ORIGINAL

ħ

٦



1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA		0000104860
2	ີ ຈິ ຈິ ແລະ		
3	COMMISSIONERS 2099 NO KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman	V 10 A 11:39	Arizona Corporation Commission
4		ET CONTROL	
5	PAUL NEWMAN BUCA SANDRA D. KENNEDY	CI ULMINUL	NOV 10 2009
6	BOB STUMP		DOCKETED BY
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION		T NO. W-02465A-09-0411
8	BELLA VISTA WATER CO., INC. AN ARI CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATIO		
9	THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLAN AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES I	TS	
10	WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTI SERVICE BASED THEREON.	-	
11	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	OF DOCKE	T NO. W-20453A-09-0412
12	NORTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPAN INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR	Υ,	
	DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE	OF ITS	
13	UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND INCREASES IN ITS WATER RATES AND		
14	CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASE THEREON.	D	
15			
16	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION SOUTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPANY	- I	T NO. W-20454A-09-0413
17	AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A		
	DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE UTILITY PLANTS AND PROPERTY AND		
18	INCREASES IN ITS WATER RATES AND	FOR .	
19	CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASE THEREON.	D	
20	THEREON.		
21	IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICA		T NO. W-02465A-09-0414 T NO. W-20453A-09-0414
22	OF BELLA VISTA WATER CO., INC., NORTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPAN	DOCKE	T NO. W-20454A-09-0414
	AND SOUTHERN SUNRISE WATER COM	PANY,	
23	INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AUTHORITY TO CONSOLIDATE OPERATIONS, AND FOR		
24	TRANSFER OF UTILITY ASSETS TO BEL		
25	VISTA WATER CO., INC, PURSUANT TO ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES 40-285.		
26		RATE C	CASE DURAL ORDER
27			dates Dockets)
28	BY THE COMMISSION:		
20			

1

On August 31, 2009, Northern Sunrise Water Company, Inc. ("NSWC"), Southern Sunrise Water Company, Inc. ("SSWC") and the Bella Vista Water Company, Inc. ("BVWC") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") applications for an increase in their rates.

On August 31, 2009, BVWC, NSWC and SSWC (collectively the "Joint Applicants") filed a Joint Application for Approval of Authority to Transfer Utility Assets from NSWC and SSWC to BVWC, pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-285 ("Joint Application").

On September 1, 2009, the Joint Applicants filed Motions to Consolidate the rate applications and the Joint Application.

On September 30, 2009, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") notified the Joint Applicants that their rate applications were sufficient. NSWC and SSWC were classified a Class C utilities and BVWC was classified as a Class B utility.

By Procedural Order dated October 5, 2009, a Procedural Conference was set to discuss consolidation and the procedures for processing these applications.

On October 19, 2009, Staff filed a Notice indicating it has no objection to the consolidation of these dockets.

During the October 23, 2009, Procedural Conference, Staff, the Joint Applicants and the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") appeared through counsel. All parties agreed that the matters should be consolidated for judicial efficiency and that they would confer and jointly propose a schedule for filing testimony and the hearing in these matters.

On October 27, 2009, RUCO filed an Application to Intervene.

No party apposed RUCO's Application.

On October 29, 2009, the parties proposed a schedule for the filing of testimony and the Joint Applicants agreed that the time clock for these consolidated matters should be extended by 30 days to accommodate the proposed procedural schedule.

The consolidation of the three rate applications with the Joint Application warrants extending the time clock deadline.

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-101, the Commission now issues this Procedural Order to govern

DOCKET NO. W-02465A-09-0411 ET AL.

1 the preparation and conduct of this proceeding.

2

3

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above-captioned dockets are consolidated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that RUCO's Application to Intervene is granted.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for a final Commission Order is extended 5 thirty days until October 25, 2010.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a hearing in this matter shall commence on June 28. 7 2010, at 10:00 a.m., at the Commission's offices, 400 West Congress, Room 222, Tucson, Arizona 8 85701.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a pre-hearing conference shall be held on June 24, 2010. 10 at 10:00 a.m., at the Commission's Tucson Offices, Room 218, 400 West Congress, Tucson, 11 Arizona, for the purpose of scheduling witnesses and the conduct of the hearing.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that direct testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at 13 hearing on behalf of Staff (except that related to rate design and consolidation of operations) shall be 14 reduced to writing and filed on or before April 12, 2010.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any direct testimony and associated exhibits (except that 16 related to rate design and consolidation of operations) to be presented at hearing on behalf of 17 intervenors shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before April 12, 2010.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any **direct testimony** and associated exhibits relating to 19 rate design and consolidation of operations to be presented at hearing on behalf of Staff and intervenors shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before April 23, 2010.

