

ORIGINAL

Antonio Gill



0000113480

E-01575A-08-0328  
E-01575A-09-0453

From: Save the Scenic Sonoita Grasslands [sonoitagrasslands@gmail.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2010 10:43 AM  
To: Antonio Gill  
Subject: FW: Response to SSVEC

**IN OPPOSITION**  
**RECEIVED**

**OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM**

2010 JUN 23 P 2:01

Good Morning Antonio,

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

I received a copy of this email Susan Scott sent to the commission for the docket E-01575A-08-0328. However, it is not posted yet, and she sent it on the 21st.

Thanks,  
gail

---

From: [susanscott15@msn.com](mailto:susanscott15@msn.com)  
To: [mayer-web@azcc.gov](mailto:mayer-web@azcc.gov); [kennedy-web@azcc.gov](mailto:kennedy-web@azcc.gov); [pierce-web@azcc.gov](mailto:pierce-web@azcc.gov); [newman-web@azcc.gov](mailto:newman-web@azcc.gov)  
Subject: Response to SSVEC  
Date: Mon, 21 Jun 2010 00:33:20 +0000

Re: Sulphur Springs Valley Cooperative Inc.'s response to Intervenor Susan Scott's Request for Postponement of Consideration of Recommended Opinion and Order

Dear Chairman Mayes and Commissioners:

On June 18, 2010, Mr. Bradley Carroll, attorney for Sulphur Springs Valley Cooperative, Inc., submitted a response to my request for postponement and venue change in the Open Hearing for the 252 petition to the Arizona Corporation Commission. Mr. Carroll in his response indicates that "SSVEC has received numerous calls from members of the public (both for and against the 69kV line) who are interested in attending the Open Meeting." Yet he fails to respond to my request for a venue change that would actually allow those many members to attend the meeting in Tucson. To think that many of those members will be able to travel to Phoenix is not realistic, unless, of course, SSVEC is again providing complimentary bus service to chosen members as they have previously done.

Mr. Carroll points out that it is critical that SSVEC be able to complete construction of the 69kV line and substation prior to the winter peak of 2011-2012 and any delay would further jeopardize this. How can a two week delay have any impact whatsoever on this schedule? Furthermore, SSVEC has already testified that they will be unable to meet this winter peak even if construction began in May, 2010.

Finally, and most absurdly, Mr. Carroll suggests that I, as an intervenor, need not attend the Open Meeting in person because I have already provided the commission with my comments. Yet he goes on to request that Mr. Shlatz, one of their witnesses, who also fully testified at the hearing, attend "at the convenience of the commissioners." I find this suggestion insulting.

I urgently request that you consider my request to delay the hearing ONLY two weeks and, more importantly, grant my request for a venue change to Tucson to allow the many members who do want to attend this very important meeting but are not doing so at the behest of SSVEC.

Respectfully yours,

Susan Scott

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**

JUN 23 2010

DOCKETED BY