

ORIGINAL OPEN MEETING



0000129928

MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

TO: THE COMMISSION

2011 SEP 22 P 2:35

SEP 22 2011

FROM: Utilities Division

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKETED BY

DATE: September 22, 2011

RE: JOHNSON UTILITIES, LLC DBA JOHNSON UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN INCREASE IN ITS WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES – PROPOSED AMOUNT FOR CAGR D ADJUSTOR FEES (DOCKET NO. WS-02987A-08-0180)

I. Introduction

On August 25, 2011, pursuant to Decision No. 71854 (August 25, 2010), Johnson Utilities LLC dba Johnson Utilities Company (“Johnson” or “Company”) filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission (“Commission”) the Company's proposed Central Arizona Groundwater Replenishment District (“CAGR D”) adjustor fees for the Phoenix and Pinal Active Management Areas (“AMAs”).

Decision No. 71854 authorized the Company to implement an adjustor mechanism, subject to certain conditions, to recover the costs paid to the CAGR D for replenishment of excess groundwater. The conditions require the Company to submit, by August 25 of each year, for Commission consideration, its proposed CAGR D fees for the next twelve-month period (along with documentation from the relevant state agencies to support the calculations), to apply to all water sold after October 1.¹ Accordingly, the Company made the instant filing.

II. Background

The CAGR D was established by the Arizona legislature to serve as a groundwater replenishment entity for its members and provides a mechanism for designated water supply providers such as Johnson to demonstrate a 100-year water supply. Members pay the CAGR D to replenish any groundwater pumped by the member that exceeds the specified pumping limits. The CAGR D is recognized as an important tool in Arizona's groundwater conservation efforts.

III. Annual Membership Dues

Subsequent to the implementation of the Company's initial adjustor, the Arizona legislature enacted Arizona Revised Statutes § 48-3779, which authorized the CAGR D to charge annual membership dues (“AMDs”) “on all parcels of member lands and on all municipal providers having a member service area,” in addition to the charges for replenishment of excess groundwater. The sole purpose of the AMDs is “to pay costs associated with the acquisition,

¹ Dec. No. 71854 at 38-39, Condition Nos. 1 and 7.

lease or exchange of water or water rights and development of infrastructure necessary for the district to perform its replenishment obligations.”²

On May 5, 2011, a meeting was held at the Commission’s offices, attended by members of CAGRDR staff, Commission Utilities Division (“Staff”), Sahuarita Water Company LLC (“Sahuarita”) and Johnson.³ CAGRDR staff presented the AMD policy, the methodology in which it is calculated and the timing of the charges (the AMDs are included as a line item on the annual invoice, along with the assessment for excess groundwater).

As noted by the Commission in Decision No. 71854 regarding approval of the replenishment assessment, “Conservation and wise stewardship of increasingly stressed water supplies is a matter of paramount concern in Arizona, and we believe that it is important to send appropriate signals to water companies regarding their duty to fully engage in conservation programs administered by the ADWR.”⁴ And, like the already-approved CAGRDR fees, the AMDs are not discretionary for the designated provider. Therefore, Staff concludes that the inclusion of the AMDs in the calculation of the CAGRDR adjustor is appropriate and in the public interest.

IV. Calculation of the CAGRDR Adjustor Fees

Condition No. 6 ordered that the adjustor fees be calculated as follows: “The total CAGRDR fees for the most current year in the Phoenix AMA shall be divided by the gallons sold in that year to determine a CAGRDR fee per 1,000 gallons. Similarly, the total CAGRDR fees for the most current year in the Pinal AMA shall be divided by the gallons sold in that year to determine a CAGRDR fee per 1,000 gallons.”⁵

Condition Nos. 2 and 3 ordered that the Company “place all CAGRDR monies collected from customers in a separate, interest bearing account (“CAGRDR account”),” only to be withdrawn for the annual payment to the CAGRDR, due on October 15 of each year.

