

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM
Southwest Gas Corporation, G-01551A-10-0458

Antonio Gill

From: seacowgames@cox.net
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2011 8:14 AM
To: Newman-Web; Burns-Web; Pierce-Web; Stump-Web; Kennedy-Web
Subject: Idiots, all of you



ORIGINAL

People need gas, we don't need elected officials artificially keeping the coffers of SWG full. I bought an energy efficient water heater, and run my thermostat at 60 degrees. Instead of saving money for conserving energy, you found another way to take from me. Guess what? Now the thermostat gets set to 55 degrees, and 5 minute showers go to 2 minuts. I will be voting each of you out of office. This state is run by idiots.

I would send a trained chimp to give you this message via sign language, but I think that would be a case of animal cruelty...as the chimp would likely get frustrated with your idiocy. DUMMYS!

Cordially yours,
John Kelly

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JAN 19 2012

DOCKETED BY *RAJ*

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2012 JAN 19 P 3:56

RECEIVED

Antonio Gill

From: Leslie Durnell [leslie_durnell@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2011 8:13 AM
To: Newman-Web; Burns-Web; Pierce-Web; Stump-Web; Kennedy-Web
Subject: 8% rate increase

Commission members,

I just read about the 8% increase you approved for Southwest Gas rates.

In this down economy, my wife and I search for ways to scrimp and save. This year, in order to keep out utility costs down, we decided to forego the pleasure of all Christmas decorations, including the tree.

Not only have you wiped out any savings we would have seen and saddled us with higher bills going forward, you're making us pay for any revenue SWG lost due to improved energy efficiency.

Basically, not only did you fail to give us advance notice of this increase, you're raising our rates retroactively.

Your actions are beyond reprehensible.

Christmas morning, as you sit around your beautifully decorated trees with friends and family, think of those us that are thankful to still have a roof over our heads.

With absolute contempt,
Leslie Durnell

(I'm including Commissioners Stump and Burns because I believe they should have fought harder to prevent this, or at least let the public know before the vote).

Antonio Gill

From: huntercasa@q.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2011 7:19 PM
To: Pierce-Web
Subject: Decoupling

I can not tell you how dissappointed I am in your vote today regarding the Southwest Gas case. The approval of decoupling condemns the SWG rate payers to years of tax increases with nothing to show for their money. In fact, I am now sure that TEP will be granted the same thing and their rate payers will suffer tax increases for years to come. It will be a double wammy if someone in Tucson is serviced by SWG and TEP.

I received by elec. bill two days ago.....18% of the total bill was made up of ACC taxes and state and local taxes. Six months from now, I have no doubt that the bill will be made up of 23 - 25% taxes. I guess I should expect nothing less from government.

Seems strange that the ACC is taxing people that they should be protecting and using the taxes to support low performing businesses like wind, solar and geothermal. The ACC is also trying to destroy well run businesses by telling them to reduce your sales each year for the next nine years. Since the ACC is destroying viable businesses, the ACC is raising the taxes and giving the money to business because the commission told them to lower their sales. Your are a business man, is that not the dumbest thing you have ever heard of?

Destroy good businesses then tax people to help make the business whole. The big loser in all of this is the tax payer.

You are becoming a huge dissappointment. Wish I could take back my vote.

The trigger mechanism shall work as follows. All PV UFIs will be reduced to \$0.60 per watt if 25% of residential PV incentive funds are reserved on or before March 31, 2012. The second trigger would, if 50% of the budget is reserved prior to June 30, 2012 – enable a \$0.15/Watt incentive decline within 30 days of the last trigger, 31-60 days a \$0.10/Watt incentive decline, over 60 days a \$0.05/Watt incentive decline.

The third trigger would involve a step-down in the incentive if 75% of PV incentive funding is reserved on or before September 30, 2012. If the trigger is activated within 30 days of the last trigger activation there will be a \$0.15/Watt incentive decline, 31-60 days a \$0.10/Watt incentive decline, over 60 days \$0.05/Watt incentive decline

If 90% of the budget is reserved on or before November 1 2012, it would enable a \$0.15/Watt incentive decline within 30 days of the last trigger, 31-60 days a \$0.10/Watt incentive decline, over 60 days a \$0.05/Watt incentive decline.

If 100% of the budget is reserved on or before December 31, 2012 - \$0.15 incentive decline if current the incentive level is \$0.31 or above, if below, \$0.20/Watt incentive decline unless the incentive is \$0.10/Watt or less, in which case the level of incentive is \$0.00/Watt

The chart below lays out how the overall trigger mechanism will work.

As set forth chart below

Date of Trigger	Reservations to Activate Trigger	Rules for Trigger Activation
On or before March 31, 2012	25%	If the trigger is activated there will be a \$0.15/Watt incentive decline.
On or before June 30, 2012	50%	If the trigger is activated within 30 days of the last trigger activation there will be a \$0.15/Watt incentive decline, 31-60 days a \$0.10/Watt incentive decline, over 60 days \$0.05/Watt incentive decline
On or before September 30, 2012	75%	If the trigger is activated within 30 days of the last trigger activation there will be a \$0.15/Watt incentive decline, 31-60 days a \$0.10/Watt incentive decline, over 60 days \$0.05/Watt incentive decline
On or before November 1, 2012	90%	If the trigger is activated within 30 days of the last trigger activation there will be a \$0.20/Watt incentive decline, 31-60 days a \$0.10/Watt incentive decline, over 60 days \$0.05/Watt incentive decline
On or before December 31, 2012	100%	\$0.15 incentive decline if current the incentive level is \$0.31 or above, if below, \$0.20/Watt incentive decline unless the incentive is \$0.10/Watt or less, in which case the level of incentive is \$0.00/Watt