

ORIGINAL



0000129960

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 23 2011

2011 SEP 23 A 10:00

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKETED BY

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
PAUL NEWMAN
BRENDA BURNS

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-10-0448

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, AN
ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A
DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR
VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND
PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS
RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR
UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA WATER
DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER DISTRICT, AND
MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT.

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On November 13, 2010, Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-American" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for rate increases for its Agua Fria Water district, Havasu Water district and Mohave Water district.

Intervention in this proceeding was granted previously to the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO"), the Water Utility Association of Arizona ("WUAA"), Sun City Grand Community Association, Inc. ("SCGCA"), Mashie, LLC dba Corte Bella Golf Club ("Corte Bella"), Kenneth Hewitt, Verrado Community Association, Inc. ("Verrado"), and DMB White Tank, LLC ("DMB"). The Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") is also a party to this proceeding.

On August 5, 2011, Peggy H. Rahkola, President of the Arizona Traditions Homeowners Association, Inc. ("ATHA") filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of ATHA.

On August 9, 2011, Jim Weihman, Vice President of The Happy Trails Community Association ("HTCA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of HTCA.

On August 12, 2011, Mike Orose, President of The Crystal Springs Estates Homeowners Association ("CSEHA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of CSEHA.

1 On August 12, 2011, Nicholas Mascia, President of The Surprise Farms III Community
2 Association ("SF3CA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SF3CA.

3 On August 15, 2011, Peter and Rochanne Corpus, residential customers of Arizona-American,
4 filed a Motion to Intervene.

5 On August 15, 2011, Paul Briningstool, President of the Ashton Ranch Homeowner's
6 Association ("ARHA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of ARHA.

7 On August 15, 2011, William B. Lipscomb, President of the Kingswood Parke Community
8 Association, Inc. ("KPCA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of KPCA.

9 On August 15, 2011, Kevin Chiariello, President of the Greer Ranch South Homeowners
10 Association ("GRSHA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of GRSHA.

11 On August 16, 2011, Frederick G. Botha and Mary L. Botha, residential customers of
12 Arizona-American, filed a Motion to Intervene.

13 On August 17, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued continuing the commencement of the
14 evidentiary hearing in this matter until a date to be determined following a procedural conference
15 scheduled for September 19, 2011. As explained in the Procedural Order, the procedural conference
16 was scheduled to discuss the timeframe for new parties to conduct discovery and prefile their
17 testimony; the timeframe for the Company and other parties to conduct discovery and prepare and file
18 responsive testimony; and an appropriate date for commencement of the evidentiary hearing based on
19 those timeframes. The Procedural Order also directed the filing of authorization for lay
20 representation by HTCA, SF3CA, CSEHA, ARHA, KPCA, and GRSHA, or the filing of a request
21 for intervention by counsel.

22 On August 17, 2011, Pauline Harris Henry, President of the Russell Ranch Homeowners
23 Association ("RRHA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of RRHA.

24 On August 17, 2011, Tony DeSanti, President of the Clearwater Farms Unit II Property
25 Owners Association ("CF2POA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of CF2POA.

26 On August 17, 2011, Raul Muniz, President of the Surprise Farms Unit II Community
27 Association ("SF2CA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SF2CA.

28 On August 18, 2011, the City of Surprise ("Surprise") filed a Motion to Intervene.

1 On August 18, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued directing the filing of authorization for
2 lay representation by RRHA, CF2POA, and SF2CA, or the filing of a request for intervention by
3 counsel.

4 On August 19, 2011, Owen Dejanovich, President of the Clearwater Farms Three
5 Homeowners Association, Inc. ("CF3HA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of CF3HA.

6 On August 19, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued directing the filing of authorization for
7 lay representation by CF3HA, or the filing of a request for intervention by counsel.