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any rebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be 22 presented at hearing by the Joint Applicants related to the revenue requirement shall be reduced to 23 writing and filed on or before May 7, 2010.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any rebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be 25 presented at hearing by the Joint Applicants related to rate design and consolidation of operations 26 shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before May 14, 2010.

3

27 28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any surrebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be
 presented by Staff or intervenors related to revenue requirement shall be reduced to writing and filed
 on or before May 28, 2010.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any surrebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be
presented by Staff or intervenors related to rate design and consolidation of operations shall be
reduced to writing and filed on or before June 4, 2010.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any rejoinder testimony and associated exhibits to be
8 presented at hearing by the Joint Applicants shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before June
9 21, 2010.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all filings shall be made by 4:00 p.m. on the date the
 filing is due, unless otherwise indicated above.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any objections to testimony or exhibits that have been
 prefiled as of June 21, 2010, shall be made before or at the June 24, 2010, pre-hearing
 conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party shall individually prepare, and bring to the
 June 24, 2010, pre-hearing conference, copies of an issues matrix setting forth all disputed
 issues in the case. Each party's matrix shall indicate the position of each party on each
 disputed issue and shall indicate whether the disputed issue remains in dispute or has been
 resolved, in prefiled testimony or otherwise.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all testimony filed shall include a table of contents which
 lists the issues discussed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any substantive corrections, revisions, or supplements to prefiled testimony, with the exception of rejoinder testimony, shall be reduced to writing and filed no later than five calendar days before the witness is scheduled to testify. Substantive corrections, revisions, or supplements to prefiled rejoinder testimony shall be reduced to writing and presented on the first day of hearing.

4

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall prepare a brief, written summary of the
 prefiled testimony of each of their witnesses and shall file each summary at least two working
 days before the witness is scheduled to testify.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that copies of summaries shall be served upon the
Administrative Law Judge, the Commissioners, and the Commissioners' aides as well as the parties
of record.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that intervention shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-3-105,
8 except that all motions to intervene must be filed on or before March 15, 2010.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that discovery shall be as permitted by law and the rules and
 regulations of the Commission, except that until May 28, 2010, any objection to discovery requests
 shall be made within 7 calendar days of receipt¹ and responses to discovery requests shall be made
 within 10 calendar days of receipt. Thereafter, objections to discovery requests shall be made within
 5 calendar days and responses shall be made within 7 calendar days. The response time may be
 extended by mutual agreement of the parties involved if the request requires an extensive compilation
 effort.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for discovery requests, objections, and answers, if a
 receiving party requests service to be made electronically, and the sending party has the technical
 capability to provide service electronically, service to that party shall be made electronically.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in the alternative to filing a written motion to compel discovery, any party seeking resolution of a discovery dispute may telephonically contact the Commission's Hearing Division to request a date for a procedural hearing to resolve the discovery dispute; that upon such a request, a procedural hearing will be convened as soon as practicable; and that the party making such a request shall forthwith contact all other parties to advise them of the hearing date and shall at the hearing provide a statement confirming that the other parties were contacted.²

26

27

 $28 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ The parties are encouraged to attempt to settle discovery disputes through informal, good-faith negotiations before seeking Commission resolution of the controversy.

¹ The date of receipt of discovery requests is not counted as a calendar day, and requests received after 4:00 p.m. MST will be considered as received the next business day.

1IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any motions which are filed in this matter and which are2not ruled upon by the Commission within 20 calendar days of the filing date of the motion shall be3deemed denied.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any responses to motions shall be filed within five calendar
5 days of the filing date of the motion.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any replies shall be filed within five calendar days of the
7 filing date of the response.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Applicants shall provide public notice of the
 9 hearing in this matter, in the following form and style with the heading in no less than 14-point bold
 10 type and the body in no less than 10-point regular type:

PUBLIC NOTICE OF HEARING ON THE APPLICATIONS OF BELLA VISTA WATER COMPANY, INC.; NORTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPANY, INC.; AND SOUTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR RATE INCREASES AND FOR THE TRANSFER OF ASSETS AND CONSOLIDATION OF OPERATIONS DOCKET NO. W-02465A-09-0411 DOCKET NO. W-20453A-09-0412 DOCKET NO. W-20454A-09-0413

DOCKET NO. W-20454A-09-0413 DOCKET NO. W-02465A-09-0414 DOCKET NO. W-20453A-09-0414 DOCKET NO. W-20454A-09-0414

<u>Summary</u>

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On August 31, 2009, Bella Vista Water Company, Inc. ("BVWC"), Northern Sunrise Water Company, Inc. ("NSWC"), and Southern Sunrise Water Company, Inc. ("SSWC") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") applications for an increase in their rates. On the same date, BVWC, NSWC and SSWC filed a Joint Application for Approval of Authority to Transfer Utility Assets from NSWC and SSWC to BVWC, pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-285, and to consolidate operations of the three companies. The applications have been consolidated for the purpose of the hearing.

