

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION C				
2	COMMISSIONERS Arizona Corporation Commission				
3	GARY PIERCE - Chairman BOB STUMP FEB 17 2012				
4	SANDRA D. KENNEDY				
5	PAUL NEWMAN BRENDA BURNS				
6					
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01812A-10-0521 BERMUDA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA				
8	CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT ANDDECISION NO.72892				
9	PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS				
10	WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILTY SERVICE BASED THEREON. OPINION AND ORDER				
11	DATE OF HEARING: March 22, 2011 (Procedural Conference) and October 26, 2011				
12	PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona				
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Teena Jibilian				
14	APPEARANCES: Mr. Patrick J. Black, FENNEMORE CRAIG, on behalf of Applicant;				
15					
16	Mr. Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel, on behalf of the Residential Utility Consumer Office; and				
17	Ms. Bridget Humphrey and Ms. Kimberly Ruht,				
18	Staff Attorneys, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission.				
19					
20	BY THE COMMISSION:				
21	* * * * * * * * * *				
22	Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the				
23	Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:				
24	FINDINGS OF FACT				
	Procedural History				
25 26	1. On December 30, 2010, Bermuda Water Company ("Bermuda" or "Company") filed				
20 27	with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a rate increase.				
27	2. On January 31, 2011, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a letter of				
20					

insufficiency stating that the application had not met the sufficiency requirements of A.A.C. R14-2 103.

3

3. On February 11, 2011, the Company filed an amended application.

4 4. On February 14, 2011, the Company filed additional responses to the insufficiency
5 letter.

6 5. On February 28, 2011, Staff filed a sufficiency letter stating that the Company had met
7 the requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-103 and classifying Bermuda as a Class B utility.

8 6. On March 7, 2011, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") filed both an
9 Application to Intervene, and an Application to Intervene and Request for Procedural Conference
10 ("Request"). The Request stated that RUCO intended to monitor this matter, but would like the
11 opportunity to participate more actively if necessary.

12

7. On March 16, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued setting a procedural conference for March 22, 2011, for the purpose of discussing the Request.

13 14

14 8. The procedural conference convened as scheduled. The Company, RUCO and Staff 15 appeared through counsel. RUCO indicated that following the filing of the Request, RUCO had 16 determined that it intended to limit the scope of its participation in this proceeding to cost of capital 17 issues. The Company and Staff did not oppose RUCO's intervention, and both stated that no 18 limitation should be placed on the scope of RUCO's participation.

9. On March 23, 2011, a Rate Case Procedural Order was issued. The Procedural Order
 granted RUCO intervention with no limitation on issues in direct testimony. The Procedural Order
 set a hearing to commence on October 26, 2011, and set associated procedural deadlines, including
 deadlines for provision of public notice and the prefiling of witness testimony.

23

10. On April 14, 2011, the Company filed a Notice of Filing Amended Schedules.

24 11. On May 31, 2011, Bermuda filed a Notice of Filing Certification of Publication and
25 Proof of Mailing, indicating that notice regarding the hearing was published in the *Mohave Daily*26 *News* on April 27, 2011, and that Bermuda mailed notice of the application and hearing to its
27 customers on April 4, 2011 and April 11, 2011.

28

12. Two customer opinions have been filed in opposition to the requested rate increase.

2

1 13. On August 26, 2011, Staff filed the direct testimony of its witnesses Jeffrey M.
 2 Michlik and Marlin Scott, Jr.

3 14. On August 29, 2011, RUCO filed the direct cost of capital testimony of William A.
4 Rigsby.

5 15. On September 22, 2011, Bermuda filed the rebuttal testimony of Kirsten Markwell
6 and Pauline M. Ahern.

7 16. On October 13, 2011, RUCO filed the surrebuttal cost of capital testimony of William
8 A. Rigsby.

9 17. On October 13, 2011, Staff filed the surrebuttal testimony of Jeffrey M. Michlik and
10 Marlin Scott, Jr.

11

18. On October 20, 2011, Bermuda filed the rejoinder testimony of Kirsten Markwell.

12 19. In rebuttal testimony filed on behalf of Bermuda, Ms. Markwell stated that Bermuda is
13 willing to accept all the analysis, adjustments and recommendations made by Staff in its direct
14 testimony.

