

ORIGINAL



0000125505

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

GARY PIERCE, Chairman  
BOB STUMP  
SANDRA D. KENNEDY  
PAUL NEWMAN  
BRENDA BURNS

2011 MAY 31 A 11: 03

MAY 31 2011

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
GOODMAN WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA  
CORPORATION, FOR (i) A DETERMINATION  
OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT  
AND PROPERTY AND (ii) AN INCREASE IN ITS  
WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY  
SERVICE BASED THEREON.

DOCKET NO. W-02500A-10-0382

PROCEDURAL ORDER

**BY THE COMMISSION:**

By Procedural Order dated November 16, 2010, this matter was set for hearing on June 14, 2011, and a procedural schedule for filing testimony was established. The testimony schedule was modified by Procedural Order dated April 11, 2011.

On May 26, 2011, the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") Utilities Division ("Staff") requested an extension of time to file Surrebuttal testimony because the Staff analyst that had been assigned to the matter was no longer with the Commission and Staff's resources did not permit filing its Surrebuttal by May 31, 2011, as called for under the existing schedule. Staff originally had proposed a schedule that extended the date for Staff and intervneor Surrebuttal testimony and allowed the Company to present Rejoinder testimony on the stand at the hearing. The Company preferred having an opportunity to file written Rejoinder.

On May 26, 2011, Staff, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") and Goodman Water Co. ("Goodman" or the "Company") participated in a telephonic discussion with the Administrative Law Judge concerning the need to modify the schedule. The individual intervenors were informed about the telephone call and given the opportunity to participate, but did not call in. The Company and RUCO understood Staff's dilemma and agreed to revise the schedule for filing testimony and for the hearing. The parties reported an understanding that the individual intervenors

1 did not object to extending the schedule in order to have pre-filed written Rejoinder testimony prior  
2 to the hearing.

3 The record in this proceeding will benefit from the extension of the filing deadlines to  
4 accommodate pre-filed written testimony and continuing the hearing commensurately. During the  
5 May 26, 2011, teleconference, the participating parties discussed several scheduling options and  
6 agreed to the following schedule<sup>1</sup>:

7	<b>Staff and Intervenor Surrebuttal</b>	<b>June 13, 2011</b>
8	<b>Public Comment and Pre-hearing Conf</b>	<b>June 14, 2011</b>
9	<b>Company Rejoinder</b>	<b>July 12, 2011</b>
10	<b>Hearing</b>	<b>July 26-28, 2011</b>

11 Because the hearing in this matter has been publicly noticed to commence on June 14, 2011,  
12 the Commission will convene at that time for the purpose of taking public comment. Following the  
13 public comment, a Pre-hearing Conference will convene for the purpose of discussing the scheduling  
14 of witnesses and other matter related to the conduct of the hearing. Parties may appear telephonically  
15 at the Pre-hearing Conference by contacting the Hearing Division at 602 542-4250 prior to June 14,  
16 2011.

17 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **procedural schedule set forth above shall replace**  
18 **the current schedule** established in our November 16, 2010, and April 11, 2011, Procedural Orders.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission shall hold a **Public Comment** meeting on  
20 **June 14, 2011, at 10:00 a.m.**, or as soon thereafter as is practical, at the Commission's Tucson  
21 offices, **Room 222, 400 West Congress St., Tucson, AZ 85701**.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **immediately following the Public Comment meeting** on  
23 June 14, 2011 at 10:00 a.m., the Commission will convene a **Pre-hearing Conference** at the  
24 Commission's Tucson offices, **Room 222, 400 West Congress St., Tucson, AZ 85701**, to discuss  
25 the scheduling of witnesses and other issues concerning the conduct of the hearing.

26

27

28 <sup>1</sup> The Administrative Law Judge commends all parties for their flexibility and willingness to work together to find a good solution to the scheduling difficulties that arise during this period of resource constraints.


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **hearing** in this matter is continued to commence **July 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m.**, or as soon as practical thereafter, at the Commission's Tucson offices, **Room 222, 400 West Congress, Tucson, AZ 85701.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that circumstances warrant **extending the time-clock** pursuant to A.A.C. 14-2-103 through **October 14, 2011.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011.

  
JANE L. RODDA  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Copies of the foregoing mailed this 27<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011 to:

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.  
PO Box 1448  
Tubac, AZ 85646  
Attorney for Goodman Water Co.

Janice Alward, Chief Counsel  
Legal Division  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 W. Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007


Daniel Pozefsky  
Chief Counsel  
Residential Utility Consumer Office  
1110 West Washington, Suite 220  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Steven Olea, Director  
Utilities Division  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 W. Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Lawrence Wawryzniak  
39485 S. Mountain Shadow Dr.  
Tucson, AZ 85739

Arizona Reporting Service, Inc.  
2200 N. Central Avenue, Suite 502  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1481

James Schoemperlen  
39695 S. horse Run Dr.  
Tucson, AZ 85739

By:   
Jane L. Rodda