<u>Consolidation (Docket Nos. W-02465A-09-0414; W-20453A-09-0414; W-20454A-09-0414)</u>

If the application to transfer assets and consolidate operations is granted, the operations and rates of BVWC, NSWC and SSWC would be consolidated. If the consolidated rates as proposed are adopted, the current NSWC and SSWC customers would receive a rate reduction as described below, while the current BVWC customers would experience an increase as described below. In addition, with consolidation, the BVWC and SSWC systems would be interconnected. If consolidation is not

approved, the Companies have requested rate increases based on stand alone operations as described below.

Bella Vista Water Co. Rate Request (Docket No. W-02465A-09-0411)

On a stand alone basis, BVWC is requesting a revenue increase equal to \$958,701, a 27.19 percent increase over its revenues for the twelve month period ended March 31, 2009. Under BVWC's proposal in its rate application, the average BVWC residential customer with a 5/8 inch meter using 6,612 gallons of water a month, would experience an increase in the monthly bill of \$7.51, from \$22.90 to \$30.40, an increase of 32.79 percent. A commercial customer with a 5/8 inch meter, and the average consumption of 7,365 gallons a month, would see a monthly increase of \$6.33, or 26.04 percent, from \$24.32 to \$30.65.

If the request to transfer assets from NSWC and SSWC to BVWC is approved, under the Company's proposal, current BVWC residential customers with a 5/8 inch meter would see a monthly increase of \$10.70, or 46.72 percent, from \$22.90 to \$33.59. Current commercial BVWC customers with 5/8 inch meters would see a monthly increase of \$9.97, or 40.99 percent, from \$24.32 to \$34.29.

Customers using less or more than the average per month will experience a lower or higher percent increase depending on their usage. Under the Company's proposal, different classes of customers would experience varying percentage increases depending on their class and usage.

Northern Sunrise Water Company (Docket No. W-20453A-09-0412)

In its rate application, on a stand alone basis, NSWC is requesting an increase in revenues equal to \$256,044, an increase of 133.38 percent over its revenues for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009. Under NSWC's proposal, and if consolidation of operations is not approved, the average residential customer with a 5/8 inch meter using 5,755 gallons a month, would see an increase of \$62.04, or 144.02 percent, from \$43.08 to \$105.12.

Under the request to transfer assets and consolidate operations, the companies propose rates under which the average NSWC residential customer with a 5/8 inch meter would experience a monthly decrease of \$12.03, or 27.9 percent, from \$43.08 to \$31.05.

Customers using less or more than the average per month will experience a lower or higher percent increase depending on their usage.

Southern Sunrise Water Company (Docket No. W-20454A-09-0413)

In its rate application, on a stand alone basis, SSWC is requesting an increase in revenues of \$309,090, an increase of 69.59 percent, over its adjusted gross revenues in the twelve months ended March 31, 2009. Under SSWC's proposal, and if consolidation of operations is not approved, the average residential customer with a 5/8 inch meter using 5,581 gallons a month, would see an increase of \$32.55, or 76.4 percent, from \$42.60 to \$75.15.

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

Under the request to transfer assets and consolidate operations, the companies propose rates under which the average SSWC residential customer with a 5/8 inch meter would experience a monthly decrease of \$12.07, or 28.34 percent, from \$42.60 to \$30.53.

The Commission's Utilities Division Staff has not yet made a recommendation regarding the Applicants' rate proposals or the request to consolidate operations, and the Commission will determine the appropriate relief to be granted based on the evidence of record in this proceeding. The Commission is not bound by the proposals made by the Companies, Staff, or any intervenors and, therefore, the final rates approved in this docket may be lower or higher than the rates described above.

How You Can View or Obtain a Copy of the Rate Proposal

If you have any questions concerning how the Company's rate proposal would affect your bill or have other substantive questions about this application, you may contact the Company at: [COMPANIES SHOULD INSERT NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS FOR CUSTOMER CONTACTS CONCERNING THE APPLICATION].