RUCO's direct and surrebuttal testimony addressed cost of capital issues only. In
surrebuttal testimony filed on behalf of RUCO, Mr. Rigsby stated that based on Bermuda's decision
to withdraw its request for the adoption of the Florida Leverage Formula for determination of its cost
of capital, RUCO is willing to adopt Staff's cost of capital recommendation.

19 21. On October 24, 2011, Bermuda, RUCO and Staff filed a Joint Stipulation Regarding Pre-Filed Testimony ("Joint Stipulation"). Therein, the parties jointly stipulated to admission at the 20 21 hearing of the direct and surrebuttal testimony of William A. Rigsby on behalf of RUCO concerning cost of capital issues, and the rebuttal testimony of Pauline Ahearn on behalf of Bermuda concerning 22 23 cost of capital issues. The Joint Stipulation indicated that based on Bermuda's withdrawal of the request for adoption of the Florida Public Service Commission's leverage formula methodology in 24 25 calculating its cost of capital, RUCO supports the 8.82 percent cost of capital recommendation made by Staff. 26

27 22. On October 26, 2011, the hearing was held as scheduled before a duly authorized
28 Administrative Law Judge of the Commission. No members of the public appeared to provide public

DECISION NO. 72892

comment. Bermuda, RUCO, and Staff appeared through counsel. The February 11, 2011 amended
application, and prefiled testimony of the Company, RUCO and Staff were admitted into evidence.
At the hearing, Counsel for the Company stated that all the parties agree on all the issues in the case.
Counsel for RUCO stated that its adoption of Staff's cost of capital recommendation disposes of all
issues RUCO raised. Counsel for Staff stated that Bermuda accepted all of Staff's adjustments to the
amended application, and that Staff believes its adjustments are reasonable and in the public interest.
Following the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement.

### 8 Background

9 23. Pursuant to authority granted by the Commission, Bermuda is an Arizona public
10 service corporation providing water utility service to the public in an approximately 15.5 square mile
11 area located in the southern portion of Bullhead City, Mohave County, Arizona.

12 24. Bermuda's current rates and charges for water service were set in Commission
13 Decision No. 61854 (July 21, 1999).

Staff performed an on-site field inspection of the Company's water system on April
15, 2011. The system consists of eight wells, six storage tanks, two booster stations and a
distribution system with two pressure zones.

### 17 Rate Application

18 26. During the test year ended June 30, 2010, the Company served approximately 7,219
19 residential customers and 413 commercial and industrial customers.

20 27. Average and median water usages by residential customers during the test year were
21 9,061 gallons per month and 5,000 gallons per month, respectively.

22 28. The Company's application proposed total operating revenue of \$3,781,384, an
23 increase of \$922,419, or 32.36 percent over the Company's adjusted test year revenue of \$2,858,966.

24 29. Staff recommends total operating revenue of \$3,813,399, an increase of \$954,433, or
25 33.38 percent over the Company's adjusted test year revenue of \$2,858,966. Bermuda agrees to
26 Staff's recommendations, and RUCO is unopposed.

4

27 Rate Base

28

1 2

3

4

5

30. The Company proposed an original cost rate base ("OCRB") of \$10,323,080. The Company did not propose a fair value rate base ("FVRB") that differs from OCRB. Staff determined Bermuda's OCRB to be \$9,701,659. This \$621,421 decrease to Bermuda's proposed OCRB is due to Staff's adjustments to plant in service, accumulated depreciation, customer deposits, and accumulated deferred income taxes. The Company is in agreement with Staff's FVRB, and RUCO is unopposed.

6 31. The FVRB of \$9,701,659 agreed to by Bermuda and Staff, and unopposed by RUCO,
7 is reasonable and should be adopted.

### 8 Operating Expense

32. The Company proposed test year Operating Expense of \$2,515,257. Based on its
analysis, Staff recommends total test year Operating Expense of \$2,582,511. This \$67,254 increase
to Bermuda's proposed Operating Expense, and is the result of Staff's adjustments to Bad Debt
Expense, Water Testing Expense, Deposit Expense, Depreciation Expense, Property Tax Expense,
and Income Tax Expense. The Company is in agreement with Staff's Operating Expense, and RUCO
is unopposed.

33. The total test year Operating Expense of \$2,582,511 agreed to by Bermuda and Staff,
and unopposed by RUCO, is reasonable and should be adopted.

