

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2 3 4 5 6	KRISTIN K. MAYES Chairman       Arizona Corporation Commission         GARY PIERCE Commissioner       DOCKETED         PAUL NEWMAN Commissioner       Aug 2 5 2010         SANDRA D. KENNEDY Commissioner       DOCKETED BY         BOB STUMP Commissioner       DOCKETED BY
7 8 9 10 11	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF NEW DISTRIBUTED GENERATION ORDER
12	·)
13 14	Open Meeting August 12, 2010 Phoenix, Arizona
15	BY THE COMMISSION:
16	FINDINGS OF FACT
17	1. Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "Company") is engaged in providing
18	electric service within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona
19	Corporation Commission.
20	2. On July 7, 2010, TEP filed an application to modify its up-front incentives for
21	residential distributed generation ("DG"). TEP's application also requested Commission guidance
22	on if and how the Company should address small commercial DG.
23	3. On July 14, 2010, TEP filed an amended application that made minor corrections to
24	the initial application.
25	4. TEP's 2010 Renewable Energy Standard Tariff ("REST") plan was approved by the
26	Commission in Decision No. 71465 (January 26, 2010), including various incentive levels, REST
27	charge levels, and a budget. Under the 2010 REST plan, the up-front incentive for grid-tied
28	

residential DG was set at \$3.00 per watt and the small commercial DG incentive was set at \$2.50
 per watt.

5. TEP's application requests Commission approval to lower the grid-tied residential DG incentive to \$2.25, effective July 7, 2010, this application's filing date. TEP states that this reduction is required because the Company is running out of money for the grid-tied residential DG and is out of money for small commercial DG for the remainder of 2010.

7 6. TEP further states that without Commission approval of a reduction in the
8 residential DG incentive for 2010, the incentive funds are expected to be depleted by mid August
9 2010.

7. On July 2, 2010, TEP sent out a letter to installers, indicating it was reducing
incentives to \$2.25, effective July 2, 2010, thus conflicting with the July 7, 2010 implementation
date contained in TEP's filing with the Commission. TEP has indicated to Staff that it prefers the
July 7, 2010, implementation date for the incentive reduction.

8. Staff believes July 7, 2010 is a reasonable date for reduction of the incentives. TEP
 indicated it had approximately \$5.4 million in residential DG funds available as of June 20, 2010.
 TEP has also indicated to Staff that approximately \$2.8 million worth of reservations took place
 from June 21, 2010 to July 6, 2010. Thus, as of July 7, 2010, approximately \$2.6 million remained
 for residential DG incentives.

9. The second part of TEP's application is fashioned as a request for Commission
 guidance on possible actions regarding the small commercial DG program for 2010. As noted
 above, there currently is no money for the remainder of 2010 for small commercial DG.

10. TEP presents three possible options to address the small commercial DG program for the remainder of 2010. The three options are: (i) to do nothing; (ii) to somehow revise the overall REST program budget, caps, rates, etc. for the remainder of 2010; or (iii) to shift money from the commercial performance-based scenario to provide further funding for small commercial up-front incentives.

11. TEP does not request approval of any of the options, but states that the Company
has no preference. TEP's application indicates that there is \$3 million available in the commercial

Decision No. \_\_\_\_71844

performance-based incentives ("PBI") budget if a decision were made to shift money from there to
 up-front incentives.

Regarding this portion of TEP's filing, Staff believes that it is problematic when a utility makes a filing with the Commission, seeking guidance, but does not request any specific action in its application. In such a situation, Staff is burdened with making an initial proposal as to what course of action should be taken by the Commission on a matter specific to the Company, rather than the Company doing so, as has traditionally been the case.

8 13. To date, the Company has not taken a position in this docket, indicating which of 9 the three options it wishes the Commission to act on. The procedural difficulties in dealing with 10 TEP's approach to this issue required additional Staff time and delayed processing of the overall 11 application.

12 14. Staff has discussed this matter with TEP and it is Staff's understanding that TEP
13 will work to ensure that applications it puts before the Commission in the future actually seek
14 specific action of some sort by the Commission.

15 15. Regarding the residential DG program, the 2010 REST plan included \$17,688,706
16 for residential incentives. As shown in the table below, \$12,268,330 of residential DG incentives
17 have been committed as of the end of June 2010, with \$5,420,376 remaining for the rest of 2010.

8	January 2010	February 2010	March 2010	April 2010	May 2010	June 2010
Monthly						
9 Commitment	\$926,405	\$2,149,776	\$1,033,556	\$1,986,931	\$3,050,163	\$3,121,499
Total						
0 Commitment	\$926,405	\$3,076,181	\$4,109,737	\$6,096,668	\$9,146,831	\$12,268,330

16. TEP has since indicated to Staff that as of July 23, 2010, approximately \$1.7
million in residential DG funds remain, meaning that roughly \$3.7 million has been committed
during the first three weeks in July 2010. This raises the very real possibility that the entire \$5.4
million left at the end of June 2010 will be committed by the time this matters comes before the
Commission for action. However, if incentives are reduced, this funding would be stretched
further, allowing more systems to be installed for the same amount of funding.

