

ORIGINAL



0000111449

47
10

May 11, 2010

Arizona Corporation Commission
Docket Control
1200 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

DOCKET No. E-00000J-10-0044

This is a letter from Bill Byron filed in the recent APS rate case

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 11 2010

DOCKETED BY *mm*

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2010 MAY 11 A 9:42

RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

E-01345A-08-0172

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Reg Lopez

Phone: (520) 628-6555

Fax: (520) 628-6559

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Complaint No. 2008 - 74092

Date: 12/19/2008

Complaint Description: 10A Construction - Costs
N/A Not Applicable

Complaint By: First: Bill Last: Byron

Account Name: Bill Byron

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street: 000000

Work:

City: 00000000

CBR: subbyron721@aol.com

State: AZ Zip: 00000

is: E-Mail

Utility Company: Arizona Public Service Company

Division: Electric

Contact Name: Melissa Smith

Contact Phone: (602) 250-2162

Nature of Complaint:

*****CHAIRMAN GLEASON and COMMISSIONER MAYES
REFERRAL*****

Referred by Betty Camargo & Sheila Stoeller to CEWalczak:

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUN 2 2009

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2009 JUN - 3 1 P 3:44

RECEIVED

-----Original Message-----

From: su byron [mailto:subbyron721@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2008 6:48 PM
To: Mayes-WebEmail
Cc: Gleason-WebEmail
Subject: APS hook-up fees

I am writing to express my outrage about Arizona Public Service's electrical hook-up policy that was enacted by the company in early 2008. Like many other Arizonans, we have been caught by this policy.

In fall of 2007 construction began on our home in Wittman. At that time the APS policy was a free hook-up within a 1,000 foot distance (We are 160 feet). The new APS policy means that we may have to pay up to \$18,000 for the hook-up and trenching. This adds \$18,000 to the cost of the home that we weren't expecting, and decreases the value of our home of \$18,000.

Had we known APS was contemplating this, we would not have invested at this site - not in a million years.

APS, of course, could care less. They get to charge us now for \$18,000 plus we get to pay them every month for their service and electricity.

Oh, and as they well know, we aren't going to go to another service provider - one doesn't exist.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

I believe that's called that a monopoly, and you'd certainly conclude that APS is taking advantage of people who are in our position.

You have the responsibility to regulate utilities. I'm assuming you consider this to be unfair. Are you going to do anything about this?

Sincerely, Bill Byron
End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

From: ConsAdv@apsc.com [mailto:ConsAdv@apsc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2008 1:18 PM
To: subyron721@aol.com
Cc: Reg Lopez
Subject: FW: ACC Complaints: Byron, Bill - Complaint No. 74092 (Advocate Ref # 16474)

December 31, 2008

Mr. Byron:

Reg Lopez with the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) referred your recent letter to Chairman Gleason to my attention and I appreciate the opportunity to respond.

At APS, we realize building electric facilities is costly and we work hard to bring new service to our customers in the most cost effective way. New electric facilities are constructed from APS' nearest source of power subject to the availability of adequate capacity and voltage in accordance with Schedule 3 (Conditions Governing Extensions of Electric Distribution Lines and Service) on file with the Arizona Corporation Commission.

On June 28, 2007, in Decision No. 69663, the ACC approved APS' proposal to eliminate the free-footage-based allowance and move to a dollar-based allowance of \$5,000.00 per new residential customer. At that time, the ACC encouraged APS to file a revised version of Service Schedule 3 that eliminated dollar-based allowance and economic feasibility line extensions for all customers.

APS and the ACC worked jointly to revise Service Schedule 3 so that current APS customers would no longer pay the cost to extend electric service for future APS customers. Essentially, growth would pay for growth. The ACC approved this version of Service Schedule 3, on February 27, 2008. Customers are now required to pay a non-refundable charge for the installation of facilities required to provide service.

APS is required to ensure electric service lines are extended to all customers using the same standards, guidelines throughout APS' service territory, and that the rules are applied fairly and consistently.

Upon reviewing the charges APS invoiced for both properties in Wittmann, my investigation found APS' portion of the line extension for both properties is \$9,918.22 and not \$18,000.00 as your letter states. The additional cost to extend electric service may originate from trenching, boring or other earthwork needed to provide electric service to the home. These costs have always been the cost of the customer and did not change during the revision to Service Schedule 3.

I have attached a hyperlink to Service Schedule 3 for your review on [aps.com](http://www.aps.com/_files/rates/sched-03.pdf) for your convenience. http://www.aps.com/_files/rates/sched-03.pdf. I appreciate the opportunity to respond to the concerns mentioned in the letter. If you have any questions or if I may be of further assistance please call me directly at (602) 250-2280.