

<sup>13</sup> Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application requesting adjustments to its rates and <sup>14</sup> charges for utility service provided by its Western Group water systems, including its Pinal Valley <sup>15</sup> (Casa Grande, Coolidge, and Stanfield); Ajo; and White Tank water systems. AWC's rate <sup>16</sup> application used a test year ending December 31, 2009. AWC's current rates were established in <sup>17</sup> Decision No. 71845 (August 25, 2010), based on a test year ending December 31, 2007.

On January 7, 2011, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Letter of Deficiency
 based upon AWC's test year. Staff asserted that AWC should withdraw its current application and
 resubmit a new application using a test year including at least 12 months of actual data under AWC's
 current rates.

On January 14, 2011, AWC filed a Response to Staff's Letter of Deficiency, asserting that the
 position taken by Staff was not supported by the Commission's rules, by the Commission's historical
 practices and procedures, or by case law and that AWC would seek relief from the Administrative
 Law Judge unless Staff withdrew its January 7, 2011, letter by January 19, 2011.

On January 20, 2011, AWC filed a Motion for Procedural Order Regarding Sufficiency of
 Application ("Motion"), requesting, *inter alia*, that a Procedural Order be issued addressing the

sufficiency of AWC's rate application and that a procedural conference be set at the earliest
 opportunity to address its issues. On January 21, 2011, AWC filed a Notice of Errata replacing an
 attachment to its Motion.

On February 7, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued requiring Staff to file, by February 14,
2011, a response to AWC's Motion and requiring both AWC and Staff to file, by March 7, 2011,
briefs addressing whether the issue of sufficiency of AWC's rate application would be properly
resolved through a Procedural Order issued by the Commission's Hearing Division or through a
Commission Decision, due to the nature of the disagreement between AWC and Staff. The
Procedural Order also scheduled a procedural conference to be held on March 14, 2011, to allow
AWC and Staff to provide oral argument on the issue.

On February 9, 2011, Staff filed a Motion for Extension of Time, requesting that the deadline
for its response to AWC's Motion be extended to February 23, 2011.

On February 10, 2011, AWC filed a Response to Staff's Motion for Extension of Time,
asserting that AWC did not object to an extension of time until February 18, 2011, but that every day
of extension beyond February 14, 2011, would unjustifiably reduce AWC's time to review Staff's
responses in preparation of AWC's brief.

On February 11, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued extending to February 23, 2011, Staff's
deadline to respond to AWC's Motion; extending to March 16, 2011, the deadline for briefs; and
rescheduling the procedural conference/oral argument from March 14, 2011, to March 24, 2011.
Also on February 11, 2011, Staff filed a Reply to AWC's Response to Staff's Motion for Extension
of Time.

On February 23, 2011, Staff filed its Response to AWC's Motion, requesting that AWC's Motion be denied or, in the alternative, if AWC's application were determined to be sufficient, that the application be deemed unsuitable for ratemaking purposes. Staff additionally requested, under either of those alternatives, that AWC be required to file in this docket an application using a test year including at least six months of actual data with current rates. If AWC's application were determined to be both sufficient and suitable for ratemaking, Staff requested that the timeframe in A.A.C. R14-2-103(B)(11) be waived or indefinitely suspended due to AWC's use of an unconventional test year.

On March 16, 2011, AWC and Staff each filed a brief addressing resolution of the sufficiency
 dispute, with AWC asserting that the issue should be resolved through a Procedural Order issued by
 the Administrative Law Judge and Staff asserting that the issue should be resolved through a
 Commission Decision, after issuance of a Recommended Opinion and Order by the Administrative
 Law Judge.

6 On March 24, 2011, a procedural conference was held as scheduled at the Commission's 7 offices in Phoenix, with AWC and Staff appearing through counsel. Rather than immediately 8 proceeding to oral argument, the parties were provided an opportunity to engage in discussions in an 9 attempt to resolve their dispute. As a result of their discussions, the parties were able to reach 10 agreement as to the resolution of their dispute. The particular points of agreement were read into the 11 record.<sup>1</sup> As part of the agreement, AWC agreed to file, in this docket, a fully amended new 12 application packet for its Western Group using a test year ending December 31, 2010 ("new 13 application").