8 On August 19, 2011, Craig and Nancy Plummer, residential customers of Arizona-American,
9 filed a Motion to Intervene.

10 On August 22, 2011, William and Erin Parr, residential customers of Arizona-American, filed
11 a Motion to Intervene.

12 On August 22, 2011, Sharon Wolcott, a residential customer of Arizona-American, filed a
13 Motion to Intervene.

14 On August 22, 2011, Thomas and Laurie Decatur, residential customers of Arizona-
15 American, filed a Motion to Intervene.

16 On August 22, 2011, Ken Peterson, President of the Jackrabbit Estates Homeowners
17 Association ("JEHA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of JEHA.

18 On August 22, 2011, G. Thomas Hennessy, President of the Savannah Homeowners
19 Association ("SHA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SHA.

20 On August 22, 2011, G. Thomas Hennessy, President of the Surprise Farms 5 Community
21 Association ("SF5CA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SF5CA.

22 On August 22, 2011, Jim Oravetz, President of the Legacy Park South Homeowners
23 Association ("LPSHA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of LPSHA.

24 On August 22, 2011, Stan Mucha, President of the Sun Village Community Association
25 ("SVCA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SVCA.

26 On August 22, 2011, Jan Garcia, President of the Sycamore Estates Parcel 13 Community
27 Association ("SEP13CA"), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SEP13CA.

28 On August 22, 2011, Jared Evenson, President of the Cross River Homeowners Association

1 (“CRHA”), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of CRHA.

2 On August 22, 2011, Dana Miller, President of the Western Meadows Homeowners
3 Association, Inc. (“WMHA”), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of WMHA.

4 On August 22, 2011, Timothy L. and Cindy J. Duffy, residential customers of Arizona-
5 American, filed a Motion to Intervene.

6 On August 23, 2011, Mike Smith, President of the Sierra Montana Homeowners Association
7 (“SMHA”), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SMHA.

8 On August 23, 2011, Dana Rosenbaum, Secretary of the Surprise Farms Community
9 Association, Phase 1A (“SF1ACA”), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SF1ACA.

10 On August 23, 2011, Jerome M. Ellison II, President of the Cortessa Community Association,
11 (“CCA”), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of CCA.

12 On August 24, 2011, Jeanne Stockard, Senior Property Manager for the Northwest Ranch
13 Homeowners Association (“NRHA”), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of NRHA.

14 On August 24, 2011, EPCOR Water (USA), Inc. (“EPCOR”) filed an Application for Leave
15 to Intervene.

16 On August 24, 2011, Susan Harr, Vice-President of the Summerfield at Litchfield Subdivision
17 Homeowners Association (“SLSHA”), filed a Motion to Intervene on behalf of SLSHA.

18 On August 24, 2011, Mike Albertson, a residential customer of Arizona-American, filed a
19 Motion to Intervene.

20 On August 25, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued addressing issues related to lay
21 representation of non-profit organizations, designation of classes and parties, and service of filings.

22 On August 30, 2011, RUCO filed a Response to EPCOR’s Request to Intervene stating
23 opposition to EPCOR’s request.

24 On September 1, 2011, Mr. Hewitt filed a Motion to Join RUCO’s Response to EPCOR’s
25 Request to Intervene.

26 On September 1, 2011, Mr. Hewitt filed a Motion to Compel testimony from several
27 witnesses related to the prior notice issues.

28 On September 2, 2011, SCGCA filed a Joinder in Support of RUCO’s Response to EPCOR’s

1 Request to Intervene.

2 On September 2, 2011, EPCOR filed a Reply in Support of Application for Leave to
3 Intervene.

4 On September 7, 2011, Arizona-American filed a Response to Kenneth Hewitt's Motion to
5 Compel.

6 On September 8, 2011, Brian O'Neal, a residential customer of Arizona-American, filed a
7 Motion to Intervene.

8 On September 8, 2011, Arizona-American filed a Motion for Declaration of Intervenor
9 Classes.

10 On September 13, 2011, Ms. Wolcott filed a Response to Arizona-American's Motion for
11 Declaration of Intervenor Classes.