The Company’s tariff provides for an annual true-up at the time of the adjustor reset each year.

The Company proposes a rate of \$1.134 per thousand gallons (“kgal”) for its customers in the Phoenix AMA and a rate of \$0.259 per kgal for the Pinal AMA. Staff has reviewed the Company’s proposed calculations and the supporting documentation submitted with its request. Staff disagrees in several respects with the methodology employed by the Company to calculate the true-up and, hence, also disagrees with the resulting proposed adjustor rates.

² Arizona Revised Statutes § 48-3779(B).

³ As designated providers with member service areas, both Johnson and Sahuarita are subject to the newly-imposed membership dues.

⁴ Dec. No. 71854 at 43:23-44:2.

⁵ *Id.* at 38.

First, in reporting the amount of fees collected, the Company included only the water billed as of July 31, 2011.⁶ Unfortunately, that methodology fails to account for the entire twelve-month period of collections, which continues through September 30, 2011. Additionally, rather than reporting the actual amount of money collected during the period, the Company instead reported total billings less write-offs, which includes fees that were billed but not actually collected. Further, the Company neglected to include the interest earned on the CAGRDR account. The end result is an understatement of the total fees collected for the period and an inaccurate true-up amount.

Second, rather than comparing the amount of fees collected to the amount paid to CAGRDR in 2010 (for 2009), the amount on which the present adjustor was based, the Company instead compares the fees collected to the charges currently being assessed by the CAGRDR for 2010, which are due in October 2011, and which are used to calculate the new adjustor going forward. Again, the result is an inaccurate true-up amount.

Therefore, Staff hereby provides its calculations and recommended rates for Johnson's CAGRDR adjustor fees, discussed below and illustrated in the Attached Exhibits A and B.

Phoenix AMA

True-up

The CAGRDR invoice to Johnson for the 2009 annual replenishment assessment for the Phoenix AMA was \$1,637,956. Through additional discovery, Staff has determined that, as of July 31, 2011, the Company had collected CAGRDR fees of \$1,271,933 for the Phoenix AMA.⁷ Staff has calculated an estimate for the funds still to be collected for water sold in August and September by applying the present adjustor rate of \$0.747 per kgal to the Company's water sales during these same months in 2010.⁸

⁶ Staff notes that, in the exhibit labeled "General Ledger" in the Company's application, the individual entries identified for each AMA total the balances used in the Company's adjustor calculations; however, the reported total of the credit entries, and the resulting reported account balance overall, appear to contain a mathematical error. (The Company reports an ending balance overall of \$1,398,605.60; but the individual entries, as well as the sums of the balances for each AMA total 1,398,591.40).

⁷ Calculated as total amount billed less amounts not collected, which, combined with the calculations for the Pinal AMA, reconciles to the Company's bank statement as of 7/31/11.

⁸ Staff also includes an estimate for water sold in July that is not yet billed as of 7/31/11.

This true-up calculation indicates an over-collection of approximately \$84,778, as follows:

A	2009 CAGR invoice	\$1,637,956	
B	Collections as of 7/31/11 (as reported)	1,271,933	
C	August collections (estimated)	92,226	246,923 kgal ⁹ x \$0.747/kgal x 50%
D	September collections (estimated)	180,513	241,651 kgal ¹⁰ x \$0.747/kgal
E	October collections (estimated)	176,614	236,431 kgal ¹¹ x \$0.747/kgal
F	Interest (estimated through 9/30/11)	<u>1,448</u>	
G	Total collections for 2009	1,722,734	
H	2009 CAGR over/(under) collected [G-A]	\$84,778	

Adjustor Rate

The CAGR invoice to Johnson for 2010 for the Phoenix AMA indicates total fees and dues of \$1,921,311. The Company's 2010 annual report as submitted to ADWR indicates annual water sales of 6,742.37 af, or 2,197,008 kgal.¹²

Staff's calculation of the new CAGR adjustor fee is shown below. The fee for a customer in the Phoenix AMA is \$0.84 per kgal.

