



0000107339

ORIGINAL

**ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

47
6

Investigator: Carolyn Buck

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion No. 2010 - 85030

Date: 2/16/2010

Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed
N/A Not Applicable

First:

Last:

Complaint By: **Craig W. & Lynn C Dible**

Account Name: Craig W. & Lynn C Dible

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street:

Work: (000) 000-0000

City: Sedona

CBR: N/A

State: AZ Zip:

is: Other

Utility Company: **Little Park Water Company, Inc.**

Division: Water

Contact Name: Steve Gudovic

Contact Phone: (928) 284-2298

Nature of Complaint:

2/16/10 CORRESPONDANCE RECEIVED

REFERENCE: LITTLE PARK WATER COMPANY Docket No. W-02192A-09-0531- OPINION OPPOSED

Arizona Corporation Commission
Consumer Services Section
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

February 8, 2010

Re: Little Park Water Company, Inc. Docket No. W-02192A-09-0531

To whom it may concern:

We are residents in the operating territory of the Little Park Water Company, Inc., which represents approximately 70 customers, and have been made aware of the rate filing referenced above that will increase our monthly water costs.

We understand the need for increased funding is driven by capital infrastructure improvements and general operating cost increases. As identified in the notification from Little Park Water Company, Inc, this represents approximately a 112% increase which we are sure you would agree is excessive even in good economic conditions.

Considering our current economic situation, we urge the Commission to look for alternative means of managing recovery for these costs.

We would think that, at the very least, this capital recovery could be extended over a longer period of time to minimize the immediate impact on

RECEIVED
2010 FEB 17 A 11: 53
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

FEB 17 2010

DOCKETED BY

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

JAN 13 2010

Little Park Water Company's customers. In addition, we would appreciate it if the Commission would review current operating expenses to identify reductions or alternatives to increased cost.

Rate requests for one-time investments often turn into an ongoing charge that is not managed and relief to us as customers is foregone. We can not allow this need to drive undue cost over the long term.

We will continue to follow the progress of this rate request review and will look forward to the Commission's creative solution to minimize the immediate and ongoing cost impact of this proposal.

Sedona, AZ Craig W. Dible & Lynn C. Dible
End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

Comments entered for the record and docketed in Docket No. W-02162A-09-0531
End of Comments

Date Completed:

Opinion No. 2010 - 85030
