

ORIGINAL

REVISED BURNS PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 2



0000123188

TIME/DATE PREPARED: February 16, 2011

COMPANY: Arizona Public Service Company

AGENDA ITEM NO. S-12

DOCKET NO(S). Docket # E-01345A-10-0219 OPEN MEETING DATE: 2/17/111

On page 4, line 20, **INSERT** the following as a new Finding of Fact:

Because DSM programs are funded by APS customers, the best way of managing DSM programs is to ensure that as much money as possible is being returned to APS ratepayers who are willing and able to participate in energy efficiency programs. On page 12, paragraph 40 of Decision #72060, concerning the Shade Tree program, Staff expressed concern about implementation costs being high, relative to costs for rebates and incentives. We agree with that concern. The Shade Tree program was approved for a total budget of \$440,000. The rebates and incentives budgeted for this program are only \$50,000. Therefore, the incentive ratio is only 11.2%. That is not a desirable result.

For our future consideration, we would like Staff to take into account the ratio of incentives to total program budget for each DSM program. When APS submits its implementation plan, it should take into consideration how the incentive ratio is apportioned.

On page 18, Line 5, **INSERT** new ordering paragraph:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in all future DSM filings that deal with or have an effect on the DSM budget for a program or programs, Staff shall take into account the ratio of incentives to total program budget for each DSM program and Arizona Public Service Company shall take in to consideration how the incentive ratio is apportioned.

MAKE ALL CONFORMING CHANGES

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 17 2011

DOCKETED BY

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2011 FEB 17 A 9:22

RECEIVED

THIS AMENDMENT:		
_____ Passed _____	Passed as amended by _____	
_____ Failed _____	Not Offered	_____ Withdrawn