### 17 Cost of Capital

18 34. In direct testimony filed with the application and amended application, Bermuda 19 proposed using the Company's actual capital structure of 100 percent equity, and an overall rate of 20 return of 8.82 percent, as calculated by the leverage formula used by the Florida Public Service 21 Commission, for an 8.82 percent rate of return on FVRB. Testimony on behalf of Bermuda indicated 22 that in an effort to keep rate case expense reasonable, Bermuda did not hire a rate of return expert, but 23 instead relied on the Florida Public Service Commission leverage formula methodology to reach its 24 proposed rate of return.

35. In its direct testimony, RUCO recommended a hypothetical capital structure
comprised of 60.0 percent equity and 40.0 percent long term debt, with a cost of equity of 9.0 percent
and a cost of debt of 6.13 percent, for a 7.85 percent rate of return on FVRB. RUCO objects to use of
the Florida Public Service Commission leverage formula methodology proposed by the Company to

DECISION NO. 72892

1 set a rate of return.

36. Staff, in direct testimony, stated that it finds Bermuda's 8.82 percent rate of return on
rate base a reasonable recommendation. Staff stated that it neither accepts, denies, nor recommends
the Florida Public Service Commission leverage formula methodology. However, Staff states that it
finds the Company's proposal to be reasonable in this case, and that in order to efficiently utilize its
resources, Staff did not provide a comprehensive cost of capital analysis.

7 37. In rebuttal testimony, Bermuda stated that it was willing to withdraw its request for
8 adoption of the Florida Public Service Commission leverage formula methodology in this proceeding,
9 provided that all Staff's recommendations are adopted.

38. In surrebuttal testimony, RUCO stated that based on Bermuda's withdrawal of its
request for adoption of the Florida Public Service Commission leverage formula methodology in this
proceeding, RUCO was willing to accept Staff's cost of capital recommendation.

39. The 8.82 percent rate of return on FVRB in this proceeding, as agreed to by all the
parties, is reasonable and should be adopted.

15 <u>Revenue Requirement</u>

40. Bermuda's present water rates and charges produced test year Operating Revenue of
\$2,858,966. With adjusted test year Operating Expense of \$2,582,511, Bermuda had total adjusted
test year Operating Income of \$276,455.

19 41. The water rates and charges agreed to by the Company and Staff, and unopposed by
20 RUCO, would produce Operating Revenue of \$3,813,399 and adjusted Operating Expenses of
21 \$2,958,101, resulting in an Operating Income of \$855,298 for an 8.82 percent rate of return on
22 FVRB. This would constitute a \$954,433, or 33.38 percent, increase over test year revenues.

42. The revenue requirement of \$3,813,399, agreed to by Bermuda and Staff, and
unopposed by RUCO, is reasonable and should be adopted.

25 Rate Design

43. The rates proposed by Bermuda in its application would increase the average water
usage (9,061 gallons/month) residential customer's monthly bill by \$8.01, from \$23.44 to \$31.45, or
34.18 percent, and the median water usage (5,000 gallons/month) residential customer's monthly bill

DECISION NO. 72892

1 by \$5.86, from \$17.15 to \$23.01, or 34.17 percent.

44. The rates agreed to by Bermuda and Staff, and unopposed by RUCO, would increase
the average water usage (9,061 gallons/month) residential customer's monthly bill by \$5.50, from
\$23.44 to \$28.94, or 23.44 percent, and the median water usage (5,000 gallons/month) residential
customer's monthly bill by \$3.60, from \$17.15 to \$20.75, or 20.99 percent.

6 45. The rate design and service charges agreed to by Bermuda and Staff, and unopposed
7 by RUCO, are reasonable and should be adopted.

8 <u>Compliance Issues</u>

9 46. In an Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") status report dated
10 October 5, 2010, ADEQ reported that Bermuda's water system has no major deficiencies and is
11 delivering water that meets the water quality standards required by 40 CFR 141 and Title 18, Chapter
12 4 of the Arizona Administrative Code.

47. Bermuda is not located in any Active Management Area designated by the Arizona
Department of Water Resources ("ADWR"). According to ADWR's Water Provider Compliance
Status Report dated December 9, 2010, Bermuda is in compliance with ADWR requirements
governing water providers and community water systems.

48. Staff states that a check of the Commission's compliance database indicates that thereare currently no compliance delinquencies for the Company.