12 On September 13, 2011, SCGCA filed a Response to Motion for Declaration of Intervenor
13 Classes. In its response, SCGCA stated that it had agreed to be the class representative for the
14 following 15 associations: ATHA, CF2POA, CF3HA CCA, GRSHA, HTCA, LPSHA, NRCA,
15 RRHA, SLSHA, SVCA, SF1ACA, SF2CA, SF3CA, and SEP13CA.

16 On September 14, 2011, SVCA, CF3POA, SF3CA, CCA, SEP13CA, and RRHOA each filed
17 Supplements to their intervention requests, including resolutions by the respective associations
18 authorizing specified individuals to represent their interests.

19 On September 14, 2011, Arizona-American filed the testimony of Kevin Tilden to address
20 issues related to notice to customers.

21 On September 14, 2011, Verrado filed a Response to Arizona-American's Motion for
22 Declaration of Intervenor Classes.

23 On September 15, 2011, CRHA filed an Application for Leave to Intervene signed by counsel
24 retained by CRHA.

25 On September 15, 2011, CF2POA, ATHA, GRSHA, SF2CA, SF3CA, SEP13CA, and
26 SLSHA each filed Supplements to their intervention requests, including resolutions by the respective
27 associations authorizing specified individuals to represent their interests.

28 On September 16, 2011, HTCA, SF1ACA, LPSHA, and NRHA each filed Supplements to

1 their intervention requests, including resolutions by the respective associations authorizing specified
2 individuals to represent their interests.

3 On September 19, 2011, CSHA, KPCA, and SMHA each filed Supplements to their
4 intervention requests, including resolutions by the respective associations authorizing specified
5 individuals to represent their interests.

6 On September 19, 2011, the procedural conference was conducted as scheduled. During the
7 procedural conference, intervention was granted to ATHA, CF2POA, CF3HA CCA, GRSHA,
8 HTCA, LPSHA, NRCA, RRHA, SLSHA, SVCA, SF1ACA, SF2CA, SF3CA, and SEP13CA, and
9 SCGCA was designated as the class representative for those associations. Intervention was also
10 granted to Surprise, KPCA, CRHA, SMHA, and Ms. Wolcott. Other issues discussed at the
11 procedural conference were the possibility of additional class designation for the remaining
12 homeowners associations; EPCOR's intervention request; Mr. Hewitt's Motion to Compel
13 Testimony; and possible hearing dates.

14 **Remaining Requests for Intervention by Homeowners Associations**

15 No representatives appeared at the procedural conference on behalf of the following
16 remaining homeowners associations that have requested intervention: CSEHA, ARHA, JEHA, SHA,
17 SF5CA, and WMHA. In accordance with the Procedural Orders issued August 17, 18, 19, and 25,
18 2011, intervention may not be granted to those associations until such time as they comply with the
19 requirements regarding the filing of authorization for lay representation, or the filing of a designation
20 of counsel on their behalf.

21 As has been stated in several prior Procedural Orders, pursuant to Arizona Supreme Court
22 Rule 31(d)(28), a non-profit organization may be represented before the Commission by a corporate
23 officer, employee, or a member who is not an active member of the state bar, if (1) the non-profit
24 organization has specifically authorized the officer, employee, or member to represent it in the
25 particular matter; (2) such representation is not the person's primary duty to the non-profit
26 organization, but is secondary or incidental to such person's duties relating to the management or
27 operation of the non-profit organization; and (3) the person is not receiving separate or additional
28 compensation (other than reimbursement for costs) for such representation. Arizona Supreme Court

1 Rule 31(d)(28) further states that the Commission or presiding officer may require counsel in lieu of
2 lay representation whenever it is determined that lay representation is interfering with the orderly
3 progress of the proceeding, imposing undue burdens on the other parties, or causing harm to the
4 parties represented.

