

L-00000C-10-0507-00157

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM



0000122633

RECEIVED

Investigator: Guadalupe Ortiz

Phone: (

2011 JAN 28 P 3: 53

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Opinion No. 2011 92802

Date: 1/26/2011

Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed
N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By: Carrie

Presnall

Account Name: Carrie Presnall

Home: (

Street:

Work:

City: Tucson

CBR:

State: AZ Zip: 8

is: E-Mail

Utility Company: Tucson Electric Power Company

Division: Electric

Contact Name:

Contact Phone:

Nature of Complaint:

EMAIL RECEIVED:

RE: Docket No. L-00000C-10-0507-00157


From: carrie Presnall [mailto:
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2011 11:56 AM
To: Utilities Div - Mailbox
Subject: TEP's 138kV Transmission Line Project

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 28 2011

Please see attached public comment form.
Thank you,
Carrie Presnall



ATTACHED - OPINION:

I am Carrie Presnall, a resident in Dunbar Spring. I support Citizens for Safe Powerlines and the Freeway route proposed by TEP. I am concerned that high transmission lines will affect property values and aesthetics in our neighborhood that is already burdened with the traintracks, the new Downtown Links freeway, and the electrical substation. I think the 100' poles are ugly and will detract from the neighborhood's historic designation. I think the freeway route is the best route of the three options. I would also like the substation to be enclosed behind a wall, preferably stucco or something that blends in with the neighborhood, rather than a chain link fence which is very ugly. I would also like the 75' poles on 11th Ave to be removed.

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Opinion docketed with the Docket Control Center to be made part of the record. CLOSED
End of Comments

Date Completed: 1/26/2011

Opinion No. 2011 - 92802
