ORIGINAL

0000112376

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
ORDER for MOTION-to-DISMISS DOCKET NO. S-20714A-09-0553:

COMMISSIONERS
KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP

In the matter of: DOCKET NO. S-20714A-09-0553

Ted Hogan answer to: SECURITIES DIVISION'S MOTION TO ALLOW TELEPHONIC TESTIMONY

(Assigned to the Hon. Marc E. Stern)

And MOTION-to-DISMISS DOCKET NO. S-20714A-09-0553;

Ted Hogan herby shows cause:

With Coy complaint, Coy motion is another Coy-Ploy to deny full discovery, trespass against discovery, deny, and disparage discovery, and;

All of the above is designed by Coy-Ploy; and enacted by Coy in a flagrant trespass against Article in the Ninth c.1789-1791-2010; and;

The above is a trespass against Ted Hogan by using United States-States-Agency-agents contrary to Article in the Ninth, c.1789-1791-2010, ratified and signed by the Congress and President;

> The enumeration in the constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people, and;

By-cause of violation of Article Nine, c.1791, against Ted Hogan; and
By-cause of violation of due process, and
By-cause of trespass against PUBLIC JUSTICE, and;
By-cause of trespass against existing-Local-Law;
By-cause of non-compliance to existing local constitutions;
By-cause of non-compliance to existing local treaties;

RECEIVED
2010 JUN -8 P 12: 14
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Coy Motion; SECURITIES DIVISION'S MOTION TO ALLOW TELEPHONIC TESTIMONY is not entitled to enforcement; and further,

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
JUN - 8 2010
DOCKETED BY

Coy did not comply with the order of the Administrative Judge;
only partial discovery was submitted late, and;
date of mailing was falsified in her own document, and;
Not only was Coy late, but recorded in the transcripts of the initial hearing; the Judge instructed Coy to complete the entire discovery before the 23d of April, 2010.

MOTION-to-DISMISS DOCKET NO. S-20714A-09-0553:
There is no VALID documentation of sale of securities or sale of other instruments ever presented or introduced by Coy, because there is none. If Ted Hogan had been late in adhering to the rules and orders of the Judge for this hearing, judgment against Ted Hogan would immediately occur.

The loan from Kathleen Perry has been paid in full and she has signed a disclaimer. John Bradshaw loaned Ted Hogan $20,000.00 and was paid back $22,000.00. Ted Hogan gave to John Bradshaw's Tour Company $31,000.00 to get it out of the red and save John Bradshaw's livelihood. John Bradshaw has never repaid the entire $31,000.00. An independent audit of his company will prove all of the above. Although one may be clever in manipulating paper, books, and finances, a professional CPA firm can find the truth. John Bradshaw is the person who approached Kathleen Perry for the loan.

Dianna Holmes was paid back $15,000.00 by check and Joe Waller advised them to cash it because that may be the only money they receive back from their loan. What Joe Waller knew of the future, I wonder. Lyle Rodgers was paid back $8,500.00 in cash. He wanted cash for some reason. I know he dealt with cash mostly for the storage and moving business he has. There is a lot to tell about Lyle Rogers. Lyle Rogers was the one who wanted to loan some funds for me to live and finish the projects. I have never asked Lyle Rogers for money. Lyle Rogers asked me to take the loan from him and then took me to Oregon where he asked his family for the loan to me for the project(s). Melissa Deegan insisted on loaning money to the project, I did not ask her.

But in all of this, Coy is trying to cover up for not complying with the Judge's order and rule. She violated her own Commission's directives. I find and deem, Coy acts unacceptable and intolerable and I STRONGLY OBJECT.

I have never sold SECURITIES. Do I have the right to live free from fear and pursue happiness? Or has all this come about because of my AMERICAN INDIAN RACE classification? I am starting to think this is racial.

As in my response on May 20, 2010, " 'Not Guilty Verdict' in Federal Court in January of 2007 where my finances were brought up and it was ruled not as investments but as "LOANS" BY AN ALL White Jury." The finances were presented by U.S. Government Prosecutor as evidence and it was determined that the money given was "LOANS".

I show cause above and below and make MOTION to DISMISS:

By-cause; there is no evidence;
By-cause; Coy duplicity to TRESPASS against Article the ninth,
By-cause; Coy duplicity to TRESPASS AGAINST DUE PROCESS-
By-cause; facing the Accusers is vital to Compliance to Constitution.
By-cause; COY duplicity to TRESPASS AGAINST Ted Hogan and others is NOT accepted.

Apparently if this Court is not Compliant to its own procedural orders, and;
This court is not compliant to the Local Constitutions, State and Federal then the Arizona State and the Arizona Commission participation is hereby MUTED and is a Nullity and is VOID.

MOTION-TO-DISMISS on May 20, 2010 already submitted is hereby requested/ ORDERED again with MOTION-to-DISMISS DOCKET NO. S-20714A-09-0553.

With great respect,

Ted Hogan
460 Andante Drive
Sedona, AZ 86336
928-300-6050