5 If the various homeowners and community associations that have sought intervention wish to
6 be represented in this matter by qualified lay representatives in lieu of representation by counsel in
7 this matter, they must each docket a specific authorization, such as a board resolution, for a specific
8 lay person meeting the requirements of Arizona Supreme Court Rule 31(d)(28) to represent them in
9 this matter. Without such authorization, the Commission may not, pursuant to Arizona Supreme
10 Court Rule 31(d)(28), allow a lay person to appear and represent an association in this proceeding.
11 Alternatively, the association may obtain counsel for representation, either individually or
12 collectively.

13 **Remaining Requests for Intervention by Individual Customers**

14 Aside from Mr. Hewitt and Ms. Wolcott, none of the other individual customers that have
15 requested intervention appeared at the September 19, 2011 procedural conference. Those individuals,
16 Mr. and Mrs. Corpus, Mr. and Mrs. Botha, Mr. and Mrs. Plummer, Mr. and Mrs. Decatur, Mr. and
17 Mrs. Duffy, Mr. and Mrs. Parr, Mr. Albertson, and Mr. O'Neal, shall be granted intervention at this
18 time, and shall not be required to participate as a class; however, to the extent they decide to
19 participate fully as intervenors, they will be required to comply with dates and deadlines established
20 for this proceeding including the pre-filed testimony deadlines. If the individuals prefer to offer
21 public comment in lieu of full intervenor participation they may do so on the first day of hearing.

22 **EPCOR Intervention**

23 EPCOR is in the process of acquiring the common stock of Arizona-American and New
24 Mexico-American Water Company, Inc., through a Stock Purchase Agreement with Arizona-
25 American's parent company, American Water Works Company, Inc. EPCOR claims that it will be
26 directly and substantially affected by these proceedings and that it should be granted intervention "so
27 that it can examine materials presented by the parties, cross-examine witnesses, and present
28 testimony and exhibits of its own..." (EPCOR Application for Leave to Intervene, at 2.) EPCOR

1 asserts that its participation will not unduly broaden the nature or scope of the proceeding, or further
2 delay the proceeding.

3 RUCO, SCGCA, and Mr. Hewitt oppose EPCOR's intervention on the basis that EPCOR was
4 not deprived of notice, and therefore could have intervened by the original deadline; EPCOR may
5 seek to offer duplicative testimony and evidence, and would effectively be a "co-applicant" with
6 Arizona-American; and EPCOR's intervention may require additional expense to be incurred by
7 existing intervenors to respond to evidence presented by EPCOR.

8 EPCOR has stated a direct and substantial interest in this proceeding by virtue of its pending
9 request to acquire the common stock of Arizona-American which, if successful, would leave EPCOR
10 as the successor in interest to the rates, charges and conditions under which it would be required to
11 operate the Company. With respect to the scope of EPCOR's intervention, it has stated that its
12 participation will not unduly broaden or delay the proceeding, and attempts to do so by EPCOR may
13 be addressed through rulings made prior to or during the hearing. EPCOR shall therefore be granted
14 intervention.

15 **Hewitt Motion to Compel Testimony**

16 In his Motion, Mr. Hewitt seeks to compel testimony by specific individuals to answer
17 questions regarding the notice issues that resulted in postponement of the evidentiary hearing in this
18 case. In response, Arizona-American filed written testimony by Kevin Tilden to address issues
19 related to notice to customers. If, during the hearing, Mr. Tilden is unable to respond adequately to
20 questions raised regarding the prior notice deficiencies, the Company may be directed to provide
21 additional witnesses or testimony on the issue. At this time, however, Mr. Hewitt's Motion will be
22 denied.

23 **Hearing and Testimony Filing Dates**

24 On September 16, 2011, Arizona-American filed a Notice of Proposed Procedural Schedule
25 which requested that new intervenor testimony be ordered to be filed by October 28, 2011; that
26 Company rebuttal testimony be filed by November 10, 2011; that surrebuttal testimony be filed by
27 December 1, 2011; and that the hearing commence on December 5, 2011.