On March 25, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued setting forth the items of agreement as
specifically pertaining to this docket; ordering that no action would be taken on AWC's Motion or on
Staff's associated requests for relief, which had both been rendered moot as a result of the agreement;
and ordering that this docket remain open for the filing of the new application.

On April 6, 2011, AWC filed a Motion for Clarification of the March 25, 2011, Procedural
Order because AWC desired for the items of agreement as to its not-yet-filed Eastern Group rate
application to be included in the Procedural Order. AWC asserted that Staff did not oppose the
Motion for Clarification. No action has yet been taken on this Motion for Clarification.

On May 9, 2011, AWC filed an Amended Application for its Western Group, using a test year
ending December 31, 2010.

On June 8, 2011, AWC filed a Response to Staff's List of Deficiencies, including revised
schedules, revised plant data, and additional public water system compliance documentation.

Also on June 8, 2011, Staff issued a Letter of Sufficiency, stating that AWC's Amended

27

28

26

All of the items of agreement are included in the transcript for the procedural conference.

Application had met the sufficiency requirements outlined in A.A.C. R14-2-103 and classifying
 AWC as a Class A utility.

On June 13, 2011, AWC filed a Supplemental Response to Staff's List of Deficiencies,
providing additional data concerning water testing, the Coolidge Airport water system, and pre-test
year system connections.

On June 15, 2011, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") filed an Application to
Intervene. No objections to RUCO's Application to Intervene have been filed, and no action has yet
been taken on RUCO's Application.

9 It is now appropriate to establish the procedural schedule for this matter, to rule on AWC's
10 Motion for Clarification, and to rule on RUCO's Application to Intervene.

11

12

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that AWC's Motion for Clarification is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **RUCO's Application to Intervene is granted**.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **if a settlement agreement is reached** in this matter and 14 filed in this docket by December 23, 2011, the **hearing** in this matter shall commence on **January** 15 **20, 2012, at 9:30 a.m.,** in Hearing Room No. 1 at the Commission's offices at 1200 West 16 Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, and shall continue on January 23 through 27, 2012, 17 and/or on January 30 through February 3, 2012, depending upon the availability of Hearing Room 18 No. 1 and on the need for additional hearing dates in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a settlement agreement is reached in this matter and
filed in this docket by December 23, 2011, a pre-hearing conference shall be held on January 17,
2012, at 10:00 a.m., in Hearing Room No. 1 at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **if a settlement agreement is <u>not</u> reached** in this matter and filed in this docket by December 23, 2011, the **hearing** in this matter shall commence on **February 2, 2012, at 9:30 a.m.,** in Hearing Room No. 1 at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona, and shall continue on February 3, February 6 through 10, February 13 through 17, and/or February 21 through 24, 2012, depending upon the availability of Hearing Room No. 1 and on the need for additional hearing dates in this matter.

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a settlement agreement is not reached in this matter

and filed in this docket by December 23, 2011, a pre-hearing conference shall be held on January
 30, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., in Hearing Room No. 1 at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **direct testimony** and associated exhibits to be 4 presented at hearing by **Staff or an intervenor** shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before 5 **November 28, 2011.** 

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that settlement discussions between the parties shall
7 commence by December 2, 2011.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any settlement agreement reached by the parties shall be
9 filed by December 23, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a settlement agreement is <u>not</u> reached, rebuttal
 testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by AWC shall be reduced to writing and
 filed by December 23, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a settlement agreement is reached in this matter and
 filed in this docket by December 23, 2011, each party shall file testimony in support of or in
 opposition to the settlement agreement by January 6, 2012.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a settlement agreement is <u>not</u> reached, surrebuttal
 testimony and associated exhibits to be presented by Staff or intervenors shall be reduced to writing
 and filed on or before January 12, 2012.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a settlement agreement is <u>not</u> reached, rejoinder
 testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by AWC shall be reduced to writing and
 filed on or before January 24, 2012.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all testimony filed shall include a table of contents which
 lists the issues discussed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all filings shall be made by 4:00 p.m. on the date the filing is due, unless otherwise indicated above.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall individually prepare, and bring to the
 pre-hearing conference, copies of an issues matrix setting forth all disputed issues in the case.
 Each party's matrix shall indicate the position of each party on each disputed issue and shall indicate

