

ORIGINAL



0000106840

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP

RECEIVED
2009 JAN 15 AM 10:10
CLERK OF THE COMMISSION
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 15 2010

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO. S-20702A-09-0460

RICHARD MILES DORMAN and
REBECCA DORMAN, husband and wife,

MICHAEL VINCENT RING and
KELLY RING, husband and wife,

ELYSIUM CAPITAL GROUP, L.L.C.,
a Nevada limited liability company,

Respondents.

FOURTH
PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Schedules Hearing)

BY THE COMMISSION:

On September 23, 2009, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Richard Miles Dorman and Rebecca Dorman, husband and wife, Michael Vincent Ring and Kelly Ring, husband and wife, and Elysium Capital Group, L.L.C. ("ECG") (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of investment contracts.

The Respondents were duly served with copies of the Notice.

On October 14, 2009, a request for hearing was filed by Respondent, Rick Dorman. A request for hearing has not yet been filed by Respondent Rick Dorman's spouse, Rebecca.

On October 15, 2009, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on November 18, 2009.

On November 3, 2009, an attorney from Las Vegas, Nevada filed a request for hearing and Answer on behalf of Michael and Kelly Ring ("Ring Respondents") and ECG.

1 On November 6, 2009, by Procedural Order, it was confirmed that the pre-hearing conference
2 scheduled for November 18, 2009, would be held as previously scheduled.

3 On November 18, 2009, the pre-hearing conference was held as scheduled telephonically.
4 The Division, the Rings, and ECG were represented by counsel. Mr. Dorman appeared on his own
5 behalf. Counsel for the Division indicated that the Division is attempting to conclude with the
6 respective Respondents Consent Orders which will be submitted to the Commission for approval. In
7 the interim, the Division requests that a status conference be scheduled in approximately 45 days.

8 On November 19, 2009, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled on January
9 14, 2010.

10 On January 14, 2010, at the status conference which was conducted telephonically, the
11 Division, the Rings, and ECG appeared with counsel. Mr. Dorman appeared on his own behalf. The
12 Division's counsel indicated that the Division is attempting to resolve the proceeding, but requested
13 that a hearing be scheduled in the interim if settlements are not reached with the parties and approved
14 by the Commission.

15 Accordingly, a hearing should be scheduled.

16 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a **hearing** shall be held on **April 20, 2010, at 9:30a.m.**,
17 at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Room 100, Phoenix, Arizona.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall also set aside **April 21 and 22, 2010, for**
19 **additional days of hearing**, if necessary.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **Division and Respondents shall exchange copies of**
21 **their Witness Lists and copies of their Exhibits by March 22, 2010**, with courtesy copies provided
22 to the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **if the case is resolved by proposed Consent Orders prior**
24 **to the hearing, the Division shall file a Motion to Vacate the proceeding.**

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 – Unauthorized
26 Communications) applies to this proceeding as the matter is now set for public hearing.

27 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance
28 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the

1 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances
2 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is
3 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
4 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules
6 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission
7 *pro hac vice*.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter,
9 amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by
10 ruling at hearing.

11 DATED this 15TH day of January, 2010.

12
13
14 
15 MARC E. STERN
16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE


17 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
18 this 15TH day of January, 2010 to:

19 Richard M. Dorman
20 245 Lullwater Dr.
21 Panama City, FL 34213

ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502
Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481

22 Steve T. Skivington
23 THE LAW OFFICE OF STEVE T.
24 SKIVINGTON
25 1016 Olive Mill Lane
26 Las Vegas, NV 89134
27 Attorney for Michael V. Ring, Kelly Ring
28 and Elysium Capital Group, LLC

Matt Neubert, Director
Securities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1300 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

By: 
Debra Broyles
Secretary to Marc E. Stern