28 During the September 19, 2011, procedural conference, Arizona-American's proposal was

1 discussed. The primary opponent of the proposed procedural schedule is SCGCA, which argued,
2 among other things, that any additional delays in the schedule of this case are due solely to the
3 Company's failure to provide notice to all its affected customers; that SCGCA needs additional time
4 to confer with the additional homeowners associations granted intervention and included within the
5 class represented by SCGCA; that SCGCA needs additional time to retain expert consultants, and
6 needs at least 90 days for discovery after additional expert witnesses are retained on behalf of the
7 class of homeowners associations; and that, generally, due process requires that the testimony filing
8 deadlines and hearing date be set substantially later than the dates proposed by the Company. Joining
9 SCGCA in opposition to Arizona-American's proposed schedule were RUCO, CRHA, Mr. Hewitt,
10 and other homeowners associations. Staff took no position.

11 Arizona-American's proposed date for commencement of the evidentiary hearing is
12 reasonable based on the entirety of circumstances surrounding this case. The hearing will therefore
13 be scheduled to start on December 5, 2011, with additional hearing days as needed on December 6, 7,
14 8, 9, 12, 15, and 16, 2011.

15 However, the Company's proposed dates for filing of direct testimony by new intervenors,
16 and subsequent responsive testimony filing dates, will be modified to allow the new intervenors
17 additional time to conduct discovery and prepare testimony. Direct testimony by new intervenors
18 will be due by no later than November 10, 2011; Company rebuttal testimony will be due by no later
19 than November 17, 2011; and surrebuttal testimony by any party responding to new intervenors
20 and/or the Company will be due by no later than December 1, 2011.

21 In establishing this procedural schedule, notice was taken of the timeframe generally
22 permitted in Class A rate cases between the deadline for intervention and the filing date for initial
23 direct testimony by intervenors. The schedule set forth above allows 78 days between the revised
24 intervention deadline (August 24, 2011) and the filing date for new intervenor direct testimony
25 (November 10, 2011); whereas the original time period in this case between the intervention deadline
26 (April 1, 2011) and the intervenor direct testimony deadline (June 20, 2011) was 80 days, a difference
27 of only two days. Recent procedural schedules established in other Class A rate cases have permitted
28 substantially shorter periods between intervention deadlines and the due date for intervenor direct

1 testimony. For example, in the pending rate proceeding for Arizona Public Service Company
2 (Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224), a period of 39 days was established between the deadline for
3 intervention and the intervenor direct testimony filing date. (Procedural Order issued July 29, 2011.)
4 In a recent case involving Southwest Gas Corporation (Docket No. G-01551A-10-0458), an even
5 shorter 25-day period was allowed between the intervention deadline and the intervenor direct
6 testimony date. (Procedural Order issued January 7, 2011). Also taken into account in setting the
7 procedural schedule was the unavailability of RUCO personnel during the final two weeks of 2011,
8 the lack of available hearing room space in early 2012, and the additional burden on Commission and
9 Staff resources that would occur if the hearing is delayed further.

10 In addition, the principal opponent of the Company's proposed schedule, SCGCA, intervened
11 early in the proceeding and retained an expert who has filed both direct and surrebuttal testimony. It
12 is likely that the additional associations now represented by SCGCA have very similar, if not the
13 same, interests in this case as does SCGCA. Thus, SCGCA is not starting from scratch with its
14 participation and should have sufficient time to prepare for hearing. Further, the procedural schedule
15 adopted above allows SCGCA and other new intervenors an additional two weeks to file direct
16 testimony beyond what was proposed by Arizona-American.

17 Based on all of these factors, the procedural schedule set forth above allows a reasonable
18 opportunity for discovery and preparation of testimony and does not deny due process to any party.