A	2010 CAGR invoice	\$1,921,311
B	Less over-collection for 2009	<u>84,778</u>
C	Amount to be recovered [A - B]	1,836,533
D	Total kgal sold in 2010	2,197,008
E	Charge per kgal [C ÷ D]	\$0.84

Pinal AMA

True-up

The CAGR invoice to Johnson for the 2009 annual replenishment assessment for the Pinal AMA was \$58,320. Through additional discovery, Staff has determined that, as of July 31, 2011, the Company had collected CAGR fees of \$47,025 for the Pinal AMA.¹³ Staff has calculated an estimate for the funds still to be collected for water sold in August and September

⁹ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to the Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR"): 757.78 acre-foot ("af") sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 246,923 kgal sold.

¹⁰ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 741.6 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 241,651 kgal sold.

¹¹ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 725.6 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 236,431 kgal sold.

¹² 6,742.37 a-f x 325.851 kgal/af = 2,197,008 kgal.

¹³ Calculated as total amount billed less amounts not collected, which, combined with the calculations for the Phoenix AMA, reconciles to the Company's bank statement as of 7/31/11.

by applying the present adjustor rate of \$0.252 per kgal to the Company's water sales during these same months in 2010.¹⁴

This true-up calculation indicates an over-collection of approximately \$3,872, as follows:

A	2009 CAGR invoice	\$58,320	
B	Collections as of 7/31/11 (as reported)	45,720	
C	August collections (estimated)	3,342	26,528 kgal ¹⁵ x \$0.252/kgal x 50%
D	September collections (estimated)	6,682	26,514 kgal ¹⁶ x \$0.252/kgal
E	October collections (estimated)	<u>6,448</u>	25,586 kgal ¹⁷ x \$0.252/kgal
F	Total collections for 2009	62,192	
G	2009 CAGR over/(under) collected [F-A]	\$3,872	

Adjustor Fee

The CAGR invoice to Johnson for 2010 for the Pinal AMA indicates total fees and dues of \$53,963. The Company's 2010 annual report as submitted to ADWR indicates annual water sales of 721.29 af, or 235,033 kgal.¹⁸

Staff's calculation of the new CAGR adjustor fee is shown below. The fee for a customer in the Pinal AMA is \$0.21 per kgal.

A	2010 CAGR invoice	\$53,963
B	Less over-collection for 2009	<u>3,872</u>
C	Amount to be recovered [A - B]	50,091
D	Total kgal sold in 2010	235,033
E	Charge per kgal [C ÷ D]	\$0.21

V. Filing Dates

The conditions set forth in Decision No. 71854 established certain dates regarding the CAGR adjustor. As previously noted, the Company is required to file for its annual adjustor re-set by August 25 each year and the new adjustor rate is applicable to all water sold after October 1. Additionally, Condition No. 4 requires the Company to file a semi-annual report due

¹⁴ Staff also includes an estimate for water sold in July that is not yet billed as of 7/31/11.

¹⁵ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 81.41 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 26,528 kgal sold.

¹⁶ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 81.37 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 26,514 kgal sold.

¹⁷ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 78.52 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 25,586 kgal sold.

¹⁸ 721.29 af x 325.851 kgal/af = 235,033 kgal.

during the last week of October and the last week of April of each year, detailing the CAGR D fees collected and assessments paid.¹⁹

Since the adjustor was a newly-created mechanism for both Sahuarita and Johnson, the current reset applications are the first to be submitted. Upon review of the submissions, Staff now has some concerns regarding the appropriateness of the filing dates. Staff originally recommended the August 25 date to correspond to the CAGR D's invoicing of its members. However, as is apparent from the calculations, filing on that date requires the Company to estimate several months of collections and essentially necessitates a "true-up" of the true-up in the following year. Also, it may be more efficient to time the filing of the semiannual report to coincide with the reset filing.

