank accounts owned and controlled by HAMPTON.
- 42. Certain investors were provided with documents from HAMPTON describing the low-latency index derivative arbitrage strategy, which included a chart, which compares the HCM investment to the S&P 500° from October 2010 to July 2011.
- 43. The chart states that for each month, from October 2010 to July 2011, the HCM investment returned 1%, 2.41%, 0.90%, 3.58%, 1.47%, 5.90%, 1.80%, 3.73%, 0.16%, and 2.10%, respectively.

Trading Account #1

- 44. In January 2010, to conduct the ETF trading Strategy, HAMPTON opened a securities trading account with Interactive Brokers, LLC, ("IB") that permitted the trading of options, forex, futures, and related securities products (Trading Account #1).
- 45. HAMPTON is the authorized trader on Trading Account #1, and managed and controlled the funds. Investors do not have direct access to or control of Trading Account #1.
 - 46. On or about January 27, 2010, Trading Account #1 was funded with \$50,000.
- 47. Between the periods of February 2010 to September 2010, HAMPTON incurred fees and trading losses every month in Trading Account #1. The IB monthly statements reveal that the account net asset value changed by -28.69%, -22.72%, -10.14%, -89.94%, -1.00%, -1.83%, -92.77%, -25.71%, respectively, on a month-to-month basis.

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

- 48. In September 2010, the ending balance of Trading Account #1 was \$130.
- 49. The Hedge Fund Investment investors were introduced to HAMPTON by TIM and PAT. Most of the investors were existing clients of TIM or PAT.
- 50. In October 2010, HAMPTON deposited \$435,000 of investor funds into Trading Account #1.
- 51. The IB monthly statement reveals that the account net asset value for November 2010 changed by -46.40%.
- 52. HAMPTON failed to disclose to investors that he had incurred losses and/or decreases in the net asset value in the preceding months, as a result of his trading Strategy.
- 53. Between October 2010 to September 2011, HAMPTON deposited \$1,290,000 from investors into Trading Account #1.
- 54. As of November 2011, Trading Account #1 had an ending balance of approximately \$44,000.

Trading Account #2

- 55. In or around May 2011, to conduct the ETF trading Strategy, HAMPTON opened a second securities trading account in the name of HCM with IB that permitted the trading of options, forex, futures, and related securities products (Trading Account #2).
- 56. HAMPTON is the authorized trader on Trading Account #2, and managed and controlled the funds. Investors do not have direct access to or control of Trading Account #2.
 - 57. In May 2011, HCM deposited \$850,000 of investor funds into Trading Account #2.
 - 58. In July 2011, HCM deposited \$160,000 of investor funds into Trading Account #2.
- 59. In August 2011, HCM deposited \$1,600,000 of investor funds into Trading Account #2.
- 60. In September 2011, HCM deposited \$80,000 of investor funds into Trading Account #2 and withdrew \$200,000 to HCM.

- 61. Between the periods of June 2011 to October 2011, HAMPTON incurred fees and trading losses. The IB monthly statements reveal that the account net asset value changed by 0.07%, -5.73%, 36.19%, -92% and -26.92%, respectively, on a month-to-month basis.
- 62. HAMPTON failed to disclose to investors that, in multiple preceding months, he had already incurred trading losses and/or decreases in the net asset value as a result of his trading Strategy.
- 63. Between May 2011 to October 2011, HAMPTON deposited \$2,705,000 from investors into Trading Account #2.
- 64. As of November 2011, Trading Account #2 had an ending balance of approximately \$63,000.

Joint Allegations

- 65. Between the periods of September 2010 to October 2011, approximately 72 investors entered into the Hedge Fund Investments for a total amount of at least \$4,741,332.
- 66. Investors also received monthly statements from HCM ("HCM Statements"). The HCM Statements were signed by HAMPTON, as the managing director of HCM and included the investors' initial investment deposit amount, the year-to-date distribution amount, and the income accumulation amount for the month.
- 67. HAMPTON misrepresented to certain investors in their HCM Statements that their investments accumulated a profit or earned interest on certain months and/or paid out alleged profits to investors.
- a) For example, an Arizona investor received monthly statements from the periods of February 2011 to September 2011, reflecting a fixed amount of income accumulation/profit of .83% (or 10% annualized return) for each month; however, HAMPTON experienced trading losses and/or decreases in the net asset value in the IB trading accounts in multiple months in that time period; and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- b) In addition, between the periods of October 2010, to September 2011, investors continued to receive their monthly profits and interest payments each month, even when the Trading Account #1 and/or Trading Account #2 incurred losses and/or decreases in the net asset value.
- 68. HAMPTON conducted some, if not all, of the trading activity from a desktop setup located in TIM's business office.
- 69. HAMPTON and/or HCM disbursed approximately \$319,857 to TIM, or an entity controlled by TIM, and \$313,475 to PAT, or an entity controlled by PAT, as commissions or fees.
- 70. In October 2011, an Arizona resident and potential investor (the "AO") viewed all the pages published on Respondents' Website from Arizona, including the Prospectus Page. The AO also viewed and downloaded the Hedge Fund Investment Subscription Agreement.
- 71. The HCM Website was disabled in or around November 2011, shortly after service of the Division's initial Temporary Order to Cease and Desist.
- 72. The Hedge Fund Investments have not been registered by the Commission as securities to be offered and sold within or from Arizona.