Copies of the application and proposed rates are available from BVWC, NNSC and SSWC [COMPANY INSERT HOW AND WHERE AVAILABLE] and at the Commission's Docket Control Center at 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona, and in its Tucson office, 400 West Congress, Room 218, Tucson, Arizona for public inspection during regular business hours and on the Internet via the Commission's website (www.azcc.gov) using the e-Docket function.

Arizona Corporation Commission Public Hearing Information

The Commission will hold a hearing on this matter beginning June 28, 2010, at 10:00 a.m., at the Commission's Tucson offices, Hearing Room 222, 400 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona 85701. Public comments will be taken at the beginning of the first day of the hearing. Written public comments may also be submitted by mailing a letter referencing the relevant Docket Number(s), to Arizona Corporation Commission, Consumer Services Section, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007, or by e-mail. For a form to use and instructions on how to e-mail the Commission. comments to go to http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/utilities/forms/public comment.pdf. If you require assistance, you may contact the Consumer Services Section at 1-800-222-7000, or 520 628-6550.

About Intervention

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The law provides for an open public hearing at which, under appropriate circumstances, interested parties may intervene. Any person or entity entitled by law to intervene and having a direct and substantial interest in the matter will be permitted to intervene. If you wish to intervene, you must file an original and 13 copies of a written motion to intervene with the Commission no later than **March 15, 2010**, and send a copy of the motion to the Companies or their counsel and to all parties of record. Your motion to intervene must contain the following:

1. Your name, address, and telephone number, and the name, address, and telephone number of any party upon whom service of documents is to be made, if not yourself;

- A short statement of your interest in the proceeding (e.g., a customer of Coronado, a shareholder of Coronado, etc.); and
- 3. A statement certifying that you have mailed a copy of the motion to intervene to Coronado or its counsel and to all parties of record in the case.

The granting of motions to intervene shall be governed by A.A.C. R14-3-105, except that <u>all motions to intervene must be filed on or before March 15, 2010</u>. If representation by counsel is required by Rule 31 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court, intervention will be conditioned upon the intervenor obtaining counsel to represent the intervenor. For information about requesting intervention, visit the Commission's website at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/utilities/forms/interven.pdf. The granting of intervention, among other things, entitles a party to present sworn evidence at hearing and to cross-examine other witnesses. <u>However, failure to intervene will not preclude any interested person or entity from appearing at the hearing and providing public comment on the application or from filing written comments in the record of the case.</u>

ADA/Equal Access Information

The Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admission to its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting the ADA Coordinator, Shaylin Bernal, E-mail sbernal@azcc.gov, voice phone number 602/542-3931. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Applicants shall mail to each of their customers a

copy of the above notice as a bill insert beginning no later than the first billing cycle in December,

2009,³ and shall cause a copy of such notice to be published at least once in a newspaper of local

circulation in their service territories, with publication to be completed no later than December 31,

2009.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Applicants shall file certification of mailing/publication as soon as practicable after the mailing/publication has been completed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that notice shall be deemed complete upon mailing/publication of same, notwithstanding the failure of an individual customer to read or receive the notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.

 $[\]begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$ If a bill insert is not possible, the Applicants may mail the notice separately, with mailing to be complete by December 31, 2009.

DOCKET NO. W-02465A-09-0411 ET AL.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance 2 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the 3 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances 4 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is 5 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the 6 Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.

7

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized 8 Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable. 9

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time periods specified herein shall not be extended 11 pursuant to Rule 6(a) or (e) of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at 13 14 hearing.

15 DATED this $9^{\pm 4}$ day of November, 2009. 16 17 odda 18 ANE L. RODDA ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 19 20Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered This **9**th day of November, 2009 to: 21 Mr. Jay Shapiro 22 Stephanie Johnson Fennemore Craig, PC 23 3003 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012 24 Attorneys for Joint Applicants 25 Daniel W. Pozefsky Chief Counsel 26 **Residential Utility Consumer Office** 1110 west Washington, Suite 220 27 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 28

	DC)(
1		
2	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel	
3	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
4	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
5	Steve Olea, Director	
6	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
7	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007	
8	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.	
9	2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, AZ 85004	
10	By: I ponte M Bantley	
11	Nannette M. Brantley Assistant to Jane L. Rodda	
12	Assistant to fane L. Rouda	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
24		
23 26		
20		
28		
	S/H/j/PO/rates2009//NSWC PC1 sets PC re consolidation 11	

.