whether the disputed issue remains in dispute or has been resolved, in prefiled testimony or
otherwise.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any objections to prefiled testimony or exhibits shall be
4 made before or at the pre-hearing conference to be held in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any substantive corrections, revisions, or supplements
to prefiled testimony, with the exception of rejoinder testimony, shall be reduced to writing and filed
no later than five calendar days before the witness is scheduled to testify. Substantive corrections,
revisions, or supplements to prefiled rejoinder testimony shall be reduced to writing and presented on
the first day of hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall prepare a brief, written summary of the
 prefiled testimony of each of its witnesses and shall file each summary at least two working days
 before the witness is scheduled to testify.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that copies of the summaries shall be served upon the
Administrative Law Judge, the Commissioners, and the Commissioners' aides as well as the parties
of record.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that intervention shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-3-105,
 except that all motions to intervene must be filed on or before October 1, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **discovery** shall be as permitted by law and the rules and regulations of the Commission, except that **until December 23, 2011**, any objection to discovery requests shall be made within 7 calendar days of receipt<sup>2</sup> and responses to discovery requests shall be made within 10 calendar days of receipt. Thereafter, objections to discovery requests shall be made within 5 calendar days and responses shall be made within 7 calendar days. The response time may be extended by mutual agreement of the parties involved if the request requires an extensive compilation effort.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for discovery requests, objections, and answers, if a receiving party requests service to be made electronically, and the sending party has the technical

<sup>28</sup> The date of receipt of discovery requests is not counted as a calendar day, and requests received after 4:00 p.m. Arizona time will be considered as received the next business day.

<sup>1</sup> capability to provide service electronically, service to that party shall be made electronically.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in the alternative to filing a written motion to compel discovery, any party seeking resolution of a discovery dispute may telephonically contact the Commission's Hearing Division to request a date for a procedural hearing to resolve the discovery dispute; that upon such a request, a procedural hearing will be convened as soon as practicable; and that the party making such a request shall forthwith contact all other parties to advise them of the hearing date and shall at the hearing provide a statement confirming that the other parties were contacted.<sup>3</sup>

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any motion, other than a Motion to Intervene, that is filed
 10 in this matter and that is not ruled upon within 20 calendar days of the filing date of the motion shall
 11 be deemed denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any response to a motion shall be filed within five calendar
 days of the filing date of the motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any reply shall be filed within five calendar days of the
 filing date of the response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that AWC shall provide public notice of the hearing in this
 matter, in the following form and style, with the heading in no less than 18-point bold type and the
 body in no less than 10-point regular type:

- 19
- 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# <u>PUBLIC NOTICE OF HEARING ON THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA WATER</u> <u>COMPANY FOR A PERMANENT RATE INCREASE</u> (DOCKET NO. W-01445A-10-0517)

# **Summary**

On May 9, 2011, Arizona Water Company ("AWC") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an amended application requesting adjustments to its rates and charges for utility service provided by its Western Group water systems, including its Pinal Valley (Casa Grande, Coolidge, and Stanfield); Ajo; and White Tank water systems. AWC's amended application uses a test year ending December 31, 2010. AWC's current rates were established in Decision No. 71845 (August 25, 2010), based on a test year ending December 31, 2007. AWC's application seeks an increase in revenues of \$4,564,110, or approximately 24.45 percent over test year revenues. AWC further requests approval of an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism for its Western Group water systems, consolidation of its White

 $<sup>28 \|^3</sup>$  The parties are encouraged to attempt to settle discovery disputes through informal, good-faith negotiations before seeking Commission resolution of the controversy.

Tank water system with its Pinal Valley water system, continuation and consolidation of its Central Arizona Project Hook-Up Fees for its Pinal Valley water system and its White Tank water system, approval of a Distribution System Improvement Charge for its Western Group water systems, and approval of an Off-Site Facilities Fee for each new service connection.

The Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") is in the process of auditing and analyzing the application, and has not yet made any recommendations regarding AWC's proposed rate increase. The Commission will determine the appropriate relief to be granted based on the evidence presented by the parties. THE COMMISSION IS NOT BOUND BY THE PROPOSALS MADE BY AWC, STAFF, OR ANY INTERVENORS; THEREFORE, THE FINAL RATES APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION MAY DIFFER FROM AND MAY BE HIGHER OR LOWER THAN THE RATES REQUESTED BY AWC OR RECOMMENDED BY OTHER PARTIES.