19 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the evidentiary hearing shall be scheduled to**
20 **commence on December 5, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room**
21 **No. 1, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Additional hearing days shall be**
22 **scheduled, as needed, on December 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, and 16, 2011.**

23 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a prehearing conference shall be scheduled for**
24 **December 2, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., for scheduling witnesses and the conduct of the hearing.**

25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that direct testimony and associated exhibits to be presented**
26 **at hearing by new intervenors shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before November 10,**
27 **2011.**

28 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that rebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be**

1 presented at hearing on behalf of Arizona-American shall be reduced to writing and filed on or
2 before November 17, 2011.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that surrebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be
4 presented at hearing on behalf of any party responding to new intervenors and/or the Company
5 shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before December 1, 2011.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that ATHA, CF2POA, CF3HA CCA, GRSHA, HTCA,
7 LPSHA, NRCA, RRHA, SLSHA, SVCA, SF1ACA, SF2CA, SF3CA, and SEP13CA are granted
8 intervention, and SCGCA is designated as the class representative for those associations.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Surprise, KPCA, CRHA, SMHA, and Ms. Wolcott are
10 granted intervention.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that CSEHA, ARHA, JEHA, SHA, SF5CA, and WMHA are
12 denied intervention, at this time, pending compliance with Procedural Orders issued August 17, 18,
13 19, and 25, 2011, which require associations to file authorization for lay representation, or to file a
14 designation of counsel on their behalf.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. and Mrs. Corpus, Mr. and Mrs. Botha, Mr. and
16 Mrs. Plummer, Mr. and Mrs. Decatur, Mr. and Mrs. Duffy, Mr. and Mrs. Parr, Mr. Albertson,
17 and Mr. O'Neal, shall be granted intervention at this time, and shall not be required to participate
18 as a class; however, to the extent they decide to participate fully as intervenors, they will be required
19 to comply with dates and deadlines established for this proceeding including the pre-filed testimony
20 deadlines. If the individuals prefer to offer public comment in lieu of full intervenor participation
21 they may do so on the first day of hearing.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Hewitt's Motion to Compel is denied.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules
24 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission
25 *pro hac vice*.

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance
27 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
28 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances

1 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is
2 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
3 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized
5 Communications) continues to apply to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the
6 Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,
8 or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
9 hearing.

10 DATED this 23rd day of September, 2011.

11
12 
13 _____
14 DWIGHT D. NODES
ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

15 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
16 this 23rd day of September, 2011 to:

17 Thomas H. Campbell
18 Michael T. Hallam
LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
40 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorneys for Arizona-American Water Co.

Curtis S. Ekmark
EKMARK & EKMARK, L.L.C.
6720 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 261
Scottsdale, AZ 85253
Attorneys for SCGCA

19 Michelle Wood
20 RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE
1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Michele L. Van Quathem
RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE, P.A.
One North Central Avenue Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004-4417
Attorneys for Verrado and DMB

21 Greg Patterson, Director
22 WATER UTILITY ASSOCIATION
OF ARIZONA
916 West Adams, Suite 3
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Peggy H. Rahkola
THE ARIZONA TRADITIONS
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
17221 N. Citrus
Surprise, AZ 85374

24 Joan S. Burke
25 LAW OFFICE OF JOAN S. BURKE
1650 North First Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85003
Attorney for Corte Bella

Jim Weihman
THE HAPPY TRAILS
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION
17200 W. Bell Road
Surprise, AZ 85374

26 Kenneth Hewitt
27 18729 North Palermo Court
Surprise, AZ 85387

Nicholas Mascia
THE SURPRISE FARMS III
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION
1600 W. Broadway Rd., Suite 200
Tempe, AZ 85282

