

ORIGINAL



0000126546

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

2011 JUN 22 P 2:11

JUN 22 2011

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKETED BY	
-------------	--

GARY PIERCE
CHAIRMAN
BOB STUMP
COMMISSIONER
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
COMMISSIONER
PAUL NEWMAN
COMMISSIONER
BRENDA BURNS
COMMISSIONER

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
GOODMAN WATER COMPANY, AN
ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR (i) A
DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF
ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND
(ii) AN INCREASE IN ITS WATER RATES
AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE
BASED THEREON.

Docket No. W-02500A-10-0382

NOTICE OF FILING

On June 13, 2011 the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") filed the Surrebuttal Testimony of Jodi A. Jerich in the above-referenced matter. RUCO hereby files this Notice of Errata which contains the attached revisions.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22nd day of June, 2011.

Daniel W. Pozefsky
Chief Counsel

1 AN ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN COPIES
2 of the foregoing filed this 22nd day
3 of June, 2011 with:

3 Docket Control
4 Arizona Corporation Commission
5 1200 West Washington
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

5 COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered/
6 mailed this 22nd day of June, 2011 to:

7 Jane L. Rodda
8 Administrative Law Judge
9 Hearing Division
10 Arizona Corporation Commission

9 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
10 Legal Division
11 Arizona Corporation Commission


11 Ayesha Vohra
12 Legal Division
13 Arizona Corporation Commission

13 Steven M. Olea, Director
14 Utilities Division
15 Arizona Corporation Commission

15 Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
16 Goodman Water Company
17 P. O. box 1448
18 Tubac, Arizona 85646

17 James Schoemperlen
18 39695 S. Horse Run Dr.
19 Tucson, AZ 85739

19 Lawrence Wawrzyniak
20 39485 S. Mountain Shadow Dr.
21 Tucson, AZ 85739

21
22 By 
23 Ernestine Gamble

24

1 On page 15, lines 4-5, after the sentence, "Staff and the Company propose an
2 "engineering approach" that provides recovery for costs that meet a 5-year planning
3 horizon.", **please insert the following new sentences and a new footnote 6.**
4

5 "Staff and the Company agree that the 5-year planning horizon takes into account
6 actual growth data from the previous 5 years in order to project growth over the next 5
7 years. However, RUCO finds that Staff and the Company disagree on which 5-year time
8 period to use."⁶
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 ⁶ "Staff proposes a single 5-year planning horizon time period measured from the end of the 2009 test period
22 that projects customer growth up to 875 customers by 2014. The Company rejects this time period. Mr.
23 Bourassa testifies that a 5-year time period should run for each decision made to expand plant – making the 5-
24 year time period a constantly moving target. For example, the decision to build water storage facilities in 2007
would be based on actual growth from 2002-2007 in order to estimate future growth through 2012. That 5-year
planning horizon calculates customer growth to 1,100 by 2012. Furthermore, Mr. Bourassa states that
prudence must be determined under the facts at the time the decision was made and any prudently incurred
costs simply cannot be excess capacity. (Bourassa Rebuttal, p. 10, lines 5-19).