

0000107

items

 $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$

47

From: Sent: To: Subject: Cassie Levitt [cassie.levitt@hotmail.com] Friday, January 22, 2010 4:30 PM Mayes-WebEmail E-01575A-09-0453&

Dear Chairman,

SSVEC is a great cooperative; they are involved in our community and are very honest with their members. They support the schools and other local organizations. We receive a newsletter every month with updates, and are always made a top priority when we call. The Sonoita line has been proven to be the correct choice made by SSVEC and agreed upon with ACC and outside researchers. Their correct decision is now costing them undue time and money. The negative feedback by the Sonoita members is painting an incorrect picture of SSVEC, and these members knew of this line when they bought property. I hope that this is quickly solved and the line is put in immediately not longer wasting time and money.

Cassandra Levitt

Your E-mail and More On-the-Go. Get Windows Live Hotmail Free. Sign up now.

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JAN 29 2010

HOOKETED BY

JAN 29 = 20

m

From: Sent: To: Subject: David MacCollum [maccollum1@cox.net] Friday, January 22, 2010 4:19 PM Mayes-WebEmail Proposed SSVEC Sonoita powerline

January 22, 2010

TO: Arizona Corporation Commissioner Paul Newman Arizona Corporation Commissioner Gary Pierce Arizona Corporation Commissioner Kris Mayes Arizona Corporation Commissioner Sandra Kennedy Arizona Corporation Commissioner Bob Stump

RE: E-01575A-09-0453

I have been a member of Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC) since 1965. In 1969 I was elected to the SSVEC Board of Directors and served for nine years until 1978. I am a licensed Professional Engineer in both Arizona and California and an engineering consultant with a national and international practice. I am proud of our excellently managed Cooperative and its development of a well-designed electrical distribution system to serve its members. I have reviewed in detail the engineering study by Navigant as ordered by your Commission.

At a rate hearing a year ago in Sierra Vista, a small group voiced concerns against the construction of a 69,000-volt transmission line. A quarter of these people did not even reside within our Cooperative's service area.

It is my professional opinion that this in-depth engineering study clearly shows that the concerns of this small group are totally without merit. Further, to best serve SSVEC's members in Elgin, Sonoita and Patagonia, the construction of this environmentally friendly transmission line and substation should be granted immediately by the Arizona Corporation Commission. Any further delay creates a wrongful and economic burden on SSVEC.

Respectfully,

David V. MacCollum, P.E., CSP 1515 Hummingbird Lane Sierra Vista, Arizona 85635 Telephone: 1-520-458-4100 E-mail: maccollum1@cox.net

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mark Boyle [mark@sierrafloors.com] Friday, January 22, 2010 4:18 PM Mayes-WebEmail SSVEC

Re:

SSVEC 69 kV line that runs from Mustang Corners down to Sonoita.

As a coop member I find this to be a giant waste of SSVEC time and of the members money. This is not a case of emanate domain, but a clear cut case of ownership of land the coop and it's members purchases years ago. Now we are being punished for havening the foresight to plan head, and look towards the future and save some money.

But in todays world it just don't pay to work hard and plan ahead ,for the majority is the enemy, and it takes such a small minority to stop progress in it's treks. Where is the common scene in this matter or is there a plan to have Tucson Eclectic bring in the power from that direction, I wounder.

Stop wasting my money and get this approved.

Mark Boyle Benson, AZ

From: Sent: To: Subject: Cassie [cassie@sierracycles.com] Friday, January 22, 2010 4:14 PM Mayes-WebEmail E-01575A-09-0453& E-01575A-08-0328

Dear Chairman,

SSVEC has been a part of my community my whole life, they are very supportive of the local school and are always open an honest with our dealings. I have heard nothing but negative views of SSVEC during this sonata line dilemma, which are a creating a distorted view of the cooperative. Since the SSVEC staff, ACC Staff, and the outside researchers are in agreement SSVEC's time and money is being wasted by people that knew of this when they bought their property. Since it was determined by Chairman Mayes that the ACC does not have jurisdiction they should not allow them to assert it anyways. SSVEC has always been a fabulous company to work with and a great asset to our community.

Cassie Cleere

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

tina hungerford [electricgal@hotmail.com] Friday, January 22, 2010 3:24 PM Newman-Web; Pierce-Web; Mayes-WebEmail; Kennedy-Web; Stump-Web The Sonoita 69KV Line Extension

Commissioners-Bob Stump, Sandra Kennedy, Gary Pierce, Paul Newman and Chairman-Kris Mayes,

I am very concerned about a commission that has been heavy handed in governing over a utility coopertive and this commission also also has such a skewed perception of this utility cooperative.

Never mind that you have gone beyond your limitations (which you even admitted at one of your meetings) concerning the new line that is badly needed for the Sonoita, Patagonia, Elgin and Canelo areas - you also have pushed them (SSVEC) above and beyond what any other company would need to do to prove their sincere intentions to provide a more reliable service to this area.

You are costing serious dollars in this obstruction that costs each and every member of this coop and that includes me.

You have insulted the employees of this company - and yes I have listened to your meetings via the internet and Mr. Newman if you will pardon me - you need to seriously consider some therapy! You rant and do not make sense in your arguments. How the heck did you get elected? You really have no business calling **anyone** names, i.e. rednecks! Keeping you around would be comic relief if it wasn't such a serious matter that you are ruling over.

