

E-01345A-09-0263

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM



0000102023

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMM

ORIGINAL

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Jenny Gomez

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion No. 2009 81428 Date: 8/25/2009

Complaint Description: 08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor
19Y Other - Elec Dereg - Renewable Resource Portfolio

Complaint By: First: Shari Last: Zara

Account Name: Shari Zara Home: [REDACTED]

Street: [REDACTED] Work:

City: Queen Creek CBR:

State: AZ Zip: [REDACTED] is:

Utility Company: Arizona Public Service Company

Division: Electric

Contact Name: [REDACTED] Contact Phone: [REDACTED]

Nature of Complaint:

*****DOCKET NO. E-01345A-09-0263*****

August 19, 2009

Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:: Docket Number E-01345A-09-0263

Dear Commission:

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

AUG 27 2009

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

RECEIVED
2009 AUG 27 A 9:53
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Over the past several months we have been examining the possibility of adopting solar energy systems to reduce our utility costs through Solar Service Agreements. As the Commission is well aware, the availability of utility rebate incentives is absolutely vital in the economics of solar. Without these rebates most systems for schools are not economically feasible. Recently it has become apparent that due to the overwhelming interest in the commercial solar sector, it is highly uncertain whether any rebate incentive funds will be available for the systems proposed for Arizona's schools. Without a resolution on this matter school projects will likely never be funded.

Our District supports APS's request in this docket to allow rebate incentive funds that, were previously allocated but would likely not be used for residential installations to apply to school projects. Without this reclassification, schools would likely not receive a utility incentive award under the APS commercial program and in turn would not be able to adopt solar power. Failure to properly resolve this matter would be wasting an opportunity that would potentially allow Arizona's schools and taxpayers to save millions in operating expenses through the adoption of solar energy systems over the next fifteen (15) to twenty-five (25) years. These are savings that

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

could be used to improving classrooms or hiring teachers; dollars that are particularly vital in the face of Arizona's budget difficulties.

While APS's commercial program has been successful beyond expectations, the residential PV market is not sufficiently strong to demand its full funding allocation. We applaud APS for providing a short-term solution, like re-classifying the schools as residential assets. We concur with APS in its filing stating:

A school is an asset to its residential community, funded by its residential community and embedded in its residential community. Therefore it reasons to recognize schools as residential.

By allowing schools to be classified as residential, they will have access to millions of dollars that are likely to go unused, allowing them to proceed with their renewable projects in 2005. If their project can be completed by the end of 2009, the schools can then take advantage of the Federal Stimulus funding available through the ARRA.

Without allowing schools to be classified as residential and affording them access to up-front incentives funds, many school projects are likely to go unfunded in 2009.

With access to a portion of the residential incentive funds, schools can begin projects sooner. The sooner schools can install renewable energy and receive incentives, the sooner they can begin realizing a savings on their electric bills and the sooner those savings can be passed to residents in the community.

Current residential PV markets are simply not sufficiently strong to demand their full funding allocation. A number of school districts have shown that they are eager to begin installing renewable systems in the near term, providing that incentives are available to them in order to make the projects cost effective.

If additional funding is available to schools, more systems can be install throughout the state. The benefits would not only be economical, but also educational for the community and the students it serves.

We support APS and thank them for proposing a short-term solution that helps the schools in our effort to become green and sustainable. Without the reclassification we would not be able to take advantage of the federal incentives through a third party that will allow us to go green and potentially hedge our energy prices on a long term basis.

We encourage the Commission to grant this request, as this will help us become green, adopt solar and help drive Arizona into being the greenest state in the Nation.

Sincerely,

Shari Zara
Chief Financial Officer
Queen Creek Unified School District

Dr. James D. Murlless, Superintendent of Schools
End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

**ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

*****DOCKET NO. E-01345A-09-0263*****

Opinion noted and filed in Docket No. E-01345A-09-0263 by Carmen Madrid.

File Closed
End of Comments

Date Completed: 8/25/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 81428