Therefore, Staff intends to meet with both Sahuarita and Johnson to discuss alternatives that might streamline the process and eliminate the use of estimates in the calculations. If it is determined that changes to the conditions in the original order are appropriate, Staff will bring those recommendations to the Commission at a later date.

VI. Conclusions and Recommendations

Staff concludes that the Company has duly filed the appropriate request to revise the CAGR D adjustor fees, as required by Decision No. 71854.

Staff concludes that inclusion of the AMDs in the calculation of the CAGR D adjustor fees is appropriate.

Staff recommends approval of the CAGR D adjustor fees as described herein.

Staff recommends that the Company file, within 7 days of a Decision in this matter, a CAGR D adjustor fee tariff consistent with the rates approved herein.

Staff recommends that the Company notify its customers of the CAGR D adjustor fee tariff approved herein within 30 days from the effective date of the Decision.

¹⁹ Dec. No. 71854 at 38.

THE COMMISSION

September 22, 2011

Page 7

Staff recommends that the CAGR D adjustor fees authorized herein become effective for all billings after October 1, 2011.



Steven M. Olea
Director
Utilities Division

SMO:NLS:lh\RM

Originator: Nancy Scott

Exhibit A

Johnson Utilities - Phoenix AMA
 Docket No. WS-02987A-08-0180

2009 invoice	\$ 1,637,956	
<u>Received</u>		
As of 7/31/11	\$ 1,271,933	(for water sold through Jul 15, per Co's reported collections as of 7/31/11: \$1,360,864.03 - 79,633.45 - 9,297.42)
August	\$ 92,226	(for water sold Jul 15-Jul 31, based on 50% of Jul 2010 sales: 757.78 af x 325.851 kgal/af x \$0.747/kgal x 0.5)
September	\$ 180,513	(for water sold in Aug, based on Aug 2010 sales: 741.6 af x 325.851 kgal/af x \$0.747/kgal)
October	\$ 176,614	(for water sold in Sep, based on Sep 2010 sales: 725.58 af x 325.851 kgal/af x \$0.747/kgal)
Interest earned	\$ 1,118	(per Co's reported interest as of 7/31/11)
Estimated interest	\$ 330	(estimated additional interest as of 9/30/11)
Total	\$ 1,722,734	
Over-recovery	\$ 84,778	(1,722,734 - 1,637,956)
2010 invoice	\$ 1,921,311	
To be recovered	\$ 1,836,533	(1,921,311 - 84,778)
2010 kgal sold	2,197,008	(6742.37 af x 325.851 kgal/af)
Adjustor rate	\$ 0.84	(1,836,533 ÷ 2,197,008)

Exhibit B

Johnson Utilities - Pinal AMA
 Docket No. WS-02987A-08-0180

2009 invoice	\$ 58,320	
Received		
As of 7/31/11	\$ 45,720	(for water sold through Jul 15, per Co's reported collections as of 7/31/11: \$47,085.35 - 1,304.35 - 60.56)
August	\$ 3,342	(for water sold Jul 15-Jul 31, based on 50% of Jul 2010 sales: 81.41 af x 325.851 kgal/af x \$0.252/kgal x 0.5)
September	\$ 6,682	(for water sold in Aug, based on Aug 2010 sales: 81.37 af x 325.851 kgal/af x \$0.252/kgal)
October	\$ 6,448	(for water sold in Sep, based on Sep 2010 sales: 78.52 af x 325.851 kgal/af x \$0.252/kgal)
Total	\$ 62,192	
Over-recovery	\$ 3,872	(62,192 - 58,320)
2010 invoice	\$ 53,963	
To be recovered	\$ 50,091	(53,963 - 3,872)
2010 kgal sold	235,033	(721.29 af x 325.851 kgal/af)
Adjustor rate	\$ 0.21	(50,091 ÷ 235,033)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

