



0000117228

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 11 2003

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

2003 APR 11 P 3:18

DOCKETED BY *CHL*

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

In the Marriage of:

ELLIOT CROSBY  
d/b/a/ ADVANCE SENIOR ESTATE  
PLANNING  
10253 East Jerome  
Mesa, Arizona 85208

MARSHA CROSBY  
2145 East Juanita  
Mesa, Arizona 85204

Respondents.

) DOCKET NO. S-03510A-02-0000

)  
)  
) **ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY**  
) **FOR HEARING REGARDING PROPOSED**  
) **ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, FOR**  
) **RESTITUTION, FOR ADMINISTRATIVE**  
) **PENALTIES, AND FOR OTHER**  
) **AFFIRMATIVE ACTION**  
) **& REQUEST FOR HEARING**

**ANSWER AND REQUEST FOR A HEARING**

Comes Now the Respondent, Marsha Crosby, and files this Answer to Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties and for Other Affirmative Action.

The Respondent denies that she engaged in acts, practices and transactions that constitute violation of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. §44-1801 *et seq.* ("Securities Act"). The Respondent was never involved in Elliot Crosby's business affairs, had no knowledge of and played no part in any actions which may have constituted a violation of the above act.

**JURISDICTION**

1. Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation and, therefore, denies the allegation.



1           13.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
2 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

3           14.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
4 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

5           15.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
6 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

7           16.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
8 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

9           17.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
10 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

11           18.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
12 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

13           19.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
14 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

15           20.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
16 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

17           21.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
18 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

19           22.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
20 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

21           23.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
22 and, therefore, denies the allegation.

23           24.    Denied. The Respondent is without sufficient knowledge to affirm or deny this allegation  
24 and, therefore, denies the allegation.





1 Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington  
2 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

3 The Division (Answer)  
1300 West Washington, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
4 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

5 Kim Johnson  
6

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25