

ORIGINAL



0000112026

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP

RECEIVED

2010 MAY 28 A 9:24

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

26

In the Matter of:)	In Response to
GLOBAL TRADING PARTNERS, LLC, an)	Docket Number S-20739A-10-0150
Arizona Limited Liability Company)	
)	
And)	
)	
MICHAEL E. GOWENS)	
Respondents.)	

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

MAY 28 2010

DOCKETED BY	<i>MS</i>
-------------	-----------

ANSWER TO THE CORPORATION COMMISSIONS

In accordance to the Arizona rules of procedures and pursuant to A.A.C R14-4-305, the respondent files this, Answer to the Corporation commissions request for temporary order to cease and desist.

COMES NOW the defendants, MICHAEL E. GOWENS and GLOBAL TRADING PARTNERS, for himself alone and in answering the allegations on file herein, affirms, denies, and alleges as follows:

1. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 1, Respondent admits the allegation.
2. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 2, Respondent admits the allegation.
3. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 3, Respondent admits the allegation.
4. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 4, Respondent admits the allegation.
5. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 5, Respondent denies the allegation.
6. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 6, Respondent denies the allegation.
7. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 7, Respondent admits the allegation.
8. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 8, Respondent denies the allegation.
9. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 9, Respondent admits the allegation.
10. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 10, Respondent admits the allegation.
11. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 11, Respondent in part admit and in part denies the allegation.
12. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 12, Respondent denies the allegation.
13. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 13, Respondent denies the allegation.

14. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 14, Respondent denies the allegation.
15. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 15, Respondent admits the allegation.
16. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 16, Respondent admits the allegation.
17. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 17, Respondent admits the allegation.
18. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 18, Respondent admits the allegation.
19. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 19, Respondent denies the allegation.
20. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 20, Respondent admits the allegation.
21. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 21, Respondent denies the allegation.
22. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 22, Respondent denies the allegation.
23. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 23, Respondent denies the allegation.
24. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 24, Respondent admit the allegation.
25. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 25, Respondent denies the allegation.
26. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 26, Respondent denies the allegation.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES:

27. As and for Affirmative Defenses, the above named Respondents assert: that the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties and for other Affirmative Action

- A) Contributory Negligence;** Offeree never asked about risk and respondent was led to believe offeree was acting on behalf of an investment business when offeree talked about "investment company" and "investment partners".

Offeree never gave the respondent the opportunity to make full disclosure about his personal history nor did offeree ever request any personal information that would be relevant to this transaction, Respondent had full intent to make full disclosure about his personal history upon the first personal meeting with offeree. Respondent did not feel it was appropriate in giving this information out to someone in an email format or someone he had not met in person.

- B) Mistake of Fact – Respondent reasonably believed that the Arizona Offeree was a business or partnership defined as an investment company. The Offeree stated in emails that "I can review and take to my partners in order to peak they're interests?" and stated "I reviewed your outline and it looks fine to me/us" (meaning his investment partners).**

Respondent was uneducated and lacked knowledge about the use of terms in his discussion with offeree.

Respondent's originally incorporated Global Trading Partners Inc in Nevada as a corporation. He also incorporated in Arizona and forgot his incorporation in Arizona was

an LLC and not an Incorporation. Respondent made a mistake and also posted that on his website thinking he was incorporated in Arizona as well. This was corrected immediately by the respondent.

- C) Estoppel
- D) Waiver

WHEREFORE, Respondents Michael E Gowens and Global Trading Partners LLC having fully responded to the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding proposed order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, for Administrative Penalties and for other affirmative Action,

Respectfully submitted on this date May 26, 2010


MICHAEL E. GOWENS, Defendant