- GARY PIERCE
Chairman
- BOB STUMP
Commissioner
- SANDRA D. KENNEDY
Commissioner
- PAUL NEWMAN
Commissioner
- BRENDA BURNS
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
 OF JOHNSON UTILITIES, LLC DBA
 JOHNSON UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN
 INCREASE IN ITS WATER AND
 WASTEWATER RATES ,

DOCKET NO. WS-02987A-08-0180
 DECISION NO. _____
ORDER

Open Meeting
 October 11 and 12, 2011
 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

A. Introduction

1. On August 25, 2011, pursuant to Decision No. 71854 (August 25, 2010), Johnson Utilities, LLC dba Johnson Utilities Company ("Johnson" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") the Company's proposed Central Arizona Groundwater Replenishment District ("CAGRDR") adjustor fees for the Phoenix and Pinal Active Management Areas ("AMAs").

2. Decision No. 71854 authorized the Company to implement an adjustor mechanism, subject to certain conditions, to recover the costs paid to the CAGRDR for replenishment of excess groundwater.

3. The conditions require the Company to submit, by August 25 of each year, for Commission consideration, its proposed CAGRDR fees for the next twelve-month period (along

...
...

1 with documentation from the relevant state agencies to support the calculations), to apply to all
2 water sold after October 1.¹

3 4. Accordingly, the Company made the instant filing.

4 **B. Background**

5 5. The CAGR D was established by the Arizona legislature to serve as a groundwater
6 replenishment entity for its members and provides a mechanism for designated water supply
7 providers such as Johnson to demonstrate a 100-year water supply.

8 6. Members pay the CAGR D to replenish any groundwater pumped by the member that
9 exceeds the specified pumping limits.

10 7. The CAGR D is recognized as an important tool in Arizona's groundwater conservation
11 efforts.

12 **C. Annual Membership Dues**

13 8. Subsequent to the implementation of the Company's initial adjustor, the Arizona
14 legislature enacted Arizona Revised Statutes § 48-3779, which authorized the CAGR D to charge
15 annual membership dues ("AMDs") "on all parcels of member lands and on all municipal
16 providers having a member service area," in addition to the charges for replenishment of excess
17 groundwater.

18 9. The sole purpose of the AMDs is "to pay costs associated with the acquisition, lease or
19 exchange of water or water rights and development of infrastructure necessary for the district to
20 perform its replenishment obligations."²

21 10. On May 5, 2011, a meeting was held at the Commission's offices, attended by
22 members of CAGR D staff, Commission Utilities Division ("Staff"), Sahuarita Water Company
23 LLC ("Sahuarita") and Johnson.³ CAGR D staff presented the AMD policy, the methodology in
24 which it is calculated and the timing of the charges (the AMDs are included as a line item on the
25 annual invoice, along with the assessment for excess groundwater). Also discussed were ways that
26 a water provider could reduce the amount of AMDs and replenishment taxes owed, such as by
27 securing its own renewable water supplies for use instead of groundwater.

28 ¹ Dec. No. 71854 at 38-39.

² Arizona Revised Statutes § 48-3779(B).

³ As designated providers with member service areas, both Johnson and Sahuarita are subject to the newly-imposed membership dues.

1 11. As noted by the Commission in Decision No. 71854 regarding approval of the
2 replenishment assessment, "Conservation and wise stewardship of increasingly stressed water
3 supplies is a matter of paramount concern in Arizona, and we believe that it is important to send
4 appropriate signals to water companies regarding their duty to fully engage in conservation
5 programs administered by the ADWR."⁴ And, like the already-approved CAGR D fees, the AMDs
6 are not discretionary for the designated provider.

7 12. Therefore, Staff concludes that the inclusion of the AMDs in the calculation of the
8 CAGR D adjustor is appropriate and in the public interest.