SSVEC is wonderful utility cooperative. They and their employees are very good to the community that they all live in and share in. It is obvious to me that you really do not know them and you have formed your bias by listening to a few disgruntled people - some who do not even live in our community!!!! How ridicules is that?

Your commission needs to move on this as quickly as possible and stop the insanity!!!!

Hotmail: Trusted email with powerful SPAM protection. Sign up now.

 From:
 Leo P Swatloski [w7jpi@sunncast.net]

 Sent:
 Friday, January 22, 2010 3:20 PM

 To:
 "mailto:Newman-web"@azcc.gov; Pierce-Web; Mayes-WebEmail; Kennedy-Web; Stump-Web

 Subject:
 Sonoita Reliability Project

Dear Mr. Newman, Pierce, Mayes, Kennedy, and Stump:

Reference Case Numbers: E-01575A-09-0453 and

Last summer, your decision to block SSVEC's 69 Kv line to Sonoita and to order SSVEC to conduct an alternative power study was seriously flawed. Even I a retired Air Force Officer, but still a lay person in these matters knew that there were no alternative systems to replace the 69 Kv line. Solar and wind, widely in use today, are not productive most of the day and some times for days on end which means that a backup line would be necessary. Other systems are experimental and costly and SSVEC is not in the research business. I knew that and I am certain that ACC engineers knew that, so why the adverse decision against SSVEC? They had presented a sound engineering case for the 69 Kv line to improve reliability to the 2400 Whetstone, Elgin, Sonoita and Patagonia SSVEC members. The V7 line which serves the area is the longest (350 miles) in the SSVEC inventory and has the most outages. Were you possibly influenced by the glowering NIMBYs in the audience? That's not a good reason.

Now the \$350,000 study by Navigant Consulting has been completed and delivered and SSVEC has been thoroughly vindicated. Their plan for a 69 Kv sub transmission line and a substation in Sonoita is the best way to improve reliability in the V7 area. Not one of the dissident recommended alternatives proved feasible and all were examined by Navigant.

It is now time for action. I recommend that the SSVEC Reliability Project be placed on the ACC agenda as soon as possible.

Leo P Swatloski Retired Freedom Fighter SSVEC Customer 30 yrs + P O Box 453 Sonoita, AZ 85637

From:	
Sent:	
To:	
Subject:	

PAUL MIHALIK,Sr. [mihalik28@msn.com] Friday, January 22, 2010 2:53 PM Newman-Web; Mayes-WebEmail; Kennedy-Web; Stump-Web; Pierce-Web New 69KV transmissiion line for Sonoita,Az.

I am writing you to appeal to your sense of fairness concerning the need for the approval of this additional power for the Sonoita, Patagonia, Elgin area. Although citizens have the right to object to the power line, as a relative handful have done, it is important that the ACC gives due consideration to the recommendation of the study performed by Navigant at a cost of 360,000 dollars. Leaving strong personal feelings out as expressed by those who object to the line and any undesireable features on the landscape, the proposed power line is in fact a genuine need for the people in the area proposed to be served by the line.. It is costly to be postponing a project that will, sooner or later , have to be built to serve real power needs. In the meantime, the Sonoita, Patagonia, and Elgin people are dependant on your just decision to provide for their needs. Please consider that we depend on your wisdom and sense of fair-play to use your power and authority to do what is right for the people of these communities. Paul A. Mihalik, Sr. Lt.Col.USAF (Ret), resident of Patagonia.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Ami Rodriguez [amirod.tampa@gmail.com] Friday, January 22, 2010 2:33 PM Stump-Web; Kennedy-Web; Mayes-WebEmail; Pierce-Web; <u>Newman-Web</u>, SSVEC and the Sonoita Line Issue - E-01575A-09-0453

Dear ACC Commissioners,

I am writing this letter to you in support of Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative (SSVEC) and their efforts regarding the new line that is to be built in Sonoita. Although there is great controversy in the area right now surrounding this issue, I believe that SSVEC has acted accordingly, and in the interest of their members and the citizens of Cochise County.

SSVEC is a non-profit, member owned cooperative. The main objective of an electric cooperative is to bring power to underserved areas. These are the very entities that actually "turned the lights on" in thousands of rural communities throughout the country. Cooperatives not only provide power to their members, but they also are very active within their communities. SSVEC sponsors children each year to make the journey to our nation's capital through the Washington Youth Tour Project. The co-op also provides several scholarships each year to local children, they assist families in financial need and they attend and financially support dozens of local events and charities throughout Cochise County. SSVEC also provides thousands of rural consumers access to broadband technology that otherwise would not be available if not for the co-op inititative to bring high-speed Internet service to their members.

The bottom line is that the people in Sonoita were very much aware of the easement rules when they purchased their property. They bought the land assuming "it would never happen". SSVEC has made every attempt to keep them happy, but it's now time for technology to move forward. The monies being wasted right now on hearings, surveys and public relations is only hurting SSVEC members. As a member owned entity, this money would have gone back into the community in the form of dividends but is now being spent on needless litigation and the like.

So please accept this letter as a statement of full support of SSVEC and their decision to build the 69 kV line in Sonoita. We are a country of progress, it's time to act like it.

Sincerely,

Ami Rodriguez