

ORIGINAL



0000118583

Gail Getzwiller
PO Box 815
Sonoita, AZ 85637

RECEIVED

2010 OCT -4 A 10:39

October 1, 2010

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCT 4 2010

Bradley Carroll
Snell & Wilmer LLP
One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

DOCKETED BY

RE: ACC Docket No. E-01575A-10-0308, 2011, REST Plan

- 1) Response to SSVEC Response to Evidentiary Hearing filed September 28, 2010,
- 2) Data Request Set 1 for SSVEC (attachment #1)
- 3) Data Request Set 1 for ACC STAFF (attachment #2)

Dear Mr. Carroll:

I am in receipt of your response to my Motion for Evidentiary Hearing.

I agree with most of your points; however, as your comments indicated the additional issues in my Motion for a Hearing have merit depending on how presented in response to your application.


From the Company's response, it appears that most of the issues appear appropriate for this case. In particular, I will go into the school's program issue, not because of the praises received in 2007 and 2008 included in the Company's response, but because of the high expenses to the REST funds that this program now causes, which is directly relevant to the Company's REST Plan. The "compliance" filing of 30 July 2010 appears to be deficient so that should be an issue. Inclusion of relevant recommendations and elements from the Feasibility Study is relevant. The oversubscription plan is of vital concern to hundreds of SSVEC customers and is relevant. The true-up dates have been resolved in another venue.

Based on the above, and as a member not wanting to increase the cooperative's expenses, if adequate responses from discovery on these issues are received, then this party expects to participate with a response to the Application, maybe before the Staff's report; however, in all likely hood, this party will respond to that report.

Based on the above, this party would like to stay the motion to have a hearing until after the receipt of the Staff's report.

Thank you in advance for your prompt and courteous response.

Sincerely,



Gail Getzwiller
SSVEC Cooperative Member

Sent October 1, 2010

Priority mail to:

cc:

Original and 13 copies of the foregoing are filed this date with:

Docket Control (13 copies)
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2927

First Class Mail to:

Bradley Carroll
Snell & Wilmer LLP
One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

ATTACHMENT #1

DATA REQUEST #1 for SSVEC (2 pages)

DR 1: SCHOOL PV PROGRAM

DR.1.1: What was the planned and the final costs for each of the 41 schools?

DR.1.2: What was the planned and the final operational date for each of the 41 schools? If there were reasons for any delays, could they be summarized?

DR.1.3: How many different contractors were used for the school program and was each contractor qualified for installing PV systems?

DR.1.4: Were RFPs issued for each (or a group) of the school installations?

DR.1.5: Itemized list of expenses by school broken down by payments to each contractor, engineer, and SSVEC overhead.

DR.1.6: How many of the schools with PV systems have remote readouts for students to monitor and instructional material available?

DR.1.7: What is the long-term annual costs to pay down the loan by year that remain for funding these schools?

DR.1.8: What is the annual principal and interest being paid for these bonds/loan since 2007 and till paid off???

DR.1.9: Please provide total kWh produced at each school, by month from the date the systems went online to September 2010.

DR.1.10: Please provide business reasons why the schools are not treated as Net Metered Customers.

DR.1.11: Existing ownership of PV systems installed on schools.

DR.1.12: Copies of any daily reports or paperwork involved for each school when the system was commissioned or went on line.

DR 2: FAIR & REASONABLE RATES

DR. 2.1: Provide business reason for dropping the rebates from \$3 to \$2

DR 3: FEASIBILITY STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS

- DR 3.1: Survey of Members to take part in Peak Reducing Programs
- DR 3.2: Implementing TOU Programs

DR 4: 2011 REST rebates

- DR4.1: Number of customers with installed systems waiting for rebates by customer class.
- DR4.2: Number of customers with approved systems that will not receive rebates in 2010 by customer class.
- DR4.3: Number of customers that have filled out forms to be placed on waiting list for 2010 rebates by customer class
- DR4.4: SSVEC's policy on who receives the existing \$3.00 per watt rebate and who receives the new proposed rebate.
- DR4.5: Estimated time period for options 1 through 3 before existing applications will be funded.

- DR4.6: SVEC's policy on how to handle the waiting list and rebates.

DR 5: 2010 REST Budget (through September 2010)

- DR5.1: Monthly summary of money received from customers
- DR5.2: Monthly summary payments made to repay CREB bonds.
- DR5.3: Monthly summary of payments made to customers for rebates.
- DR5.4: Monthly summary of SSVEC overheads charged to REST budget
- DR5.5: Monthly summary of any other items not previously listed.
- DR5.6: Estimate of any funds that may be carried over to 2010.

DR 6: REDUCE THE RESERVATION LIST

- DR 6.1: Detail list of grant writer workshops held
- DR 6.2: Detail list of grants applied for
- DR 6.3: Detail list of successful grants
- DR 6.4: List of businesses grant writer worked with in Sonoita Area
- DR 6.5: Dates met and grants written
- DR 6.6: Program and Minutes from 3-hour workshop in Sonoita
- DR 6.7: List of reasons SSVEC was not able to secure any assistance in reducing this reservation list (i.e. loans, grants applied for denied?)

ATTACHMENT #2

DR 1: FOR ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION STAFF :

D.R. 1.1 : ALL DATA REQUESTS AND ALL OTHER CORRESPONDANCE PERTAINING TO THIS APPLICATION IN THIS DOCKET, BETWEEN THE STAFF AND THE COMPANY.