9 **D. Calculation of the CAGR D Adjustor Fees**

10 13. Condition No. 6 ordered that the adjustor fees be calculated as follows: "The total
11 CAGR D fees for the most current year in the Phoenix AMA shall be divided by the gallons sold in
12 that year to determine a CAGR D fee per 1,000 gallons. Similarly, the total CAGR D fees for the
13 most current year in the Pinal AMA shall be divided by the gallons sold in that year to determine a
14 CAGR D fee per 1,000 gallons."⁵

15 14. Condition Nos. 2 and 3 ordered that the Company "place all CAGR D monies
16 collected from customers in a separate, interest bearing account ("CAGR D Account")," only to be
17 withdrawn for the annual payment to the CAGR D, due on October 15 of each year.

18 15. In addition, although the order did not contain an explicit provision for a true-up,
19 the parties were in agreement that such an annual true-up would occur at the time of the adjustor
20 reset each year.

21 16. The Company proposes a rate of \$1.134 per thousand gallons ("kgal") for its
22 customers in the Phoenix AMA and a rate \$0.259 per kgal for the Pinal AMA.

23 17. Staff has reviewed the Company's proposed calculations and the supporting
24 documentation submitted with its request. Staff disagrees in several respects with the
25 ...

26 _____
27 ⁴ Arizona Revised Statutes § 48-3779(B).

28 ⁵ As designated providers with member service areas, both Johnson and Sahuarita Water Company LLC are subject to the newly-imposed membership dues.

1 methodology employed by the Company to calculate the true-up and, hence, also disagrees with
2 the resulting proposed adjustor rates.

3 18. First, in reporting the amount of fees collected, the Company included only the
4 water billed as of July 31, 2011.⁶ Unfortunately, that methodology fails to account for the entire
5 twelve-month period of collections, which continues through September 30, 2011. Additionally,
6 rather than reporting the actual amount of money collected during the period, the Company instead
7 reported total billings less write-offs, which includes fees that were billed but not actually
8 collected. Further, the Company neglected to include the interest earned on the CAGR D account.
9 The end result is an understatement of the total fees collected for the period and an inaccurate true-
10 up amount.

11 19. Second, rather than comparing the amount of fees collected to the amount paid to
12 CAGR D in 2010 (for 2009), the amount on which the present adjustor was based, the Company
13 instead compares the fees collected to the charges currently being assessed by the CAGR D for
14 2010, which are due in October 2011, and which are used to calculate the new adjustor going
15 forward. Again, the result is an inaccurate true-up amount.

16 20. Consequently, Staff provided its calculations and recommended rates for Johnson's
17 CAGR D adjustor fees, as described below.

18 Phoenix AMA

19 *True-up*

20 21. The CAGR D invoice to Johnson for the 2009 annual replenishment assessment for
21 the Phoenix AMA was \$1,637,956.

22 22. Through additional discovery, Staff determined that, as of July 31, 2011, the
23 Company had collected CAGR D fees of \$1,271,933 for the Phoenix AMA.⁷ Staff calculated an
24 _____

25 ⁶ Staff notes that, in the exhibit labeled "General Ledger" in the Company's application, the individual
26 entries identified for each AMA total the balances used in the Company's adjustor calculations; however,
27 the reported total of the credit entries, and the resulting reported account balance overall, appear to contain
28 a mathematical error. (The Company reports an ending balance overall of \$1,398,605.60; but the individual
entries, as well as the sums of the balances for each AMA total 1,398,591.40).

⁷ Calculated as total amount billed less amounts not collected, which, combined with the calculations for the
Pinal AMA, reconciles to the Company's bank statement as of 7/31/11.

1 estimate for the funds still to be collected for water sold in August and September by applying the
 2 present adjustor rate of \$0.747 per kgal to the Company's water sales during these same months in
 3 2010.⁸

4 23. This true-up calculation indicates an over-collection of approximately \$84,778, as
 5 follows:

6	A	2009 CAGRD invoice	\$1,637,956	
7	B	Collections as of 7/31/11 (as reported)	1,271,933	
8	C	August collections (estimated)	92,226	246,923 kgal ⁹ x \$0.747/kgal x 50%
9	D	September collections (estimated)	180,513	241,651 kgal ¹⁰ x \$0.747/kgal
10	E	October collections (estimated)	176,614	236,431 kgal ¹¹ x \$0.747/kgal
11	F	Interest (estimated through 9/30/11)	<u>1,448</u>	
12	G	Total collections for 2009	1,722,734	
13	H	2009 CAGRD over/(under) collected [G-A]	\$84,778	

14 *Adjustor Fee*

15 24. The CAGRD invoice to Johnson for 2010 for the Phoenix AMA indicates total fees
 16 and dues of \$1,921,311.

