

ORIGINAL

ORIG



0000134866

BEAVER VALLEY WATER CO.
PO BOX 421
PAYSON, AZ. 85547

RECEIVED

2/29/12

RE; W-02015A-11-0416

2012 MAR -2 P 12: 21

TO WHOM IT MAT CONCERN

ARIZONA CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Mr. Smith's "amended" complaint is simply another lie (as is his entire original formal complaint) as evidenced by the utility response in the summer of 2011.

START READ: 185630

END READ: 222650Mr. Smith has no legitimate complaint.

The reading just happened to be the same as upon disconnect. Strange but true. When no water goes through the meter, the numbers don't change.

Mr. Smith was given my original response but has decided to ignore it, in the same manner as Sheila Stoeller. Mr. Smith is now, instead of claiming 4 different meter readings, is back to claiming no usage while constructing an all cinder block house.

Sheila Stoeller has admitted not knowing what she is talking about in a recorded phone conversation (recorded with her permission).

Mr Smith is now claiming "fairness" when suggesting paying one tenth of his obligation with no consideration to the excessive amount of water he used. Remarkable.

While the thought of being able to question Sheila Stoeller and Mr. Smith in a controlled environment has been making my belly button pucker and unpucker, this utility is requesting Mr. Smith defend all the lies hemorrhaged in his original "formal complaint" before getting to Sheila Stoeller's made up story.

Mr. Smith filed the complaint along with all the proof needed to show Mr. Smith would lie even if the truth sounded better. Mr. Smith went so far as to author a letter pretending to be his contractor. He fully intended to use all of it as his case and should be made to fully defend it. While this utility has responded properly, and with Mr. Smith's help proved my case, Mr. Smith was given a "do over" so he would not have to defend his repeated and deliberate separations from truth... with conditions (Which he failed to adhere to so he got a "do over" for his "do over").

It is painfully obvious that Mr. Smith started out lying, has continued to lie, and is not being held accountable at the state level.

M. Sworen

bvwc

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAR - 2 2012

DOCKETED BY

Beaver Valley Water Co.
Po box 421
Payson, Az. 85547

2/16/2012

RE DOCKET W-02015A-11-046

To whom it may concern,

This document was meant to be included along with my last filing (dated 2/14/12). I would like to include it in the docket. Mr. Smith included this in his "original" formal complaint fully intending to use it as evidence. This document shows Mr. Smith's willingness to continue his charade by simply lying and I think deserves a certain amount of scrutiny.

In the...lets call it the "Harold Plues" letter that Albert Smith wrote, if you redact anything that doesn't contain fact (marked "irrelevant"), nothing is true. As per a "real and true" Harold Plues' letter everything else Mr. Smith wants the court to believe is a lie.

A "real and true" Mr. Plues' Letter is attached.

Irrelevant...probably. But a great snapshot at the depths Mr. Smith is willing to drag his reputation, under oath of course, and of his feeble yet purposeful attempts at deceiving the court.

In light of Mr. Smith actually submitting a fake "Harold Plues" letter attached to his formal complaint and intending to use it as evidence, this attachment should be considered.

Regards,

M. Davoren
BVWC

11 copies to docket control
Copy to Albert Smith

OCT 15, 2011

LIE #1 YOUR NAME IS ALBERT SMITH (PRETENDING TO BE HAROLD) SEE #1 OF PLUS LETTER

My name is Harold Plues, ~~general contractor~~ ^{Supervisor} Albert Smith and Debbie Ward's home currently under construction at 37 N. Sleepy Hollow Dr. in Beaver Valley. As the general contractor, my job is to oversee all aspects of the construction on their lot.

Mr. Smith had requested that the water be turned on as of May 5, 2011 at the request of one of my workers. Prior to the water being turned on, I had arranged to have all water used at the job site hauled in and stored in three 55 gal. drums. After the Beaver Valley Water Co. turned on the water, approximately 300 gals were used between May 5, 2011 and June 7, 2011. There was nothing in the construction phase that would have required large amounts of water to be used. During the beginning stage of the building, prior to the water being turned on, a leak appeared inside the footings. This had to be covered with dirt before the concrete could be poured for the floor of the home. I assumed it was seepage from the old water line that had been connected to the trailer previously on the lot.

I know of no way that the volume of water Mr. Smith said he was billed for could have been used on this lot. None of my workers nor myself ever left hoses running or used large quantities of water to perform our jobs. I know Mr. Smith took a reading of the meter the day the water was turned on, but I don't know about the water company. The amount of water in question between May 5, 2011 and June 7, 2011 would have brought my construction to a halt on this lot, which is only 70 feet by 96 feet.

Harold Plues
~~General Contractor~~

LIE #2 SMITH #2 PLUES #2 PLUES #2 PLUES DOESN'T BLINK WITH AT (ONLY 0.5 GAL) HANGS TO LAUGH

DISPENSE IN ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

BIG LIE #4 SEE PLUS #3

AT LEAST 3 LIES IN 3 SHORT PARAS

LOOK AT THE LETTER - ANYTHING NOT REAL IS A LIE

We used 40 BAGS of MORTAR for Laying UP Blockwork. IT TAKES 5 GAL PER BAG for mixing that took 200 gal. Cleaning mixer about 10-15 gal a day that was about 150 and 50 gal to wet floor for Comp. so there was approx 400 gal used of which I hauled about 150 to job before meter was turned on.

APPROX 250 Hauled

LIE #3 (300) Hours Remaining CONSISTANT WITH REMAIN COMPLAINT SAYS APPROX 400 LIES LIES #5 APPROX 350 CANT BE APPROX 350

IRRELEVANT

WHICH IS IT

IRRELEVANT

IRRELEVANT

REAR END REAR END REAR END

To Whom IT MAY CONCERN

I WAS ASKED TO CLARIFY 3 QUESTIONS ABOUT
TYPE WRITTEN STATEMENT.

1.) Did I Type or Dictate the typed
portion of ~~Steve~~ just statement. the answer
is No.

2.) about why water wasn't turned on
at beginning of the works and I asked Mike
to turn it on. Mike has now informed me that
his policy is not to turn on unless the owner
request it to be done. I have no reason to doubt
Mike on this. However I never saw him or
Jerry Converse on anything so I can't verify
this as to reason Mike waited.

3.) Jerry reading meter. Jerry told me he
read meter. I have no reason to doubt he
did so. However I never physically saw him
do it.

I hope this finally answers
all questions on typed portion

Frank Pines