

ORIGINAL

Sheila Stoeller



0000105592

From: timstorer@cox.net
 Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:36 AM
 To: Mayes-WebEmail; Newman-Web; pierce-web@azcc.web; Kennedy-Web; Stump-Web
 Subject: SSVEC rate case docket # ~~40575A-09-0420~~ E-01575A-09-0429

I am a resident of Sonoita, and a member of SSVEC. Fifteen months ago I installed a 6.2 Kwh photovoltaic system on my house. At the time SSVEC staff told me they would be buying back power by November of 2008. A year later, the net metering buyback is still not active. Based on my one year history of monthly usage, according to SSVEC's buyback rates submitted to the ACC, I am losing about 60\$ per month, which now goes into SSVEC's pocket. I suspect the utility is not keen on the net metering program, or on renewable power generation. This suspicion has been strengthened in my mind with the REST submittal to the commission. On page 25, the section labeled "monthly service availability charge", SSVEC is asking for a monthly fee of \$23.31 for those of us who sign up for net metering(residential). For me , that would be about 39% of my power I would be selling back to the utility. After a \$40,000. investment, that is grossly unfair to those of us who are doing our part to promote renewable energy. I ask that you consider eliminating that request from SSVEC. I can understand a minimum administrative fee of 2-3\$ per month, but if we are to wean ourselves from coal-fired plants, then I suggest it would be better for all the rate payers to share the cost in this matter, which SSVEC states in their REST they do not want to do. I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Sincerely, Timothy Storer

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
 DEC - 1 2009

DOCKETED BY	<i>mm</i>
-------------	-----------

RECEIVED
 2009 DEC - 1 P 2: 11
 AZ CORP COMMISSION
 DOCKET CONTROL