17 25. The Company's 2010 annual report as submitted to ADWR indicates annual water
 18 sales of 6,742.37 af, or 2,197,008 kgal.¹²

19 26. Staff's calculation of the new CAGRD adjustor fee is shown below. The fee for a
 20 customer in the Phoenix AMA is \$0.84 per kgal.

21	A	2010 CAGRD invoice	\$1,921,311
22	B	Less over-collection for 2009	84,778
23	C	Amount to be recovered [A - B]	1,836,533
24	D	Total kgal sold in 2010	2,197,008
25	E	Charge per kgal [C ÷ D]	\$0.84

26 Pinal AMA

27 *True-up*

28 27. The CAGRD invoice to Johnson for the 2009 annual replenishment assessment for
 the Pinal AMA was \$58,320.

⁸ Staff also includes an estimate for water sold in July that is not yet billed as of 7/31/11.

⁹ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to the Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR"): 757.78 acre-feet ("af") sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 246,923 kgal sold.

¹⁰ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 741.6 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 241,651 kgal sold.

¹¹ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 725.6 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 236,431 kgal sold.

¹² 6,742.37 a-f x 325.851 kgal/af = 2,197,008 kgal.

1 28. Through additional discovery, Staff determined that, as of July 31, 2011, the
2 Company had collected CAGR D fees of \$47,025 for the Pinal AMA.¹³ Staff calculated an
3 estimate for the funds still to be collected for the water sold in August and September by applying
4 the present adjustor rate of \$0.252 per kgal to the Company's water sales during these same
5 months in 2010.¹⁴ This true-up calculation indicates an over-collection of approximately \$3,872,
6 as follows:

7	A	2009 CAGR D invoice	\$58,320	
	B	Collections as of 7/31/11 (as reported)	45,720	
8	C	Additional July collections (estimated)	3,342	26,528 kgal ¹⁵ x \$0.252/kgal x 50%
9	D	August collections (estimated)	6,682	26,514 kgal ¹⁶ x \$0.252/kgal
	E	September collections (estimated)	<u>6,448</u>	25,586 kgal ¹⁷ x \$0.252/kgal
10	F	Total collections for 2009	62,192	
11	G	2009 CAGR D over/(under) collected [F-A]	\$3,872	

12 *Adjustor Fee*

13 29. The CAGR D invoice to Johnson for 2010 for the Pinal AMA indicates total fees
14 and dues of \$53,963. The Company's 2010 annual report as submitted to ADWR indicates annual
15 water sales of 721.29 af, or 235,033 kgal.¹⁸

16 30. Staff's calculation of the new CAGR D adjustor fee is shown below. The fee for a
17 customer in the Pinal AMA is \$0.21 per kgal.

18	A	2010 CAGR D invoice	\$53,963
19	B	Less over-collection for 2009	<u>3,872</u>
	C	Amount to be recovered [A - B]	50,091
20	D	Total kgal sold in 2010	235,033
21	E	Charge per kgal [C ÷ D]	\$0.21

22 ...
23 ...
24 _____
25 ¹³ Calculated as total amount billed less amounts not collected, which, combined with the calculations for the Phoenix
26 AMA, reconciles to the Company's bank statement as of 7/31/11.

¹⁴ Staff also includes an estimate for water sold in July that is not yet billed as of 7/31/11.

¹⁵ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 81.41 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 26,528 kgal sold.