IV.

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841

(Offer or Sale of Unregistered Securities)

- From on or about September 2010 to October 2011, Respondents offered or sold 73. securities in the form of investment contracts, within or from Arizona.
- 74. The securities referred to above were not registered pursuant to Articles 6 or 7 of the Securities Act.
 - 75. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841.

26

1	V.									
2	VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842									
3	(Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen)									
4	76. Respondents HAMPTON, HCM, and PAT offered or sold securities within or from									
5	Arizona while not registered as dealers or salesmen pursuant to Article 9 of the Securities Act.									
6	77. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842.									
7	VI.									
8	VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991									
9	(Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities)									
10	78. In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona, Respondents									
11	directly or indirectly: (i) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements									
12	of material fact or omitted to state material facts that were necessary in order to make the statements									
13	made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made; or (iii) engaged in									
14	transactions, practices, or courses of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon									
15	offerees and investors. Respondents' conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:									
16	a) HAMPTON and HCM failed to disclose to investors that, in multiple preceding									
17	months, he had already incurred trading losses and/or decreases in the net asset value as a result of his									
18	trading Strategy; and									
19	b) HAMPTON and HCM misrepresented to certain investors, in their HCM									
20	Statements, that their investments accumulated a profit or earned interest on certain months and/or									
21	paid out alleged profits to investors.									
22	79. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991.									
23	VII.									
24	REMEDIES PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 44-1962									
25	(Denial, Revocation, or Suspension of Registration of Salesman; Restitution, Penalties, or other									
26	Affirmative Action)									

1	
2	5
3	
4	1
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	I
10	
11]
12	1
13	
14	t
15	
16	5
17	
18	t
19	1
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	١

26

80.	Respondent	TIM's	conduct	is	grounds	to	revoke	his	registration	as	a	securities
salesman with	the Commiss	ion purs	suant to A	.R.	S. § 44-19	962	. Specif	icall [,]	y, Responder	ıt T	ΙM	has:

a) Violated A.R.S. § 44-1962(2) by violating Title 44, Chapter 12, including A.R.S. §§ 44-1841, 44-1842, and 44-1991.

VIII.

REQUESTED RELIEF

The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief:

- 1. Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-1962;
- 2. Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and 44-1962;
- 3. Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036;
- 4. Order the revocation or suspension of Respondent TIM's registration as a securities salesman pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962;
- 5. Order that the marital communities of Respondents and Respondent Spouses be subject to any order of restitution, rescission, administrative penalties, or other appropriate affirmative action pursuant to A.R.S. § 25-215; and
 - 6. Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.

IX.

HEARING OPPORTUNITY

Each respondent, including Respondent Spouses, may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. If a Respondent or a Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must also answer this Notice. A request for hearing must be in writing and received by the Commission within 10 business days after service of this Notice of Opportunity

for Hearing. The requesting respondent must deliver or mail the request to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made the Commission may, without a hearing, enter an order granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Shaylin A. Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602/542-3931, e-mail sabernal@azcc.gov. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation. Additional information about the administrative action procedure may be found at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/securities/enforcement/AdministrativeProcedure.asp

X.

ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if a Respondent or a Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must deliver or mail an Answer to this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, within 30 calendar days after the date of service of this Notice. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

Additionally, the answering respondent must serve the Answer upon the Division. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by hand-delivering a

copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, 85007, addressed to Phong (Paul) Huynh.

The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the original signature of the answering respondent or respondent's attorney. A statement of a lack of sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation not denied shall be considered admitted.

When the answering respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification of an allegation, the respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall admit the remainder. Respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the Answer.

The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an Answer for good cause shown.

Dated this 12 day of July, 2012.

Matthew J. Neubert Director of Securitie