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | Peter and Rochanee Corpus 8425 N. 181 st Drive Waddell, AZ 85355 | Jan Garcia SYCAMORE ESTATES PARCEL 13 COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION 1600 W Broadway Rd, Suite 200 Tempe, AZ 85282 |
| 2 | William B. Lipscomb KINGSWOOD PARKE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION 14976 W. Bottletree Ave Surprise, AZ 85374 | Jared Evenson CROSS RIVER HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION 1600 W Broadway Rd, Suite 200 Tempe, AZ 85282 |
| 3 | Kevin Chiariello GREER RANCH SOUTH HOA 16074 W. Christy Surprise, AZ 85379 | Timothy L. Duffy Cindy J. Duffy 19997 N. Half Moon Drive Surprise, AZ 85374 |
| 4 | Frederick G. Botha Mary L. Botha 23024 N. Giovota Drive Sun City West, AZ 85375 | Mike Smith SIERRA MONTANA HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION c/o Rossmar & Graham 15396 N 83 rd Ave., Bldg. B, Suite 101 Peoria, AZ 85381 |
| 5 | Michael D. Bailey, City Attorney CITY OF SURPRISE 16000 N. Civic Center Plaza Surprise, AZ 85374 | Susan Harr SUMMERFIELD AT LITCHFIELD SUBDIVISION HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION 13201 N. 35 th Ave., Suite B-3 Phoenix, AZ 85029 |
| 6 | Mike Albertson 6634 N. 176 th Ave. Waddell, AZ 85355 | Dana Rosenbaum SURPRISE FARMS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, PHASE 1A P.O. Box 25466 Tempe, AZ 85285-5466 |
| 7 | Brian O'Neal 21373 W. Brittle Bush Lane Buckeye, AZ 85396 | Jerome M. Ellison II CORTESSA COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION P.O. Box 25466 Tempe, AZ 85285-5466 |
| 8 | Craig and Nancy Plummer 17174 W. Saguaro Lane Surprise, AZ 85388 | Jeanne Stockard NORTHWEST RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION 4742 N 24 th St, Suite 325 Phoenix, AZ 85016 |
| 9 | William and Erin Parr 18044 W. Georgia Ct. Litchfield Park, AZ 85034 | Jay L. Shapiro Patrick J. Black FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 N Central Ave., Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorneys for EPCOR Water (USA), Inc. |
| 10 | Sharon Wolcott 20117 N Painted Cove Lane Surprise, AZ 85387 | Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 |
| 11 | Owen Dejanovich CLEARWATER FARMS THREE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION P.O. Box 72 Waddell, AZ 85355 | Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 |
| 12 | Thomas and Laurie Decatur 924 Torridon Ct. Pickerington, OH 43147 | |
| 13 | Jim Oravetz LEGACY PARC SOUTH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION 1600 W Broadway Rd, Suite 200 Tempe, AZ 85282 | |
| 14 | Stan Mucha THE SUN VILLAGE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION 17300 N Sun Village Pkwy Surprise, AZ 85374 | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

1 ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
2200 North Central Ave., Suite 502
Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481

2 **PENDING INTERVENTION REQUESTS**

3 Ken Peterson
4 JACKRABBIT ESTATES
5 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
1600 W Broadway Rd, Suite 200
Tempe, AZ 85282

6 Mike Orose
7 THE CRYSTAL SPRINGS ESTATES
8 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
8407 N. 178th Ave
Waddell, AZ 8535

9 Paul Briningstool
10 ASHTON RANCH
11 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
12 P.O. Box 9151
Surprise, AZ 85374

13 G. Thomas Hennessy
14 SAVANNAH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
15 1600 W Broadway Rd, Suite 200
16 Tempe, AZ 85282

17 G. Thomas Hennessy
18 SURPRISE FARMS 5
19 COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION
20 1600 W Broadway Rd, Suite 200
21 Tempe, AZ 85282

22 Dana Miller
23 WESTERN MEADOWS
24 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
25 1600 W Broadway Rd, Suite 200
26 Tempe, AZ 85282

27
28
By: 
Debbi Person
Assistant to Dwight Nodes