¹⁶ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 81.37 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 26,514 kgal sold.

¹⁷ Per the Company's 2010 annual report to ADWR: 78.52 af sold x 325.851 kgal/af = 25,586 kgal sold.

¹⁸ 721.29 af x 325.851 kgal/af = 235,033 kgal.

1 **E. Filing Dates**

2 31. The conditions set forth in Decision No. 71854 established certain dates regarding
3 the CAGR D adjustor. As previously noted, the Company is required to file for its annual adjustor
4 re-set by August 25 each year and the new adjustor rate is applicable to all water sold after October
5 1. Additionally, Condition No. 4 requires the Company to file a semi-annual report due during the
6 last week of October and the last week of April of each year, detailing the CAGR D fees collected
7 and assessments paid.¹⁹

8 32. Since the adjustor was a newly-created mechanism for both Sahuarita and Johnson,
9 the current reset applications are the first to be submitted. Upon review of the submissions, Staff
10 now has some concerns regarding the appropriateness of the filing dates. Staff originally
11 recommended the August 25 date to correspond to the CAGR D's invoicing of its members.
12 However, as is apparent from the calculations, filing on that date requires the Company to estimate
13 several months of collections and essentially necessitates a "true-up" of the true-up in the
14 following year. Also, it may be more efficient to time the filing of the semiannual report to
15 coincide with the reset filing.

16 33. Therefore, Staff intends to meet with both Sahuarita and Johnson to discuss
17 alternatives that might streamline the process and eliminate the use of estimates in the calculations.
18 If it is determined that changes to the conditions in the original order are appropriate, Staff will
19 bring those recommendations to the Commission at a later date.

20 **F. Conclusions and Recommendations**

21 34. Staff concludes that the Company has duly filed the appropriate request to revise
22 the CAGR D adjustor fees, as required by Decision No. 71854.

23 35. Staff concludes that inclusion of the AMDs in the calculation of the CAGR D
24 adjustor fees is appropriate.

25 36. Staff recommends approval of the CAGR D adjustor fees as described herein.

26 ...

27 _____
28 ¹⁹ Dec. No. 71854 at 38.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Johnson Utilities, LLC dba Johnson Utilities Company
 2 docket with the Commission as a compliance matter, within 7 days of the effective date of this
 3 Decision, a CAGR adjutor fee tariff consistent with the rates approved herein.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Johnson Utilities, LLC dba Johnson Utilities Company
 5 shall notify its customers of the CAGR adjutor fees approved herein within 30 days of the
 6 effective date of this Decision.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

8

9 **BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

10

11

CHAIRMAN

COMMISSIONER

12

13

14

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

15

16

17

18

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON,
 Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation
 Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the
 official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the
 Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this _____ day
 of _____, 2011.

19

20

21

ERNEST G. JOHNSON
 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

22

DISSENT: _____

23

24

DISSENT: _____

25

SMO:NLS:lh\RM

26

27

28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: Johnson Utilities LLC dba Johnson Utilities Company,
2 DOCKET NO. WS-02987A-08-0180

3 Mr. Jeffrey W. Crockett
4 Mr. Bradley S. Carroll
5 Mr. Kristoffer P. Kiefer
6 Snell & Wilmer, LLP
7 One Arizona Center
8 400 East Van Buren Street
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
10 Attorneys for Johnson Utilities, LLC

11 Mr. Craig A. Marks
12 Craig A. Marks, PLC
13 10645 North Tatum Boulevard, Suite 200-676
14 Phoenix, Arizona 85028
15 Attorney for Swing First Golf, LLC

16 Mr. Daniel Pozefsky
17 Chief Counsel
18 RUCO
19 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220
20 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2958

21 Mr. James E. Mannato
22 Town Attorney
23 Post Office Box 2670
24 775 North Main Street
25 Florence, Arizona 85232-2670

26 Mr. Steven M. Olea
27 Director, Utilities Division
28 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Janice M. Alward
Chief Counsel, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007