



0000117469

ORIGINAL ²⁵⁶⁷¹ _{HC}


a Corporation Commission

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIONED

2 CARL J. KUNASEK
CHAIRMAN
3 JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
COMMISSIONER
5

SEP 11 2000

2000 SEP 11 A 11: 15



AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

6 IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO. S-03361A-00-0000

7 CALUMET SLAG, INC.,
An Arizona corporation
13433 N. 16th Avenue
8 Phoenix, Arizona 85029

9 GARETH N. PATTON
23769 Blue Lead Mountain Road
10 Hill City, South Dakota 57745

11 JEFFREY G. CRAWFORD
1822 N. Barkley
12 Mesa, Arizona 85203

13 MATTHEW E. HUNZINGER
13031 N. 59th Drive
14 Glendale, Arizona 85304,

15 Respondents.

FIFTH
PROCEDURAL ORDER

16 **BY THE COMMISSION:**

17 On April 21, 2000, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation
18 Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order
19 for Relief ("Notice") against Calumet Slag, Inc. ("Calumet"), Gareth N. Patton, Jeffrey G. Crawford
20 and Matthew E. Hunzinger, (collectively the "Respondents") in which the Division alleged multiple
21 violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in
22 the form of shares of stock in Calumet.

23 The Respondents were all duly served with copies of the Notice.

24 On April 27, 2000, Respondent Matthew E. Hunzinger filed a request for hearing.

25 On May 2, 2000, Respondent Jeffrey G. Crawford filed a request for hearing.

26 On May 25, 2000, Respondent Gareth N. Patton requested a hearing.

27 On May 25, 2000, the initial pre-hearing conference was held and the parties agreed that a
28 further pre-hearing conference should be scheduled for June 13, 2000.

1 On June 8, 2000, by Procedural Order, the pre-hearing conference scheduled for June 13,
2 2000 was continued to June 23, 2000 because of a scheduling conflict.

3 On June 23, 2000, the second pre-hearing conference took place at the offices of the
4 Commission in Phoenix, Arizona. Counsel for the respective parties indicated that settlement
5 discussions had been going on, but additional time was needed prior to the scheduling of a hearing.
6 Pursuant to a stipulation of counsel for the respective Respondents, the hearing would commence on
7 August 21, 2000, at 9:30 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, in Phoenix, Arizona. It was also
8 agreed that the remainder of the week was available for additional days of hearing, if necessary.

9 On August 7, 2000, Respondent Hunzinger filed a Motion To Continue ("Motion") the
10 hearing scheduled for the week of August 21, 2000, because it was alleged that additional time was
11 required to negotiate a settlement.

12 On August 11, 2000, Respondent Calumet indicated that it did not oppose the Motion filed on
13 behalf of Mr. Hunzinger.

14 On August 14, 2000, the Division filed its response in opposition to the Motion for a further
15 continuance of the proceeding and pointed out that there was still time to negotiate a settlement prior
16 to the commencement of the hearing.

17 Subsequently, on August 15, 2000, Respondent Patton joined in the Motion filed on behalf of
18 Mr. Hunzinger.

19 On August 16, 2000, the Division filed a response to Mr. Patton's joinder in the Motion.

20 Additionally, on August 16, 2000, the Commission, by Procedural Order, denied Mr.
21 Hunzinger's motion.

22 On August 17, 2000, Respondent Hunzinger filed a Motion to Reconsider the denial of its
23 Motion and a teleconference was conducted that morning at 9:00 a.m. with the Division and all
24 parties represented by counsel. Arguments were made by the parties and good cause was shown for a
25 brief continuance. Thereafter, the hearing scheduled for August 21, 2000 in the above-captioned
26 proceeding was continued to September 12, 2000.

27 On September 8, 2000, the Division filed a Motion requesting an indefinite continuance with
28 respect to Respondents Calumet, Crawford and Hunzinger to allow time for Consent Orders to be

1 submitted for Commission approval and to modify the commencement of the hearing with respect to
2 Respondent Patton until September 18, 2000. There were no objections to the Motion.

3 Accordingly, the Motion should be granted.

4 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Motion to Continue filed by the Division with
5 respect to Respondents Calumet, Crawford and Hunzinger be, and is hereby, granted.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing with respect to Respondent Patton scheduled to
7 commence on September 12, 2000, at 1:00 p.m., shall be continued until September 18, 2000, at 9:30
8 a.m., at the Commission's Offices in Phoenix, Arizona.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if additional days of hearing are required, the parties shall
10 also set aside September 19 and September 20, 2000.

11 DATED this 11th day of September, 2000.



MARC E. STERN
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
this 11th day of September, 2000 to:

18
19 Michael Salcido
20 2929 North 44th Street, Suite 120
21 Phoenix, Arizona 85018-7239
Attorney for Gareth N. Patton

22 John R. Augustine, Jr.
23 JOHN R. AUGUSTINE, JR., P.C.
24 The Citadel, Suite 300
2727 North Third Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1106
Attorney for Jeffrey G. Crawford


25 Deanna S. Peck
26 Kevin D. Quigley
27 STREICH LANG, P.A.
Two North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
28 Attorneys for Matthew E. Hunzinger

1 Paul A. Conant
2 GALBUT & CONANT
3 2425 E. Camelback rd. suite 1020
4 Phoenix, Arizona 85016
5 Attorneys for Calumet Slag, Inc.

6 Robert A. Zumoff
7 Assistant Attorney General
8 ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
9 1275 West Washington Street
10 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

11 Mark Sendrow, Director
12 Securities Division
13 Jamie Palfie
14 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
15 1300 West Washington Street
16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

17 ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
18 2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three
19 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1104

20 By: 
21 Brenda Sanchez
22 Secretary to Marc E. Stern
23
24
25
26
27
28