

ORIGINAL



0000105944

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP

2009 DEC -9 P 2:57
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DEC -9 2009

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO. S-20702A-09-0460

RICHARD MILES DORMAN and
REBECCA DORMAN, husband and wife,

MICHAEL VINCENT RING and
KELLY RING, husband and wife,

ELYSIUM CAPITAL GROUP, L.L.C.,
a Nevada limited liability company,

Respondents.

REVISED THIRD
PROCEDURAL ORDER
(SCHEDULES STATUS
CONFERENCE)

BY THE COMMISSION:

On September 23, 2009, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Richard Miles Dorman and Rebecca Dorman, husband and wife, Michael Vincent Ring and Kelly Ring, husband and wife, and Elysium Capital Group, L.L.C. ("ECG") (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of investment contracts.

The Respondents were duly served with copies of the Notice.

On October 14, 2009, a request for hearing was filed by Respondent, Rick Dorman. A request for hearing has not yet been filed by Respondent Rick Dorman's spouse, Rebecca.

On October 15, 2009, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on November 18, 2009.

On November 3, 2009, an attorney from Las Vegas, Nevada filed a request for hearing and Answer on behalf of Michael and Kelly Ring ("Ring Respondents") and ECG.

1 On November 6, 2009, by Procedural Order, it was confirmed that the pre-hearing conference
2 scheduled for November 18, 2009, would be held as previously scheduled.

3 On November 18, 2009, the pre-hearing conference was held as scheduled telephonically.
4 The Division, the Rings, and ECG were represented by counsel. Mr. Dorman appeared on his own
5 behalf. Counsel for the Division indicated that the Division is attempting to conclude with the
6 respective Respondents Consent Orders which will be submitted to the Commission for approval. In
7 the interim, the Division requests that a status conference be scheduled in approximately 45 days.

8 On November 19, 2009, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled.

9 On December 7, 2009, Rebecca Dorman filed a request for a hearing.

10 The status conference should be held as previously ordered.

11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **status conference** shall be held on **January 14,**
12 **2010, at 9:30a.m.,** at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Room 100, Phoenix,
13 Arizona, as previously ordered.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the matter is not resolved prior to the status conference
15 and Respondents wish to appear telephonically, that they contact the Hearing Division at 602-542-
16 4250 by **January 7, 2010**, for instructions on how to appear telephonically.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDRED that if the case is resolved by the proposed Consent Orders prior
18 to the scheduled status conference, the Division shall file a Motion to Vacate the proceeding.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 – Unauthorized
20 Communications) applies to this proceeding as the matter is now set for public hearing.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance
22 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
23 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances
24 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is
25 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
26 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

27 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules
28 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission
pro hac vice.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter,
2 amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by
3 ruling at hearing.

4 DATED this 9TH day of December, 2009.

5
6
7
8 
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
MARC E. STERN
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

10 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
11 this 9TH day of December, 2009 to:

12 Richard M. Dorman
13 Rebecca Dorman
14 245 Lullwater Drive
15 Panama City, FL 34213

16 Steve T. Skivington
17 THE LAW OFFICE OF STEVE T. SKIVINGTON
18 1016 Olive Mill Lane
19 Las Vegas, NV 89134
20 Attorney for Michael V. Ring, Kelly Ring
21 And Elysium Capital Group, LLC

22 Matt Neubert, Director
23 Securities Division
24 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
25 1300 West Washington Street
26 Phoenix, AZ 85007

27 By: 
28 Debra Broyles
Secretary to Marc E. Stern