27 17. Given developments in recent months, Staff believes that TEP's concern regarding
28 depletion of the residential DG incentive funds well before the end of 2010 is warranted.

Decision No. 7184

## Docket No. E-01933A-10-0278

Decision No. 71844

Page 4

18. System costs have fallen, as noted in TEP's application, and Arizona Public Service
 Company ("APS") recently had its residential grid-tied DG incentive reduced to \$2.15 for 3 MW
 and then \$1.95 for further MW (Decision No. 71686, April 30, 2010), after facing budget
 challenges similar to those faced by TEP in this proceeding.

19. However, given available information, Staff believes that the Commission should
reduce the residential grid-tied DG incentive even lower than proposed by TEP in its application.
Staff further believes that a tiered incentive approach should be employed to allow for a step-down
in the incentive level as further funds are consumed within TEP's service territory.

9 20. The current small commercial DG incentive is \$2.50 per watt. Staff believes that, 10 as with the residential DG incentive, the small commercial DG incentive should be reduced in light 11 of recent market conditions, with a similar two-tiered incentive structure, as Staff is proposing for 12 residential DG.

Regarding TEP's small commercial DG program, TEP's filing indicates that there
is approximately \$3 million in available funds that are currently designated for TEP's commercial
PBI program. Subsequently, TEP has indicated to Staff that it projects that \$3.7 million in
commercial PBI funds will go unspent through the end of 2010. TEP has also indicated to Staff
that TEP has \$4.5 million of unspent 2009 REST funds from the residential sector.

22. 18 Staff believes that both the \$3.7 million in 2010 commercial PBI funds and the 19 2009 unspent residential funds should be used to supplement available funds for residential and commercial DG for the remainder of 2010. Staff believes that a reasonable allocation of these 20 21 funds would be to move the \$3.7 million of commercial PBI funds to fund small commercial DG 22 and the \$4.5 million in 2009 unspent residential funds to fund residential DG for the remainder of 23 2010. This would provide significant additional resources to both the residential and commercial 24 DG sectors for the remainder of 2010, while also retaining sufficient commercial PBI funds. This would also retain all residential and commercial dollars within their respective sectors. 25

26 23. Staff has proposed a two-tiered incentive structure for the remainder of 2010, with
27 roughly half of the available funds in the first tier and roughly half of the available funds in the
28 second tier.

## Docket No. E-01933A-10-0278

Decision No. 7184

Page 5

24. For residential DG, a total of roughly \$7.1 million would be available after July 7,
 2010 (\$4.5 million of unspent 2009 residential funds, and \$2.6 million of remaining 2010
 residential DG funds as of July 7, 2010) to fund residential DG for the remainder of 2010 under
 the Staff proposal.

5 25. Staff has recommended that the TEP residential grid-tied DG incentive should be 6 reduced to \$2.00 per watt for the first \$3,500,000 on July 7, 2010, and be reduced further to \$1.75 7 per watt for the remaining funds after the initial \$3,500,000 block, for the rest of 2010. Staff 8 believes that TEP's proposed July 7, 2010 date for implementation of the lower incentive levels is 9 reasonable and should be adopted.

10 26. The Commission is concerned that the TEP Residential Solar Energy Incentives 11 could be depleted before the end of 2010, thereby leaving hundreds of Arizonans without a solar 12 energy option and potentially causing undesirable disruption to the solar industry. We believe that 13 it is reasonable to allow TEP the authority to initiate reservations for 2011 residential compliance, 14 up to a total of \$300,000, upon exhaustion of 2010 funds, with notice that such PV systems 15 reserved for 2011 residential compliance will not be commissioned until January 1, 2011.

16 27. The Commission is concerned about residential customers who were ready to 17 submit applications prior to July 8, 2010, at the previous incentive levels but had not submitted 18 applications until July 2 or after. The problem is that approving the full incentives for all future 19 applications will exhaust the remaining funds before year end. However, given the industry 20 expectation of the \$2.25 per watt incentive level TEP proposed, we believe it is fair to both 21 customers and the industry to allow applications submitted to TEP between July 8, 2010 and 22 August 11, 2010, to be paid at the proposed \$2.25 per watt incentive level.

23 28. As discussed above, Staff has recommended that TEP shift \$3.7 million from 2010
24 commercial PBI funding to small commercial DG funding. Staff has recommended that the small
25 commercial incentive be reduced from the current \$2.50 per watt level to \$1.75 per watt for the
26 first \$2,000,000 in funds shifted from the PBI program, and \$1.50 for the remaining small
27 commercial DG funds for the rest of 2010.

28

. . .

Docket No. E-01933A-10-0278

Page 6

The tables below summarize treatment of funds and incentives under the Staff 29.