49. Bermuda has approved curtailment and backflow prevention tariffs on file with the20 Commission.

50. Staff states that a review of the Commission's Consumer Services database for the Company from January 1, 2008 to August 18, 2011 showed the following: In 2011, four complaints (three billing, and one quality of service) and two opinions opposed to the rate increase; in 2010, eleven complaints (five billing, five quality of service, and one disconnect/termination) and zero opinions; in 2009, two complaints (quality of service); and in 2008, eight complaints (seven billing, and one quality of service). Staff states that all complaints have been resolved and closed.

7

27 Best Management Practices

28

51. In direct testimony, Staff recommended that Bermuda be required to file, for

Commission review and consideration, at least seven best management practices ("BMPs"), with a 1 maximum of two from the "Public Awareness/Public Relations" category, in the form of tariffs that 2 substantially conform to the templates created by Staff and which are available on the Commission's 3 In surrebuttal testimony, Staff reported that Bermuda had selected seven BMPs. Staff 4 website. concluded that the Company's selected BMP Tariffs are relevant to the Company's service area 5 characteristics and conform to the templates developed by Staff. Staff attached those seven BMP 6 Tariffs to its surrebuttal testimony. The seven BMP Tariffs selected by the Company are reproduced 7 8 and attached hereto as Exhibit B.

### 9 Conclusions

10 52. The rates and charges agreed to by Bermuda and Staff, and unopposed by RUCO, are
11 reasonable and in the public interest and should be adopted.

12 53. Bermuda should be required to use Staff's typical and customary depreciation rates as
13 delineated in Exhibit A, as agreed to by Bermuda and Staff, and unopposed by RUCO.

14 54. The seven BMP Tariffs shown in Exhibit B, which were chosen by the Company, 15 agreed to by Staff, and unopposed by RUCO, should be approved. The Company should request 16 recovery of the actual costs associated with the implemented BMP Tarrifs in its next general rate 17 application.

18 Because an allowance for the property tax expense is included in Bermuda's rates and 55. will be collected from its customers, the Commission seeks assurances from Bermuda that any taxes 19 20 collected from ratepayers have been remitted to the appropriate taxing authority. It has come to the 21 Commission's attention that a number of water companies have been unwilling or unable to fulfill 22 their obligation to pay the taxes that were collected from its ratepayers, some for as many as twenty 23 years. It is reasonable, therefore, that as a preventive measure Bermuda shall annually file, as part of its annual report, an affidavit with the Commission's Utilities Division attesting that the Company is 24 25 current in paying its property taxes in Arizona.

26

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

27 1. Bermuda is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the
28 Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251.

DECISION NO. 72892

The Commission has jurisdiction over Bermuda and the subject matter of the 1 2. 2 application. Notice of the application was provided in the manner prescribed by law. 3 3. The rates and charges authorized herein are just and reasonable and should be 4 4. 5 approved. It is reasonable and in the public interest to require Bermuda to use Staff's typical and 6 5. customary depreciation rates as delineated in Exhibit A. 7 It is reasonable and in the public interest to approve the seven BMP Tariffs set forth in 8 6. 9 Exhibit B. **ORDER** 10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Bermuda Water Company shall file with Docket 11 Control, as a compliance item in this docket, by February 29, 2012, revised rate schedules setting 12 forth the following rates and charges: 13 14 **MONTHLY USAGE CHARGE:** All Classes 15 5/8" x 3/4" Meter \$ 13.00 13.00 3/4" Meter 16 35.00 1" Meter 1-1/2" Meter 70.00 17 2" Meter 112.00 224.00 3" Meter 18 350.00 4" Meter 700.00 6" Meter 19 Commodity Charges - Per 1,000 gallons: 20 5/8" x 3/4" and 3/4" Meter (Residential) 21 \$1.25 First 3,000 gallons 2.00 3,001 to 9,000 gallons 22 Over 9,000 gallons 3.12 23 5/8" x 3/4" and 3/4" Meter (Commercial) \$2.00 First 9,000 gallons 24 3.12 Over 9,000 gallons 25 1" Meter (Residential/Commercial) \$2.00 First 25,000 gallons 26 3.12 Over 25,000 gallons 27 1 1/2" Meter (Residential/Commercial) \$2.00 First 50,000 gallons 28 3.12 Over 50,000 gallons