#### How You Can View or Obtain a Copy of the Rate Proposal

Copies of the amended application and proposed rates are available from AWC [COMPANY INSERT HOW AND WHERE AVAILABLE] and at the Commission's Docket Control Center at 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona, for public inspection during regular business hours, and on the Internet via the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) using the e-Docket function.

### Arizona Corporation Commission Public Hearing Information

The Commission will hold a hearing on this matter in Hearing Room No. 1 at the Commission's offices at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. If a settlement agreement is reached and filed in the docket for this matter by December 23, 2011, the hearing will commence on January 20, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. If a settlement agreement is <u>not</u> reached and filed in the docket for this matter by December 23, 2011, the hearing will commence on February 2, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. For clarification as to the actual hearing date, you may contact the Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000 after December 23, 2011.

Oral public comments will be taken on the first day of the hearing. Written public comments may be submitted by mailing a letter referencing Docket No. W-01445A-10-0517 to Arizona Corporation Commission, Consumer Services Section, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007, or by e-mail. For a form to use and instructions on e-mail how to comments to the Commission. go to http://www.azcc.gov/Divisions/Utilities/forms/PublicCommentForm.pdf. If vou require assistance, you may contact the Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000.

#### About Intervention

The law provides for an open public hearing at which, under appropriate circumstances, interested parties may intervene. Any person or entity entitled by law to intervene and having a direct and substantial interest in the matter will be permitted to intervene. If you wish to intervene, you must file an original and 13 copies of a written motion to intervene with the Commission no later than **October 1, 2011**, and send a copy of the motion to AWC or its counsel and to all parties of record. Your motion to intervene must contain the following:

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 1. Your name, address, and telephone number, and the name, address, and telephone number of any party upon whom service of documents is to be made, if not yourself;
- 2. A short statement of your interest in the proceeding (e.g., a customer of AWC, a shareholder of AWC, etc.); and
- 3. A statement certifying that you have mailed a copy of the motion to intervene to AWC or its counsel and to all parties of record in the case.

The granting of motions to intervene shall be governed by A.A.C. R14-3-105, except that <u>all motions to intervene must be filed on or before **October 1, 2011**. If representation by counsel is required by Rule 31 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court, intervention will be conditioned upon the intervenor obtaining counsel to represent the intervenor. For information about requesting intervention, visit the Commission's website at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/utilities/forms/interven.pdf. The granting of intervention, among other things, entitles a party to present sworn evidence at hearing and to cross-examine other witnesses. <u>However, failure to intervene will not preclude any interested person or entity from appearing at the hearing and providing public comment on the application or from filing written comments in the record of the case.</u></u>

## **ADA/Equal Access Information**

The Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admission to its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting the ADA Coordinator, Shaylin Bernal, E-mail SAbernal@azcc.gov, voice phone number 602-542-3931. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

15 16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that AWC shall, as soon as possible, but no later than August

17 **15, 2011, mail** to each of its customers a copy of the above notice as a bill insert **and cause a copy** of

18 such notice to be published at least once in a newspaper(s) of general circulation in AWC's

- 19 service territory.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that AWC shall file certification of mailing and publication as soon as possible after the mailing and publication have been completed, but no later than
- 22 September 1, 2011.
- 23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that notice shall be deemed complete upon mailing/publication

24 of same, notwithstanding the failure of an individual customer to read or receive the notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules
31 and 38 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance
with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Arizona)

Supreme Court Rule 42). Representation before the Commission includes appearances at all hearings
 and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled for
 discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative
 Law Judge or the Commission.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized
6 Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's
7 Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time periods specified herein shall not be extended 9 pursuant to Rule 6(a) or (e) of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,
or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
hearing.

day of June. 2011.

13 14 15

16

18

27

28

SARAH N. HARPRING

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

- 17 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered This **28**<sup>t</sup> day of June, 2011 to:
- 19 Steven A. Hirsch Stanley B. Lutz
  20 BRYAN CAVE LLP

DATED this.

- Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406
- Attorneys for Arizona Water Company
- Robert W. Geake, Vice President and General Counsel ARIZONA WATER COMPANY
   P.O. Box 29006
- Phoenix, AZ 85038-9006
- Daniel W. Pozefsky, Chief Counsel
   RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE
   1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220
   Phoenix, AZ 85007
- 26 Phoenix, AZ 85007

Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, AZ 85004

By: Debbi Person

Assistant to Sarah N. Harpring