

Katherine Nutt



0000128404

ORIGINAL

G-01551A-10-0458

From: Sandra Kennedy
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 4:48 PM
To: Katherine Nutt
Subject: FW: Southwest Gas Co. Rate Increase and Decoupling

RECEIVED

2011 AUG 18 A 10:05

docket

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

From: helgior@aol.com [mailto:helgior@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 11:37 AM
To: Gary Pierce
Subject: Southwest Gas Co. Rate Increase and Decoupling

There are thousands of people unemployed from layoffs or retired due to age. Now is not the time to raise gas rates or consider the proposed decoupling. Even the retirees, of which I am one, have not gotten increases in their Social Security in the last two years, and still there have been increases in food prices, gasoline prices, etc. Please put yourself in our position and ask yourself if you would want this increase to take place and then vote against this rate increase and decoupling.

Thank you in advance.

Helen Giordano

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AUG 18 2011

DOCKETED BY	<i>[Signature]</i>
-------------	--------------------

Katherine Nutt

From: Sandra Kennedy
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 4:52 PM
To: Katherine Nutt
Subject: FW: Southwest Gas Company - Rate Increase & decoupling request

docket

From: John Giordano [mailto:jlg75011@att.net]
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 10:56 AM
To: Gary Pierce
Subject: Southwest Gas Company - Rate Increase & decoupling request

Please vote to **prevent** the **passage** of Southwest Gas Companys **14 % rate increase** and the "**decoupling**" request.

As a Senior Citizen I am on a fixed income with NO Cola raises on Social Security benefits for **3 years**. There also is a threat of cuts in our Medicare & Medicaid benefits if our deadlocked Congress cannot agree on the budget.

Respectfully

John L. Giordano

~~9201 W. Indian Hills Dr.~~

Sun City AZ 85351

Katherine Nutt

From: Sandra Kennedy
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 4:34 PM
To: Katherine Nutt
Subject: FW: sw gas and aps rates increases and the decoupling methodology that allow companies to charge back to customers for the companies' losses

docket

From: mike wiley [mailto:mw6143@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 11:58 AM
To: Gary Pierce; Sandra Kennedy; Paul Newman; Bob Stump; Brenda Burns
Subject: sw gas and aps rates increases and the decoupling methodology that allow companies to charge back to customers for the companies' losses

My name is Michael R. Wiley and I am against any utilities receiving approval of any so called "Energy Efficiency Enabling Provisions". All other businesses have to plan for possible sales downturns and increased costs, why not Utility Companies. Why do customers have to pay additional fees to guarantee those companies certain profit levels every year no matter the how economic performs, or how poorly a company operates. Make these companies live by their planning and forecasts just as their customers have to do. Approving these type of requests are the same as providing unearned welfare. Why does the american citizen have to keep bailing out companies .

I am opposed to the approval of Southwest Gas Corporation's application request for their "Energy Efficiency Enabling Provision", on Docket Numbers: G-01551A-10-0458 RE: Rate Increase and Decoupling

Katherine Nutt

From: Sandra Kennedy
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 4:28 PM
To: Katherine Nutt
Subject: FW: Docket Numbers: G-01551A-10-0458

docket

From: Don Baird [mailto:don.baird@cox.net]
Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2011 9:26 PM
To: Pierce-Web; Gary Pierce; Kennedy-Web; Sandra Kennedy; Newman-Web; Paul Newman; Stump-Web; Bob Stump; Burns-Web; Brenda Burns
Subject: Docket Numbers: G-01551A-10-0458

Some what puzzled by this request for a rate increase. There doesn't appear to be any basis for it except they want a raise and haven't had a rate increase in 4/5 years. I understand that I always wanted one also but there appears to be NO justification for this one. They're a supplier of a product which they procure, probably under contract. The supply of this product, based on my limited research is both plentiful and has a stable price. to grant a rate increase in these economic conditions would just place a hardship on the customer while rewarding them for no increase if effort. If, as I suspect the basis for there request is they've expanded the service area in expectation of population growth which was delayed due to the economy downturn my position remains unchanged. The population will eventually arrive and the investment cost will be repaid by the ones that receive the benefit of facilities.

I have owned and operated 3 businesses in lifetime. Being in the commercial arena competition was the ultimate price check. Southwest gas being a public has NO competition as a check hence your involvement. This rate increase is, in my opinion, not warranted.

Don Baird
Sun City Grand