

ORIGINAL



0000122630

**FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.**

3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2800  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913  
(602) 916-5000

RECEIVED

2011 JAN 28 P 3:38

**Jay L. Shapiro**  
Direct Phone: (602) 916-5366  
Direct Fax: (602) 916-5566  
jshapiro@fclaw.com

**Law Offices**  
Phoenix (602) 916-5000  
Tucson (520) 879-6800  
Nogales (520) 281-3480  
Las Vegas (702) 692-8000  
Denver (303) 291-3200

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

January 28, 2011

*Via Hand Delivery*

**Sarah Harpring**  
Administrative Law Judge  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 W. Washington St.  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**

**JAN 28 2011**

DOCKETED BY

**Re: Litchfield Park Service Company**  
ACC Docket Nos. SW-01428A-09-0103, W-01427A-09-0104,  
W-01427A-09-0116, & W-01427A-09-0120

Dear Judge Harpring:

With this letter, I've enclosed a copy of LPSCO's Request for Clarification, asking the Commissioners to clarify the scope of the rehearing order in this docket. Following the discussion at the Procedural Conference on January 26, 2011, I wanted to briefly explain our filing.

After listening to the differing views of the parties relating to the scope of hearing, we obtained an audio recording of the Staff Meeting on January 18, 2011, during which the Commission voted 4-1 to grant both the Company's request for rehearing and RUCO's request for reconsideration. Our review of that recording served to further highlight the obvious disparity between the parties' views of the scope of rehearing. And while it is neither our province nor our intent to interfere with the Hearing Division, we simply could not escape the conclusion that all parties and the Hearing Division would benefit from clarification by the Commission.

We bring this specifically to your attention because you did offer each of the parties an opportunity to submit a brief on the scope of rehearing in this docket. At that time, and now, we believed that making an argument to the Hearing Division could not clarify the Commission's intent in granting rehearing. Only the Commission can do that. As a result, we filed our Request

# FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

Sarah Harpring  
Administrative Law Judge  
January 28, 2011  
Page 2

for Clarification seeking the Commission's guidance on those issues. Of course, we welcome the other parties to voice their views on the scope of hearing, as they feel appropriate.

We hope this clarifies this matter to your satisfaction. If a further procedural conference is needed, we will make ourselves available at the Hearing Division's convenience, including providing call in numbers should you wish to reconvene telephonically.

Very truly yours,



Jay L. Shapiro

cc: Docket Control  
Michelle L. Wood, Esq.  
Robin Mitchell, Esq.  
Craig A. Marks, Esq.  
William P. Sullivan, Esq.  
Martin A. Aronson, Esq.  
Chad and Jessica Robinson

2389150.2/60199.009