

ORIGINAL



0000123180

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATIC

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 16 2011

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE- CHAIRMAN
BOB STUMP
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
PAUL NEWMAN
BRENDA BURNS

2011 FEB 16 P 4: 14

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. E-01933A-10-0266
TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR)	
APPROVAL OF ITS 2010 RENEWABLE)	
ENERGY STANDARD IMPLEMENTATION)	
PLAN AND DISTRIBUTED ENERGY)	
ADMINSITRATIVE PLAN AND REQUEST FOR)	
RESET OF RENEWABLE ENERGY ADJUSTOR)	

**NOTICE OF
COMPLIANCE FILING**

Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or the "Company"), through undersigned counsel, hereby submits the attached report on including information on REST surcharge offsets in customer bills, in compliance with Decision No. 72033.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 16th day of February 2011.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

By

Michael W. Patten
Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

and

Philip J. Dion, Esq.
Melody Gilkey, Esq.
Tucson Electric Power Company
One S. Church Avenue, Suite 200
Tucson, Arizona 85701

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC
ONE ARIZONA CENTER
400 EAST VAN BUREN STREET - SUITE 800
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85004
TELEPHONE NO 602-256-6100
FACSIMILE 602-256-6800

1 Original and 13 copies of the foregoing
2 filed this 16th day of February 2011 with:

3 Docket Control
4 Arizona Corporation Commission
5 1200 West Washington Street
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

7 Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed
8 this 16th day of February 2011 to the following:

9 Lyn Farmer
10 Chief Administrative Law Judge
11 Hearing Division
12 Arizona Corporation Commission
13 1200 West Washington Street
14 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

15 Janice M. Alward, Esq.
16 Legal Division
17 Arizona Corporation Commission
18 1200 West Washington Street
19 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

20 Steve Olea
21 Utilities Division
22 Arizona Corporation Commission
23 1200 West Washington Street
24 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

25 C. Webb Crockett
26 Patrick J. Black
27 FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC
3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

19 Court Rich
20 M. Ryan Hurley
21 Rose Law Group pc
22 6613 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 200
23 Scottsdale, Arizona 85250

24 Scott S. Wakefield
25 Ridenour, Hienton & Lewis PLLC
26 201 North Central Avenue, Suite 3300
27 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

25
26 By *Mary Appolito*

ATTACHMENT

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

Report on REST Surcharge Offsets in Compliance with Commission Decision No. 72033

February 16, 2011

Executive Summary

On November 23, 2011, the Arizona Corporation Commission (“ACC” or “Commission”) approved Tucson Electric Power Company’s (“TEP”) 2011 Renewable Energy Implementation Plan (Decision No. 72033). In Decision No. 72033, the Commission indicated the TEP customer bills “should reflect the fuel (both in-state and out of state), transmission, reduced emissions and over savings which offset the REST surcharge.” The Commission then ordered TEP to submit a report that addresses the following information: 1. What costs would be included as REST surcharge offsets; 2. How would TEP calculate such savings; and 3. How would this information be presented on customer bills.

TEP would include energy related costs, capacity related costs and environmental costs in calculating the estimated savings that offset the REST surcharge. Those calculations would be facilitated by – and part of -- the preparation of TEP’s Integrated Resource Plan under the Commission’s recently revised Integrated Resource Planning (“IRP”) rules. Given the complexities of determining the estimated cost savings, customer bills would identify the potential offset savings resulting from the REST surcharge and provide a website address that would provide more detailed information on the estimated REST surcharge offset. TEP is also investigating whether customer bills could reflect a suitably accurate estimated average savings (based on a customer class) on a per kWh basis that would offset the REST per kWh surcharge.

Discussion

I. What costs would be included as REST surcharge offsets?

In quantifying the potential net benefits associated with renewable resources, TEP would consider the following cost and environmental variables in its evaluations of renewable resource integration.

Energy Based Costs

- Fuel and Purchase Power Costs
- System Losses
- Ancillary Service Costs
- Environmental Compliance Costs

Capacity Based Costs

- Generation, Transmission and Distribution Capital Costs
- Generating Plant Overhaul Costs
- Plant Operations and Maintenance Costs
- Gas Transportation Costs
- Market-Based Capacity Charges
- Transmission Wheeling Costs
- Ancillary Service Costs
- System Integration and Reliability Costs

Environmental Impacts

- CO2 Emissions
- NOx Emissions
- SO2 Emissions
- Particulate Emissions
- Mercury Emissions
- Coal Ash
- Water Consumption

There may be additional elements that arise that could be included in the calculation. The IRP process will facilitate a fulsome analysis of appropriate costs. Moreover, appropriate environmental elements may be affected by ongoing Commission workshops.

II. How would TEP calculate Offset Savings?

TEP would rely on the IRP process to capture both the qualitative and quantitative net benefits associated with renewable resource integration. Through the IRP process, scenario analysis could be done to better quantify the longer-term avoided costs, including the risk mitigation value associated with future uncertainties.

After calculating estimated offset savings, TEP also would endeavor to estimate average per kWh savings on a customer class basis. This determination could provide a better comparison of offset savings versus the per kWh REST surcharge. However, given the potential long-term avoided costs and other variables, it may be difficult to calculate a reasonably accurate per kWh savings (on a customer class basis) that could be compared to the REST surcharge in place in a given year.

III. How would TEP present Offset Savings information on customer bills?

Due to the complexities of the calculations and the length of description required to explain the cost savings, there is insufficient room on the existing customer bills to present this information in full. TEP would provide a footnote to the REST surcharge line of the bill to address offset savings. In that footnote, TEP would provide a statement indicating that the REST surcharge is potentially offset by other savings resulting from the use of renewable resources and would identify a website to provide more detailed information on the offset savings.

TEP is continuing to investigate whether it will be able to present an estimated per kWh savings for a particular customer class basis. However, because the cost savings are both qualitative and quantitative, and captures implied savings in future periods, it will be difficult to develop a direct relation when compared to the annual REST surcharge on a per kilo-watt per hour basis. As a result, an estimated per kWh savings may result in misinformation or confusion regarding actual Offset Savings.