1 2	<u>2" Meter (Resi</u> First 80,000 gal Over 80,000 ga		<u>rcial)</u>	\$2.00 3.12		
3 4	<u>3" Meter (Resi</u> First 165,000 g Over 165,000 g	\$2.00 3.12				
5 6	<u>4" Meter (Res</u> First 250,000 g Over 250,000 g		<u>rcial)</u>	\$2.00 3.12		
7 8	<u>6" Meter (Res</u> First 500,000 g Over 500,000 g	<mark>idential/Comme</mark> allons gallons	<u>rcial)</u>	\$2.00 3.12		
9 10	Construction/ All Usage			\$1.64		
11	Schools/Whole All Usage	esale		\$1.77		
12	SERVICE LINE AND METER INSTALLATION CHARGES:					
13	``	suant to A.A.C. F	Meter	Total Charges		
14	5/8" and 3/4" 1"	\$125.00 180.00	\$ 60.00 85.00	\$185.00 265.00		
15	2" 3" or larger	520.00 At Cost**	317.00 At Cost**	837.00 At Cost**		
16	<ul> <li>Refunds of the installation charges shall be pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-405, except the refunds will occur in the billing month of September.</li> <li>At Cost = Actual costs of material and labor.</li> </ul>					
17						
18						
19	SERVICE CHARGES: Broken Meter Lock \$15.00					
20	Deferred Paym Deposit			1.50%* **		
21	Deposit (Interest) **					
22	Establishment Fee \$35.00 Late Payment 1.50%					
23	Meter Test Per	rformed by Comp		\$20.00		
		rformed by Outsi Leturned Check)	de Vendor (if correct)	25.00 15.00		
24	Reconnection			50.00		
25	After Hours S	ervice Charge		30.00		
26	Meter Re-Read Charge 5.00					
27	with signed	agreement.	nonth for a maximum of 6 m $14.2,402$ (P)	ontns,		
28	Per Commi	ssion Rule A.A.C. R	14-2-4V3(D)			

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above rates and charges shall be effective for all service 1 2 provided on and after March 1, 2012.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bermuda Water Company shall notify its customers of the rates and charges authorized herein and their effective date, in a form acceptable to the Commission's 4 5 Utilities Division Staff, by means of an insert in its next regularly scheduled billing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in addition to collection of its regular rates and charges, 6 7 Bermuda Water Company shall collect from its customers a proportionate share of any privilege, 8 sales or use tax per A.A.C. R14-2-409(D).

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bermuda Water Company shall file use the depreciation 10 rates delineated in Exhibit A.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the seven BMP Tariffs shown in Exhibit B are hereby 12 approved.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bermuda Water Company shall file with Docket Control, 14 within 30 days, as a compliance item in this docket, the BMP Tariffs as shown in Exhibit B.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bermuda Water Company may request recovery of the 16 actual costs associated with the implemented BMP Tariffs in its next general rate application.

- 17 . . .
- 18 . . .
- 19 . . .
- 20
- 21 . . .
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

. . .

- 27
- 28 . . .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bermuda Water Company shall file annually, as part of its 1 annual report, an affidavit with the Commission's Utilities Division attesting that it is current in 2 3 paying its property taxes in Arizona. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 4 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 5 6 7 COMMISSIONER 8 SIONER 10 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, 12 Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 13 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, day of FEBILARY th 2012. this 14 15 16 ERNE **EXCUTIVE DIRECTOR** 17 18 19 DISSENT 20 21 DISSENT 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

### 1 SERVICE LIST FOR:

### BERMUDA WATER COMPANY

2 DOCKET NO.:

W-01812A-10-0521

3 Patrick J. Black 4 FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 5 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913 Attorneys for Bermuda Water Company 6 Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE 7 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220 Phoenix, AZ 85007-2958 8 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel 9 Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 10 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 11 12 Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division 13 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street 14 Phoenix, AZ 85007 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

