



0000107270

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP

2010 FEB -9 A 8:37

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
CHAPARRAL CITY WATER COMPANY, INC.,
AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A
DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF
ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND
FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES
FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.

DOCKET NO. W-02113A-07-0551

**PROCEDURAL ORDER SETTING
SCHEDULE FOR REHEARING OF
DECISION NO. 71308 AS AMENDED
NUNC PRO TUNC BY DECISION NO.
71424.**

BY THE COMMISSION:

On October 21, 2009, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued Decision No. 71308 in the above-captioned matter.

On November 3, 2009, Chaparral City Water Company, Inc. ("Company") filed a Motion for Order Amending Decision No. 71308 *nunc pro tunc* in regard to a computational error in the rates approved by Decision No. 71308.

On November 10, 2009, the Company filed an Application for Rehearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-253, requesting rehearing on five issues in Decision No. 71308, including the issue of a computational error in the rates approved by Decision No. 71308 ("Application for Rehearing").

On November 24, 2009, the Commission voted to grant the Company's Application for Rehearing in order to allow time for further consideration. The Commission also directed the Hearing Division to prepare a Recommended Order or Procedural Order for Commission consideration regarding the alleged computational error in the approved rates, and regarding whether corrections should date back to the date of Decision No. 71308. The Commission withheld making any determinations as to any other issues raised in the Application for Rehearing, until after Commission consideration of an Order addressing correction of alleged errors in rates.

On December 8, 2009, the Commission issued Decision No. 71424, which amended Decision No. 71308 *nunc pro tunc* to correct the computational error in rates approved in Decision No. 71308.

1 Decision No. 71424 also approved a temporary surcharge to allow recovery of the revenue shortfall
2 produced by the erroneous rates.

3 On January 19, 2010, the Commission voted again to grant the Company's rehearing request
4 of Decision No. 71308, amended *nunc pro tunc* by Decision No. 71424, for purposes of further
5 Commission consideration on the matters of the Company's rehearing request for additional rate case
6 expense associated with the appeal and remand of Commission Decision No. 68176 (September 30,
7 2005) and treatment of the Fountain Hills Sanitation District ("FHSD") settlement proceeds. The
8 Commission also voted on that date to deny rehearing of any remaining matters raised in the
9 Application for Rehearing. The Commission directed the Hearing Division to establish procedures
10 for further proceedings concerning rehearing on the issues of approving additional rate case expense
11 and treatment of the FHSD settlement proceeds, and to prepare a Recommended Opinion and Order
12 on Rehearing for Commission consideration.

13 On January 19, 2010, a procedural order was issued setting a procedural conference for the
14 purpose of providing an opportunity for the parties to discuss an appropriate procedural schedule for
15 rehearing of the following two issues: (1) Decision No. 71308's treatment of the FHSD settlement
16 proceeds; and (2) Decision No. 71308's treatment of the Company's request for recovery of rate case
17 expense associated with the appeal and remand of Commission Decision No. 68176.

18 On January 27, 2010, the procedural conference was convened as scheduled. Appearances
19 were entered by counsel for the Company, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO"), and
20 the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff"). Intervenor Pacific Life Insurance Company dba Eagle
21 Mountain Golf Club did not appear.

22 During the January 27, 2010 procedural conference, the parties discussed a procedural
23 schedule. Staff stated that it wished to pre-file testimony, and that it anticipates a need for one day of
24 hearing. RUCO stated that it agreed with Staff, and suggested that testimony be filed on March 30,
25 2010. The Company stated that it did not plan to file any additional testimony, but wished to address
26 any new pre-filed testimony by cross examination of witnesses at the hearing.

27 During the procedural conference, after the parties had reached agreement on the procedural
28 schedule proposed by RUCO, RUCO stated that it reserved the right to contest, at a future time,

1 whether the Application for Rehearing had been timely granted, as RUCO had not yet determined its
2 position on this issue. RUCO was informed that if it wished to contest the issue of whether the
3 Application for Rehearing had been timely granted, it should make a filing in this docket no later than
4 February 4, 2010, and that if no such filing were made, a procedural order would be issued after that
5 date setting the procedural schedule for the rehearing granted by the Commission.

6 On February 1, 2010, the Company filed a Motion to Proceed Jointly Under A.R.S. §§ 40-252
7 and 40-253 ("Motion"). To date, the Commission has not yet made a determination regarding the
8 relief requested in the Motion.

9 No further filings have been made. The procedural schedule proposed by the parties for the
10 rehearing ordered by the Commission is reasonable, and should be adopted.

11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a **hearing** shall be held on **April 2, 2010**, commencing
12 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as practicable, at the Commission's offices, 1200 West
13 Washington Street, **Room 100**, Phoenix, Arizona, for rehearing of the following two issues: (1)
14 Decision No. 71308's treatment of the Fountain Hills Sanitation District settlement proceeds; and (2)
15 Decision No. 71308's treatment of Chaparral City Water Company's request for recovery of rate case
16 expense associated with the appeal and remand of Commission Decision No. 68176 (September 30,
17 2005).

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **testimony** and associated exhibits to be presented at
19 hearing on behalf of any party on the two issues to be reheard shall be reduced to writing and filed on
20 or before **March 30, 2010**.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules
22 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to practice of law and admission *pro*
23 *hac vice*.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance
25 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
26 Rules of Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances at all
27 hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled
28 for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the

Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized Communications) continues to apply to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 9th day of February, 2010.


TEENA WOLFE
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
this 9th day of February, 2010, to:

Norman D. James
Jay L. Shapiro
FENNEMORE CRAIG
3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
Attorneys for Chaparral City Water Company

Daniel W. Pozefsky, Chief Counsel
RESIDENTIAL UTILITY
CONSUMER OFFICE
1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220
Phoenix, AZ 85007-2958


Phil Green
OB SPORTS F/B MANAGEMENT
(EM), LLC
Pacific Life Insurance Company
dba Eagle Mountain Golf Club
7025 East Greenway Parkway, Suite 550
Scottsdale, AZ 85254-2159

Craig A. Marks
CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC
10645 North Tatum Boulevard
Suite 200-676
Phoenix, AZ 85028

Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
Robin R. Mitchell, Staff Attorney
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Steve Olea, Director
Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502
Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481

By: 
Debra Broyles
Secretary to Teena Wolfe