2 proposal.

-	
~	

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

<b>Residential DG Incentive Levels</b>	
Current incentive	\$3.00 per watt
TEP Proposed	\$2.25 per watt
Staff Proposed	\$2.00 per watt, for the first \$3.5 million of
-	funds as of July 7, 2010
	\$1.75 per watt, for remaining funds beyond the
	initial \$3.5 million available as of July 7, 2010

Small Commercial DG Ince	entive Levels	д - « чина в на
Current incentive	\$2.5	0 per watt
TEP Proposed	Nor	proposal
Staff Proposed	\$1.7	5 per watt, for the first \$2.0 million of
	fund	s as of July 7, 2010
	\$1.5	0 per watt, for remaining funds beyond the
	initi	al \$2.0 million available as of July 7, 2010
Funding Source	Current Status	Staff Proposal

12	Funding Source	Current Status	Staff Proposal
	Existing 2010 Residential	\$5.4 million remains as of July	Continue applying to
13	DG funds	1 <sup>st</sup> , \$2.6 million remains as of	residential DG, with the two-
		July 7, 2010, and \$1.7 million	tiered approach for funds
14		remains as of July 23 <sup>rd</sup>	remaining as of July 7, 2010
15	\$4.5 million of 2009	Would roll over into 2011	Apply to residential DG for
15	residential funds remaining	REST budget	the remainder of 2010, with
16			the two-tiered approach for
			funds remaining as of July 7,
17			2010
10	\$3.7 million in projected	Would remain in PBI funding	Apply to small commercial
18	unspent 2010 commercial	and would likely roll over into	DG UFI's for the remainder of
19	PBI funds	2012 REST budget	2010, with the two-tiered
1/			approach for funds remaining
20			as of July 7, 2010

- 30. In summary, Staff has made the following recommendations:
  - The shift to lower incentives beginning with projects as of July 7, 2010
  - \$4.5 million in 2009 residential funds that was unspent be shifted to fund 2010 • residential DG
  - \$3.7 million in 2010 commercial PBI funding be shifted to fund 2010 small commercial DG
  - The residential DG incentive be reduced to \$2.00 per watt as of July 7, 2010 for the first \$3.5 million of funds expended on or after July 7, 2010. The residential DG incentive be further reduced to \$1.75 per watt for further 2010

718 Decision No.

	Page 7 Docket No. E-01933A-10-0278
1 2	residential DG funds after July 7, 2010, beyond the initial \$3.5 million of funds to be expended at \$2.00 per watt.
	• The small commercial DG incentive be reduced to \$1.75 per watt as of July 7,
3	2010 for the first \$2.0 million of funds expended on or after July 7, 2010. The small commercial DG incentive be further reduced to \$1.50 per watt for further
5	2010 small commercial DG funds after July 7, 2010, beyond the initial \$2.0 million of funds expended at \$1.75 per watt.
6	
7	31. We agree with Staff except that the incentive level for all applications submitted by
8	residential customers after August 11, 2010 shall be paid at \$2.00 per watt for the remainder of
9	2010.
10	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
11	1. TEP is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV,
12	Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
13	2. The Commission has jurisdiction over TEP and over the subject matter of the
14	application.
15	3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated
16	July 29, 2010, concludes that it is in the public interest to approved the reduced incentive levels
17	and funding changes as proposed by Staff.
18	ORDER
19	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Tucson Electric Power Company funding and
20	incentive levels identified in Findings of Fact Nos. 26, 29 and 30 be and hereby are approved.
21	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Tucson Electric Power Company shall grant applications
22	submitted by residential customers between July 8, 2010 and August 11, 2010, an overall \$2.25
23	per watt incentive level and that after August 11, 2010, the incentive level shall be reduced to
24	\$2.00 per watt for the remainder of 2010.
25	and an
26	•••
27	
28	
	Decision No. 71844

6

7

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if 2010 residential distributed generation funds are 2 exhausted before year end, Tucson Electric Power Company shall have the authority to initiate 3 reservations, at \$2.00 per watt, for 2011 residential compliance, up to a total of \$300,000, with 4 notice that PV systems reserved for 2011 residential compliance will not be commissioned until 5 January 1, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 8 9 10 COMMISSIONER CHAIRMAN 11 12 13 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONE 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, 15 Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of 16 this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 24th day of August , 2010. 17 18 19 ERNES G. JOHN 20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 21 22 DISSENT: 23 DISSENT: 24 25 SMO:RGG:lhm\RM 26 27 28 7184 Decision No.

1	SERVICE LIST FOR: TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
2	DOCKET NO. E-01933A-10-0278
3	Mr. Michael Patten
4	Roshka, DeWulf & Patten, PLC One Arizona Center
5	400 East Van Buren Street – 800 Phoenix Arizona 85004
6	
7	Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division
8	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	Ms. Janice M. Alward
11	Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
12	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
28	

Decision No. 71844