### EXHIBIT A

NARUC		Average	Annual
Acct. No.	Depreciable Plant	Service Life	Accrual
		(Years)	Rate (%)
304	Structures & Improvements	30	3.33
305	Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs	40	2.50
306	Lake, River, Canal Intakes	40	2.50
307	Wells & Springs	30	3.33
308	Infiltration Galleries	15	6.67
309	Raw Water Supply Mains	50	2.00
310	Power Generation Equipment	20	5.00
311	Pumping Equipment	8	12.5
320	Water Treatment Equipment		
320.1	Water Treatment Equipment	30	3.33
320.2	Solution Chemical Feeders	5	20.0
330	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes		
330.1	Storage Tanks	45	2.22
330.2	Pressure Tanks	20	5.00
331	Transmission & Distribution Mains	50	2.00
333	Services	30	3.33
334	Meters	12	8.33
335	Hydrants	50	2.00
336	Backflow Prevention Devices	15	6.67
339	Other Plant & Misc Equipment	15	6.67
340	Office Furniture & Equipment	15	6.67
340.1	Computers & Software	5	20.00
341	Transportation Equipment	5	20.00
342	Stores Equipment	25	4.00
343	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	20	5.00
344	Laboratory Equipment	10	10.00
345	Power Operated Equipment	20	5.00
346	Communication Equipment	10	10.00
347	Miscellaneous Equipment	10	10.00
348	Other Tangible Plant		

## Table I-1. Depreciation Rates

Notes: 1. These depreciation rates represent average expected rates. Water companies may experience different rates due to variations in construction, environment, or the physical and chemical characteristics of the water.

2. Account 348, Other Tangible Plant, may vary from 5% to 5%. The depreciation rate would be set in accordance with the specific capital items in this account.

### EXHIBIT B

Company: Bermuda Water Company, Inc.

Decision No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: 928-763-6676

### Effective Date:

## Public Education Program Tariff

#### <u>PURPOSE</u>

A program for Bermuda Water Company, Inc. to provide free written information on water conservation measures to its customers and to remind them of the importance of conserving water (Required Public Education Program).

#### REQUIREMENTS

The requirements of this tariff are governed by Rules of the Arizona Corporation Commission and were adapted from the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Required Public Education Program and Best Management Practices in the Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program.

- 1. The Company shall provide two newsletters to each customer; one to be provided in the spring, the other in the fall. The goal of the letters is to provide timely information to customers in preparation of the hot summer months, and the cold winter months, in regards to their water uses. The Company shall remind customers of the importance of water conservation measures and inform them of the information available from the Company.
- 2. Information in the newsletters shall include water saving tips, home preparation recommendations for water systems/pipes, landscape maintenance issues for summer and winter, water cistern maintenance reminders and additional pertinent topics. Where practical, the Company shall make this information available in digital format which can be e-mailed to customers upon request or posted on the Company's website.
- 3. Communication channels shall include one or more of the following: water bill inserts, messages on water bills, Company web page, post cards, e-mails and special mailings of print pieces, whichever is the most cost-effective and appropriate for the subject at hand.
- 4. Free written water conservation materials shall be available in the Company's business office and the Company shall send information to customers on request.
- 5. The Company may distribute water conservation information at other locations such as libraries, chambers of commerce, community events, etc., as well.
- 6. The Company shall keep a record of the following information and make it available to the Commission upon request.
  - a. A description of each communication channel (i.e., the way messages will be provided) and the number of times it has been used.
  - b. The number of customers reached (or an estimate).
  - c. A description of the written water conservation material provided free to customers.

Company: Bermuda Water Company, Inc.

Decision No.:

Phone: <u>928-763-6676</u>

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# Youth Conservation Education Program Tariff – BMP 2.2

### PURPOSE

This would be a program for Bermuda Water Company to promote water conservation by increasing students' understanding of water resources and the need to conserve (Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program BMP Category 2: Conservation Education and Training 2.2: Youth Conservation Education Program).

### REQUIREMENTS

The requirements of this tariff are governed by Rules of the Arizona Corporation Commission and were adapted from the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Required Public Education Program and Best Management Practices in the Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program.

- 1. The Company or designated representative shall work with schools in its service area to increase students' understanding of water resources and to promote water conservation.
- 2. The Company shall provide a combination of instructional assistance, education materials, teacher education materials, classroom presentations, and field trips to water related facilities.
- 3. The Company shall provide the following teacher resources:
  - a. Provide free resource materials and information upon request.
  - b. Provide in-classroom presentations upon request.
- 4. The Company shall make available free water conservation workbooks for elementary school students.
- 5. The Company shall keep a record of the following information and make it available upon request.
  - a. A description of the youth conservation education process implemented.
  - b. The number of students reached (or an estimate).
  - c. A description of the written water conservation material provided free to students.
  - d. Costs of the Youth Conservation Education Program implementation.

Company: Bermuda Water Company, Inc.

Decision No.:

Phone: <u>928-763-6676</u>

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# Residential Audit Program Tariff – BMP 3.1

### PURPOSE

A program for Bermuda Water Company, Inc. to promote water conservation by providing customers with information on performing water audits to determine conservation opportunities at their residence (Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program BMP Category 3: Outreach Services 3.1: Residential Audit Program).

### REQUIREMENTS

The requirements of this tariff are governed by Rules of the Arizona Corporation Commission and were adapted from the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Required Public Education Program and Best Management Practices in the Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program.

- 1. The Company shall offer self-audit information.
- 2. The Company or designated representative shall provide all customers that request them with a self–audit kit.
- 3. The kit shall include detailed instructions and tools for completing the water audit including information on how to check their water meter. The audit kit shall include but not be limited to information on checking the following components: irrigation system, pool, water features, toilets, faucets and shower.
- 4. If requested, the Company shall assist the customer in a self-water audit and assist the customer in determining what might be causing high water usage as well as supply customer with information regarding water conservation and landscape watering guidelines. As part of the water audit, and if requested to do so by the customer, the Company shall confirm the accuracy of the customer meter (applicable meter testing fees shall apply).
- 5. The Company shall keep a record of the following information and make it available upon request.
  - a. A description of the water conservation material provided in the kit.
  - b. The number of kits provided to customers.
  - c. Implementation costs of the Residential Audit Program.

Company: Bermuda Water Company, Inc.

Decision No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: <u>928-763-6676</u>

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Customer High Water Use Inquiry Resolution Tariff – BMP 3.6

### <u>PURPOSE</u>

A program for Bermuda Water Company, Inc. to assist its customers with their high water-use inquiries and complaints (Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program BMP Category 3: Outreach Services 3.6: Customer High Water Use Inquiry Resolution).

#### REQUIREMENTS

The requirements of this tariff are governed by Rules of the Arizona Corporation Commission and were adapted from the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Required Public Education Program and Best Management Practices in the Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program.

- 1. The Company shall handle high water use inquiries as calls are received.
- 2. Calls shall be taken by a customer service representative who has been trained on typical causes of high water consumption as well as leak detection procedures that customers can perform themselves.
- 3. Upon request by the customer or when the Company determines it is warranted, a trained Field Technician shall be sent to the customer's residence to conduct a leak detection inspection and further assist the customer with water conservation measures.
- 4. The Company shall follow up in some way on every customer inquiry or complaint and keep a record of inquiries and follow-up activities.

Company: Bermuda Water Company, Inc.

Decision No.:

Phone: <u>928-763-6676</u>

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# Water Use Plan For New Non-Residential Users Tariff – BMP 5.13

### <u>PURPOSE</u>

A program for Bermuda Water Company, Inc. to require all new commercial, industrial, and institutional users who have annual projected water use of ten acre-feet or more per year to submit a water use plan that identifies all water uses anticipated by the user, and the water efficiency measures associated with the uses (Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program BMP Category 5: Ordinances/Conditions of Service/Tariffs 5.13: Requiring a Water Use Plan).

### **REQUIREMENTS:**

The requirements of this tariff are governed by Rules of the Arizona Corporation Commission, specifically A.A.C. R14-2-403 and R14-2-410 and were adapted from the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Required Public Education Program and Best Management Practices in the Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program.

- Subject to the provisions of this tariff, as a condition of service all new commercial, industrial and institutional users with a projected annual water use requirement of five acre-feet (1,629,250 gallons) or more per year, will be required to submit a water use plan which identifies all water uses anticipated by the user and the water efficiency measures associated with the uses.
- 2. The water use plan submitted by users must include at least three of the following measures:
  - a. Statement of water efficiency policy,
  - b. Water Conservation education/training for employees,
  - c. Identification of on-site recycling and re-use strategies,
  - d. Total cooling capacity and operating Total Dissolved Solids or conductivity for cooling towers,
  - e. Identification of best available technologies used for process, cooling and domestic water uses,
  - f. Landscape watering system distribution uniformity and landscape water budget, and/or
  - g. Total annual water budget for the facility.
- 3. The Company shall provide to all new commercial, industrial, and institutional customers a complete copy of this tariff and all attachments upon request for service. The customer shall follow and abide by this tariff.
- 4. If after a customer has been connected to the Company water system, the Company discovers that the customer has, for example, installed turf or water-use intensive features contrary to its water use plan, the Company shall notify (in writing) the customer of such violation and provide the customer with the appropriate educational materials informing the customer of some possibilities of how to correct

the problem. The customer shall be allowed sixty (60) days to come into compliance with his or her plan requirements. If after sixty (60) days the customer is not in compliance with his or her plan requirements, the customer's service may be terminated per Arizona Administrative Code R14-2-410C, R14-2-410D and R14-2-410E.

5. If a customer believes he/she has been disconnected in error, the customer may contact the Commission's Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 to initiate an investigation.

Company: Bermuda Water Company, Inc.

Decision No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: <u>928-763-6676</u>

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# WATER SYSTEM TAMPERING TARIFF – BMP 5.2

### <u>PURPOSE</u>

The purpose of this tariff is to promote the conservation of groundwater by enabling the Company to bring an action for damages or to enjoin any activity against a person who tampers with the water system.

#### **REQUIREMENTS:**

The requirements of this tariff are governed by Rules of the Arizona Corporation Commission, specifically Arizona Administrative Code ("AAC") R14-2-410 and the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Required Public Education Program and Best Management Practices in the Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program.

- 1. In support of the Company's water conservation goals, the Company may bring an action for damages or to enjoin any activity against a person who: (1) makes a connection or reconnection with property owned or used by the Company to provide utility service without the Company's authorization or consent; (2) prevents a Company meter or other device used to determine the charge for utility services from accurately performing its measuring function; (3) tampers with property owned or used by the Company; or (4) uses or receives the Company's services without the authorization or consent of the Company and knows or has reason to know of the unlawful diversion, tampering or connection. If the Company's action is successful, the Company may recover as damages three times the amount of actual damages.
- 2. Compliance with the provisions of this tariff will be a condition of service.
- 3. The Company shall provide to all its customers, upon request, a complete copy of this tariff and AAC R14-2-410. The customers shall follow and abide by this tariff.
- 4. If a customer is connected to the Company water system and the Company discovers that the customer has taken any of the actions listed in No. 1 above, the Company may terminate service per AAC R14-2-410.
- 5. If a customer believes he/she has been disconnected in error, the customer may contact the Commission's Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 to initiate an investigation.

DECISION NO.

Company: Bermuda Water Company, Inc.

Decision No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: <u>928-763-6676</u>

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Landscape Watering Restrictions Tariff – BMP 5.8

### <u>PURPOSE</u>

A program for Bermuda Water Company, Inc. to restrict water use within its service area by limiting or reducing water used for landscape purposes (Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program BMP Category 5: Ordinances/Conditions of Service/Tariffs 5.8: Landscape Watering Restrictions).

#### **REQUIREMENTS:**

The requirements of this tariff are governed by Rules of the Arizona Corporation Commission, specifically A.A.C. R14-2-403 and R14-2-410 and were adapted from the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Required Public Education Program and Best Management Practices in the Modified Non-Per Capita Conservation Program.

- 1. The Company's service area is within portions of the City of Bullhead City and unincorporated areas of Mohave County. Various City/County Codes and/or Ordinances may apply depending on the location of the customer. In order for a customer to receive water service from the Company on or after the effective date of this tariff the customer must comply with the various City/County Codes and/or Ordinances that apply.
- 2. In the event the Company implements its Commission approved Curtailment Plan Tariff ("CPT"), the customers will be informed of the CPT's Curtailment Stage and asked to lower consumption in order to comply with the landscape watering restrictions listed in the CPT for the appropriate curtailment stage in effect at the time.
- 3. Compliance with the provisions of this BMP tariff will be a condition of service.
- 4. The Company shall provide to its customers a complete copy of this BMP tariff and all attachments upon request for service. The customer shall follow and abide by these landscape watering restrictions.
- 5. If after a customer has been connected to the Company water system, the Company discovers that the customer is in violation of the landscape watering restrictions contrary to the above requirements, the Company shall notify (in writing) the customer of such violation and provide the customer with the appropriate educational materials informing the customer of some possibilities of how to correct the problem. The customer shall be allowed sixty (60) days to come into compliance with the above requirements. If after sixty (60) days the customer is not in compliance with the above requirements, the customer's service may be terminated per Arizona Administrative Code R14-2-410C, R14-2-410D and R14-2-410E.

- 6. Customer notice requirements and disconnection of service restrictions listed in the CPT shall apply for customer noncompliance with CPT Stage 4 landscape watering restrictions.
- 7. If a customer believes he/she has been disconnected in error, the customer may contact the Commission's Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 to initiate an investigation.